

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

This information can be interpreted for you free of charge. Visit City Hall at 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 or learn more at [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess)

Esta información se puede interpretar gratuitamente. Visite el Ayuntamiento en 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 o obtenga más información en [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess)

这些信息可免费为您解释。请访问位于 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 的市政厅, 或访问 [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess) 了解更多信息

## TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 2026

### **SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING (5:00 PM)**

Hillside Conference Room - 10890 San Pablo Ave, El Cerrito  
and

1441 Quivira Road San Diego, CA

*Inquire at the front desk for room # to participate at this location*

#### **Join Via Zoom:**

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/82058814895?pwd=xO2xblb3iMFTBWhbY1tHm5QDI3FL29.1>

**Meeting ID:** 820 5881 4895 **Passcode:** 433682 **Dial in:** 1-408-638-0968

### **REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING (6:00 PM)**

Council Chambers - 10890 San Pablo Ave, El Cerrito  
and

1441 Quivira Road San Diego, CA

*Inquire at the front desk for room # to participate at this location*

#### **Join Via Zoom:**

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/89210584426?pwd=IxIVrq1hQKeVwdRATphZT4aYm97oCW.1>

**Meeting ID:** 892 1058 4426 **Passcode:** 866507 **Dial in:** 1-408-638-0968

#### **View (Regular Meeting Only):**

1. Cable T.V. Broadcast on KCRT Channel 28
2. Livestream Online at [www.elcerrito.gov/CouncilMeetingMaterials](http://www.elcerrito.gov/CouncilMeetingMaterials)

#### **Public Comments:**

1. In-person, by submitting a request to speak to the City Clerk.
2. Via Zoom, using the "[Raise Hand](#)" feature to request to speak.
3. By phone, dialing \*9 to "raise your hand", and \*6 to unmute.
4. Online, using the "[Submit Comments](#)" feature on the [meeting event](#).
5. Via email to [cityclerk@elcerrito.gov](mailto:cityclerk@elcerrito.gov) indicating **Public Comments – Agenda Item #**.

Written comments received by **11:00 AM** the day of the meeting will be provided to the City Council and posted online in advance of the meeting. Comments received after the deadline will be provided to the City Council and posted after the meeting.

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**Accommodations:** In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Clerk at 510-215-4305. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable accommodations. Closed Captions are available via zoom.

**Conduct:** This meeting is held pursuant to City Council [Rules of Order and Procedure](#).

**5:00 PM ROLL CALL - CONVENE SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

**1. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC**

*All persons wishing to speak should sign up with the City Clerk. Remarks are typically limited to 3 minutes per person and to items on the special meeting agenda only.*

**2. CLOSED SESSION CONFERENCE WITH NEGOTIATORS**

*Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6*

**Agency Designated Representatives:** Karen Pinkos, City Manager; Alexandra Orogas, Assistant City Manager; Shannon Bassi, Senior Human Resources Analyst; Glenn Berkheimer, Labor Negotiator; and Sky Woodruff, City Attorney.

**Employee Organizations:** Police Employees Association (PEA); and Public Safety Management Association (Police Chief, Fire Chief).

**Contact:** Sky Woodruff, City Attorney, City Management

**3. ADJOURN SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

**6:00 PM ROLL CALL – CONVENE CONCURRENT SPECIAL PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY/REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

**1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OR OBSERVATION OF A MOMENT OF SILENCE**

**2. TELECONFERENCE AND PUBLIC COMMENT INSTRUCTIONS**

**3. COUNCIL/STAFF COMMUNICATIONS**

*Reports of closed session, commission appointments and informational reports on matters of general interest which are announced by the City Council and staff.*

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**4. FOURTH PUBLIC HEARING ON TRANSITION TO DISTRICT-BASED ELECTIONS – PRESENTATION OF REFINED DRAFT MAP(S) AND SEQUENCE OF ELECTIONS**

*The set start time of this public hearing is 6:15 PM. Notice was published on 6/6/2026, draft maps were publically posted 6/10/2026 at [www.elcerrito.gov/districts](http://www.elcerrito.gov/districts).*

**Action Proposed:** Conduct a fourth public hearing regarding transitioning to district-based elections for City Council members, including reviewing draft maps, soliciting public input, and providing direction to staff on the draft maps and sequence of elections for district-based Council elections in accordance with Elections Code § 10010; and upon conclusion direct staff on a final map to bring forward for adoption at the fifth public hearing scheduled for July 7, 2026.

**Contact:** Sky Woodruff, City Attorney; Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk, City Management

**5. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC**

*Remarks are typically limited to 3 minutes per person. The Mayor may reduce the time limit per speaker depending upon the number of speakers and may limit the total time for public comment to facilitate the completion of business on the agenda. Comments regarding non-agenda, presentation and consent calendar items will be heard first. Comments related to items appearing on the Public Hearing or Policy Matter portions of the Agenda will be heard prior to the City Council taking action on each item.*

**6. PRESENTATIONS**

**7. ADOPTION OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR**

*All items on the consent calendar shall be acted upon in one motion, unless a member of the City Council or staff request separate consideration.*

**A. Approval of Minutes**

**Action Proposed:** Approve the City Council Meeting minutes from April 13, April 21, May 5, and May 19, 2026.

**Contact:** Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk, City Management

**B. Juneteenth Proclamation**

**Action Proposed:** Approve a proclamation in support and recognition of Juneteenth on Friday, June 19, 2026 and further recognize Juneteenth by flying the flag at City Hall from June 18–21, 2026.

**Contact:** Shannon Bassi, Human Resources Manager, City Management

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

**C. Financial Advisory Board Recommendation**

**Action Proposed:** Receive and file recommendations from the Financial Advisory Board.

**Contact:** David Carvel, Chair, Financial Advisory Board

**D. Monthly Disbursement and Check Register Report for May 2026**

**Action Proposed:** Receive and file the Monthly Disbursement and Check Register Report for the month of May 2026.

**Contact:** Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer, Finance Department

**E. Delegation of Design Review Board Powers and Duties to the Planning Commission in Certain Circumstances**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution delegating powers and duties of the Design Review Board to the Planning Commission when the Design Review Board does not have sufficient members to constitute a quorum.

**Contact:** Jeff Ballantine, Senior Planner; Sean Moss, Planning Manager, Community Development Department

**F. Call, Consolidate and Request Election Services for a November 3, 2026 General Municipal Election for the Purpose of Electing Two Members of City Council**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution to call for a general municipal election to be held on Tuesday, November 3, 2026 for the purpose of electing two members of the El Cerrito City Council, each for a term of four years; and to request the Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors consent and direct the Registrar of Voters to provide specified services to the City related to the conduct of the election.

**Contact:** Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk, City Management

**G. Establish Speed Limits for Eight Speed Zones on Arlington Boulevard, Central Avenue, Cutting Boulevard-Elm Street-Richmond Street, Potrero Avenue, San Pablo Avenue, and Stockton Avenue**

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution establishing speed limits for eight identified speed zones to allow for radar, lidar, and other electronic device-based enforcement in conformance with State of California requirements.

**Contact:** James Mizutani, Associate Engineer; Jarrett Mullen, Sustainable Transportation Program Manager; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**H. Agreement with Sweeping Corporation of America for Street Sweeping Services**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement with Sweeping Corporation of America in an amount not to exceed \$143,500 in Fiscal Year (FY) 2026-27, to provide street sweeping services effective July 1, 2026, for a period of five years with an option to annually extend five years thereafter.

**Contact:** Karineh Samkian, Senior Program Manager; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**I. Construction Contract Award for 2026 Surface Seal Project, City Project No. C3027.26**

*Exempt from California Environmental Quality Act review (CEQA Guidelines Sections 15301)*

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution acknowledging the sole bidder, Pavement Coating Co. (Pavement Coatings), as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, for the 2026 Surface Seal Project, City Project No. C3027.26 (Project); accepting the negotiated price from Pavement Coatings; and authorizing the City Manager to execute a contract with Pavement Coatings in the amount of \$1,816,415.91 and to approve potential change orders in an amount not to exceed \$182,000.

**Contact:** Roland Lambert, Associate Engineer; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**J. Spending Authorization for Contra Costa County Forensic Services Division**

**Action Proposed:** Authorize the City Manager or designee to spend up to \$70,000 on forensic services completed by the Contra Costa County Forensic Services Division in the current fiscal year, ending June 30, 2026.

**Contact:** Paul Keith, Chief of Police, El Cerrito Police Department

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**K. Urgent Repair of Storm Drain Pipe at Cerrito Vista Park Tennis Courts**

*4/5 Vote Required to Pass*

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution determining the existence of an emergency and the need to perform emergency repairs to a storm drain pipe at the Cerrito Vista Park tennis courts and authorizing the City Manager to negotiate and execute an agreement with FJ&I Engineering in the amount not to exceed \$97,500 and to approve potential change orders up to \$10,000 for such emergency repair work.

**Contact:** Roland Lambert, Associate Engineer; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**L. SB 1 - The Road Repair and Accountability Act, List of Projects for Fiscal Year 2026-27**

**Action Proposed:** Approve a resolution adopting a list of projects for Fiscal Year 2026-27 to receive funding from Senate Bill 1 – The Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 through the Local Streets and Roads Program.

**Contact:** Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**8. PUBLIC HEARINGS**

*NOTICE OF RESCHEDULING: The noticed public hearing on Fire Hazard Abatement has been rescheduled from June 16, 2026 to July 21, 2026*

**9. POLICY MATTERS**

**A. CITY COUNCIL/PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY  
Approval of the City's Fiscal Year 2026-27 and 2027-28 Proposed Budget and Spending Authority by Fund for the City and Public Financing Authority, and Approval of the FY 2026-27 Annual Gann Appropriation Limit.**

**Action Proposed:** 1) Adopt a City Council resolution authorizing Fiscal Year 2026-27 spending authority by fund for the City of El Cerrito; 2) Adopt an El Cerrito Public Financing Authority resolution authorizing Fiscal Year 2026-27 spending authority by fund; and 3) Adopt a City Council resolution approving the calculation and establishing the FY 2026-27 Gann Appropriation Limit.

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

**Contact:** Claire Coleman, Budget/Financial Services Manager; Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer; Finance Department

**B. Spending Authority for Certain Vendors Expected to Exceed \$45,000 for FY 2026-27**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution approving spending authority for vendors expected to exceed \$45,000 in Fiscal Year 2026-27.

**Contact:** Claire Coleman, Budget/Financial Services Manager; Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer; Finance Department

**C. Annual Adoption of the City's Full-time Salary Schedule, Effective July 6, 2026**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution to approve the City's full-time salary schedule, including approval to establish and modify two classifications.

**Contact:** Shannon Bassi, Human Resources Manager, City Management

**10. CITY COUNCIL LOCAL & REGIONAL LIAISON ASSIGNMENTS**

*Mayor and City Council communications regarding local and regional liaison assignments, committee reports, and any required reporting under AB 1234 for meetings (as defined by the Brown Act) attended at the public's expense.*

**11. ADJOURN CONCURRENT SPECIAL PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY/REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

*The next regularly scheduled City Council meeting is Tuesday, July 21, 2026 at 6:00 p.m.*

***The City of El Cerrito serves our diverse community by providing exceptional services that create a safe and resilient future for all.***



## AGENDA BILL

Agenda Item No. 4.

**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** Sky Woodruff, City Attorney; Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk, City Management  
**Subject:** Fourth Public Hearing on Transition to District-Based Elections – Presentation of Refined Draft Map(s) and Sequence of Elections

---

### **ACTION PROPOSED**

Conduct a fourth public hearing regarding transitioning to district-based elections for City Council members, including reviewing draft maps, soliciting public input, and providing direction to staff on the draft maps and sequence of elections for district-based Council elections in accordance with Elections Code § 10010; and upon conclusion direct staff on a final map to bring forward for adoption at the fifth public hearing scheduled for July 7, 2026.

### **BACKGROUND**

On April 21, 2026, the City Council adopted Resolution 2026-24 declaring its intention to transition to a district-based system for electing members of the El Cerrito City Council. This action was taken in response to the March 17, 2026 letter received from Rexroad Law alleging that the City's current at-large election system violates the California Voting Rights Act ("CVRA") and threatening litigation if the City declined to voluntarily change to a district-based election.

Although the City denies its at-large election system violates the CVRA or any other provision of law, the City Council has determined that the public interest would best be served by transitioning to a district-based electoral system due to (1) the high cost to defend against a CVRA lawsuit, (2) the risk of losing such a lawsuit, which would require the City to pay the prevailing plaintiffs' attorneys' fees, and (3) the availability of changing to district elections under the safe harbor provision.

The City Council, an elected legislative body serving the residents of El Cerrito, is currently elected in an at-large election system, where each of the five Councilmembers are elected by registered voters of the entire city, regardless of residence, as opposed to a district-based election system, where each Councilmember must reside in a specific district within the City, and is voted on only by voters within that district.

California Elections Code section 10010 provides a process whereby a jurisdiction can expeditiously change to a district-based election system and avoid the high cost of litigation under the CVRA. Under this process, a jurisdiction can limit the amount of its liability to prospective plaintiffs and their attorneys (the "safe harbor provision"), and avoid litigation so long as the jurisdiction completes the process within 90 days of the adoption of the resolution of intention.

While the CVRA allows for extensions to this timeframe with agreement of the potential plaintiff, the City was unable to obtain an agreement for extensions.

Transition Process under the Safe Harbor Provision

California Elections Code section 10010 requires that a jurisdiction changing to district-based elections under the safe harbor provision do all of the following within 90 days from the date the legislative body adopts a resolution of intent to transition to district-based elections:

- Before drawing a draft map or maps of the proposed boundaries of the districts, hold at least two public hearings over a period of no more than 30 days, at which the public is invited to provide input regarding the composition of the districts.
- After all draft maps are drawn, published, and made available, release at least one draft map and, if members of the governing body of the political subdivision will be elected in their districts at different times, provide the potential sequence of the staggered terms of office and elections.
- Hold at least two additional hearings over a period of no more than 45 days, at which the public is invited to provide input regarding the content of the draft map or maps and the proposed sequence of elections.
  - The first version of a draft map shall be published at least seven days before consideration at a hearing. If a draft map is revised at or following a hearing, it shall be published and made available to the public for at least seven days before being adopted.
- Adopt an ordinance establishing district-based elections pursuant to Elections Code section 10010(a).

In order to provide tools for community input, and to draw preliminary maps based on community and City Council feedback, the City Attorney has retained Redistricting Partners, LLC, a demographer who serves as an expert in analyzing census data to ensure that the final district map adopted by the City Council considers all of the necessary criteria, as set forth in Elections Code Section 21130(c), including geographic contiguity and compactness, preservation of neighborhoods and communities of interest, uses easily identifiable boundaries, and does not favor or discriminate against a political party.

It's important to note, however, that despite the accelerated 90-day transition process, based on the deadlines imposed by the Contra Costa County Elections department related to district maps, it has been determined that the earliest election feasible for the new district-based maps to take effect will commence with the November 2028 General Municipal Election. As such, elections for City Council seats taking place in November 2026 will continue to use the current at-large election system.

### Completed Actions to Date

Detailed information, materials, and videos regarding completed actions for the districting process are available at [www.elcerrito.gov/districts](http://www.elcerrito.gov/districts).

- **April 21, 2026:** Adopted Resolution of Intent to Transition to Districts
- **May 5, 2026:** 1st Public Hearing held
- **May 12, 2026:** 1st Community Workshop held virtually
- **May 14, 2026:** Community Outreach at Bike to Wherever Day event
- **May 19, 2026:** 2nd Public Hearing held; Council direction to proceed with 5 districts
- **June 3, 2026:** 2nd Community Workshop held in City Council Chambers
- **June 9, 2026:** 3rd Public Hearing held
- **June 10, 2026:** Community Outreach conducted at the El Cerrito Community Center

### Remaining Public Hearing and Events Schedule

The proposed schedule for the remainder of the districting process includes tentative dates to hold additional community outreach events, public hearings, and other relevant actions required under the safe harbor provisions. This tentative schedule was developed to be as transparent and informative as possible given the 90-day restriction to complete the process. Should the potential plaintiff decide to negotiate terms of an agreement to extend the period of time for this transition, a revised schedule would be brought back to the City Council at a future date.

- **June 16, 2026:** 4th Public Hearing
  - Additional public input on draft maps and sequence of elections, Council to select final map
- **July 7, 2026:** 5th Public Hearing
  - Approve final map, sequence of elections, and Ordinance to change to District-Based Elections
- **July 21, 2026:** Adopt Ordinance
  - Adopt the Ordinance to change to District-Based Elections

### **ANALYSIS**

Before drawing a draft map or maps of the proposed boundaries of the districts, the City was required to hold two public hearings to receive public input regarding the composition of districts. These hearings were held on May 5 and May 19, 2026.

Coinciding with a community workshop held on May 12, a district mapping tool was launched on the City's website at [www.elcerrito.gov/districts](http://www.elcerrito.gov/districts). This interactive tool allows members of the El Cerrito community to provide input by submitting their own maps for consideration. A printable map to draw lines and submit to the City was also made available. In addition, community members are able to submit a "Community of Interests Form" for consideration in drawing district boundaries. At the second public hearing on May 19, the City Council provided direction to proceed with five districts.

At the third public hearing held on June 9, based on all available public input received to date and in compliance with the District Formation Criteria described below, the City's demographer presented three draft maps to the community and City Council for consideration. At the hearing additional input was received and general direction was provided by City Council to bring back some additional options for consideration at the fourth hearing scheduled for June 16.

On June 10, 2026, the City published two draft maps to the [City's Districting](#) webpage to make them available to the public for review in advance of holding this fourth public hearing on June 16.

The format for the fourth public hearing will be similar to the third hearing, with the City's demographer reviewing information on specific criteria required in determining district boundaries, reviewing public input and maps received, and presenting additional draft maps for consideration.

Part of this process is to encourage public input and Council discussion on the refined draft map(s) for consideration. After taking public testimony, the City Council is asked to provide feedback on a single map to finalize for adoption. The demographer will take that direction and refine the final draft map, which City Council will be asked to adopt with the introduction of an ordinance to transition to district-based elections at the fifth public hearing scheduled for July 7.

#### District Formation Criteria

In creating the district boundaries, the City must ensure compliance with the following criteria mandated by the CVRA and the Federal Voting Rights Act:

- Each district must contain a nearly equal population;
- The districting plan must be drawn in a manner that complies with the Federal Voting Rights Act and the Equal Protection Clause of the U.S. Constitution; and,
- The districts must not be drawn with race as the predominant factor.

Additionally, Election Code section 21130 requires cities to adopt districts using the following criteria to the extent practicable, which are set forth in order of priority:

- Districts shall be geographically contiguous.
- To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest must be respected and maintained. A "community of Interest" is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.
- District boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable. To the extent practicable, districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the jurisdiction.

- To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria, districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness.

The City's demographer will present maps that comply with these criteria.

#### Next Steps

Following the fourth public hearing, the demographer will take direction provided by the City Council and refine a final proposed map for consideration by the community and City Council.

The City Council must also decide on the order of elections – that is, which two or three districts will be on the ballot in November of 2028, and which remaining districts will be on the ballot in November of 2030, as City Council terms are staggered under state law. The City Council's decision on the order of elections must be made “in the spirit of the CVRA” and is necessary in order to incorporate into the ordinance that will be introduced for adoption at the fifth public hearing scheduled for July 7, 2026.

#### Public Outreach

In addition to the formal public hearing process, the City is continuing to implement a robust effort to encourage participation and inclusivity as a part of the districting process, including:

- Hosting a dedicated page for districting on the City's website at [www.elcerrito.gov/districts](http://www.elcerrito.gov/districts), which includes information on the districting process, how to provide public input, information on public hearings and community events, documents and details of past actions, draft maps and community input submissions.
- Utilizing an interactive online tool for community members to easily submit input on communities of interest and potential district boundaries, in addition to providing paper-based options for submitting feedback.
- Conducting in-person outreach around the community, including at the annual City of El Cerrito/worldOne July 4th Festival (July 4, 2026).
- Translating key outreach collateral and documents, including frequently asked questions and instructions for how to provide input, into Spanish and Chinese (Simplified), the two most commonly spoken languages in El Cerrito other than English.
- And, using a variety of public communication channels to engage members of the community and solicit feedback throughout the development of potential maps and the transition to district-based elections, including social media, e-newsletters, and other City outreach channels.

### **STRATEGIC PLAN CONSIDERATIONS**

This action supports the [City's Strategic Plan Goal\(s\)](#) of:

- *High Performing Organization; and*

- *Livability and Belonging.*

**ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This section is not applicable to this agenda item.

**FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

There are no financial considerations or actions required for this hearing. Costs associated with holding the hearing are covered under the funds appropriated by the City Council to complete the transition process.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The City Attorney has reviewed and approved of this item and all actions are in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements.

**Reviewed by:**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Karen Pinkos', is written over a faint, light-colored rectangular stamp.

Karen Pinkos, City Manager

**Attachments:**

1. Presentation



# Fourth Public hearing

June 16, 2026

# What is the CVRA?

The California Voting Rights Act is a state law that prohibits the use of At Large Election Systems in local government if there is Racially Polarized Voting.

“At Large” is defined as anything other than a system in which an elected official lives in a district, and is only elected by members of that district. Does not include “multi-member” districts.

Districts are being drawn under this expedited process, but will not be done in time for the 2026 election. The first election under these lines will be in 2028.

# Required Redistricting Criteria

Traditional redistricting principles used throughout the country and written into state law

There are a number of criteria that are required under the FAIR MAPS Act (ranked):

- Relatively equal size - people, not citizens
- Contiguous – districts should not hop/jump
- Maintain “*communities of interest*”
- Easily identifiable and understandable lines, following natural and man-made boundaries
- Keep districts compact – appearance/function

# Additional Redistricting Rules


Criteria required beyond Traditional Principles

The FAIR MAPS Act adds more criteria to the process.

- Not consider Incumbents / Candidates.
- Not draw districts to advantage or disadvantage a political party.
- Hold minimum set of hearings, encourage public engagement.
- Posting of all districting/redistricting information on a website that is maintained *for the next 10 years*.

# Online Mapping Tool

<https://www.udrawthelines.com/>



The screenshot shows the homepage of the City of El Cerrito's Public Mapping Tool. At the top, there is a navigation bar with three tabs: "DRAW", "PLAN GALLERY", and "WORKS IN PROGRESS". Below the navigation bar, the title "City of El Cerrito" is prominently displayed in a large, bold, black font, followed by the subtitle "Public Mapping Tool" in a smaller, regular black font. Underneath the title, there are three language selection buttons: "English" (highlighted in blue), "Español", and "中文". The main content area contains a paragraph explaining the city's transition from an at-large election system to a by-district system, followed by a bulleted list of two options for district maps. Below this, there is a section titled "We're asking for your help with two things:" followed by a numbered list of two tasks: identifying communities of interest and providing draft district maps. At the bottom, a paragraph states that every submitted map will be reviewed by professional demographers, staff, and the current City Council.

English Español 中文

The City of El Cerrito is in the process of moving from an at-large election system to a by-district election system. To draw these new election districts for the City Council, we need to hear from you! It's important the City knows about your community as the lines are being drawn so the City Council district lines can amplify the voices of residents. Learn more on our website at [elcerrito.gov/districts](http://elcerrito.gov/districts).

The El Cerrito City Council will be considering two options for the district maps:

- A 4-district map with an "at-large" mayor elected by voters.
- A 5-district map where the role of mayor is decided by the City Council annually, as it is currently.

We're asking for your help with two things:

1. **Identifying communities of interest:** A community of interest is a group of people in a geographic area who share common social or economic interests. Examples of communities can include neighborhoods, areas where many residents speak the same language, or areas where the residents use the same public facilities. For more information about communities of interest and to access a form to help identify yours, visit: [www.elcerrito.gov/districts](http://www.elcerrito.gov/districts)
2. **Providing draft district maps:** Use our online tool to identify the boundaries of your community or submit your ideas for election district maps.

Every map submitted will be carefully reviewed by professional demographers, staff, and the current City Council, who are in charge of drawing the boundary map.

# Public Submissions

Dozens of maps drawn on Districtr Tool with a variety of different layouts and prioritizing different communities of interest.


- San Pablo Corridor
- Downtown/Urban Density
- School District Areas
- Hillside/Open Space/Fire
- Other Criteria



# Renter COI Map

Following last hearing, a map of the renter communities from the Census was created.


*This can also be seen as a shaded density layer or a set of overlay from the community of interest maps.*



# Renter COI Map

Following last hearing, a map of the renter communities from the Census was created.


*This can also be seen as a shaded density layer or a set of overlay from the community of interest maps.*




# Renter COI Map

Following last hearing, a map of the renter communities from the Census was created.


*This can also be seen as a shaded density layer or a set of overlay from the community of interest maps.*




# Draft Maps



# Draft Maps: Draft A



- Total Population Deviation: 5.5%
- Based on School District Attendance Areas
- Compact Shapes
- Maintains Hillside Area




**2020 Census**

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	5,373	5,164	5,195	5,084	5,184
Deviation	173	-36	-5	-116	-16
Deviation %	3.3%	-0.7%	-0.1%	-2.2%	-0.3%
Other	2,558	3,350	2,052	2,962	2,887
Other %	47.6%	64.9%	39.5%	58.3%	55.7%
Latino	755	495	797	448	590
Latino %	14.1%	9.6%	15.3%	8.8%	11.4%
Asian	1,854	1,138	1,910	1,485	1,315
Asian %	34.5%	22.0%	36.8%	29.2%	25.4%
Black	206	181	436	189	392
Black %	3.8%	3.5%	8.4%	3.7%	7.6%


**Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	3,671	3,664	3,443	3,666	3,711
Other CVAP	1,801	2,744	1,552	2,316	2,144
Other CVAP %	49.1%	70.7%	48.1%	59.7%	57.3%
Latino CVAP	637	322	517	455	355
Latino CVAP %	17.4%	8.3%	16.0%	11.7%	9.5%
Asian CVAP	986	734	923	977	979
Asian CVAP %	26.9%	18.9%	28.6%	25.2%	26.1%
Black CVAP	247	82	233	132	266
Black CVAP %	6.7%	2.1%	7.2%	3.4%	7.1%

# Draft Maps: Draft A2



- Total Population Deviation: 2%
- Based on School District Attendance Areas
- Compact Shapes
- Maintains Hillside Area
- Adjusted around Renter COI




**2020 Census**

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	5,213	5,221	5,173	5,145	5,248
Deviation	13	21	-27	-55	48
Deviation %	0.2%	0.4%	-0.5%	-1.1%	0.9%
Other	2,222	3,569	1,881	3,019	3,118
Other %	42.6%	68.4%	36.4%	58.7%	59.4%
Latino	722	478	885	510	490
Latino %	13.8%	9.2%	17.1%	9.9%	9.3%
Asian	2,030	1,033	1,894	1,415	1,330
Asian %	38.9%	19.8%	36.6%	27.5%	25.3%
Black	239	141	513	201	310
Black %	4.6%	2.7%	9.9%	3.9%	5.9%


**Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	3,363	3,363	4,330	7,001	3,217
Other CVAP	1,527	2,808	1,328	2,438	2,456
Other CVAP %	43.6%	71.8%	44.4%	59.7%	62.7%
Latino CVAP	603	377	567	465	274
Latino CVAP %	17.2%	9.6%	19.0%	11.4%	7.0%
Asian CVAP	1,116	673	825	1,063	922
Asian CVAP %	31.8%	17.2%	27.6%	26.0%	23.6%
Black CVAP	259	51	270	118	262
Black CVAP %	7.4%	1.3%	9.0%	2.9%	6.7%

# Draft Maps: Draft B



- Total Population Deviation: 4%
- Eastern Hills Together
- Compact Shapes
- Easily Understood




**2020 Census**

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	5,205	5,206	5,277	5,072	5,240
Deviation	5	6	77	-128	40
Deviation %	0.1%	0.1%	1.5%	-2.5%	0.8%
Other	2,452	2,888	3,370	2,158	2,941
Other %	47.1%	55.5%	63.9%	42.5%	56.1%
Latino	733	621	395	772	564
Latino %	14.1%	11.9%	7.5%	15.2%	10.8%
Asian	1,823	1,428	1,292	1,800	1,359
Asian %	35.0%	27.4%	24.5%	35.5%	25.9%
Black	197	269	220	342	376
Black %	3.8%	5.2%	4.2%	6.7%	7.2%


**Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	3,552	3,550	4,071	3,369	3,860
Other CVAP	1,736	2,209	2,639	1,715	2,258
Other CVAP %	48.9%	62.2%	64.8%	50.9%	58.5%
Latino CVAP	618	367	440	534	327
Latino CVAP %	17.4%	10.3%	10.8%	15.9%	8.5%
Asian CVAP	967	848	885	915	984
Asian CVAP %	27.2%	23.9%	21.7%	27.2%	25.5%
Black CVAP	231	126	107	205	291
Black CVAP %	6.5%	3.5%	2.6%	6.1%	7.5%

# Draft Maps: Draft C



- Total Population Deviation: 5%
- Creates Hillside District
- San Pablo Corridor Seat
- Follows major streets
- Compact (except for Dist 5)




**2020 Census**

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	5,245	5,025	5,138	5,284	5,308
Deviation	45	-175	-62	84	108
Deviation %	0.9%	-3.4%	-1.2%	1.6%	2.1%
Other	2,779	2,947	3,144	3,121	1,818
Other %	53.0%	58.6%	61.2%	59.1%	34.3%
Latino	573	552	424	633	903
Latino %	10.9%	11.0%	8.3%	12.0%	17.0%
Asian	1,525	1,394	1,301	1,334	2,148
Asian %	29.1%	27.7%	25.3%	25.2%	40.5%
Black	368	132	269	196	439
Black %	7.0%	2.6%	5.2%	3.7%	8.3%


**Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	3,600	3,825	4,081	3,882	3,014
Other CVAP	2,016	2,173	2,518	2,533	1,317
Other CVAP %	56.0%	56.8%	61.7%	65.2%	43.7%
Latino CVAP	293	466	479	443	605
Latino CVAP %	8.1%	12.2%	11.7%	11.4%	20.1%
Asian CVAP	1,034	1,004	932	807	822
Asian CVAP %	28.7%	26.2%	22.8%	20.8%	27.3%
Black CVAP	257	182	152	99	270
Black CVAP %	7.1%	4.8%	3.7%	2.6%	9.0%

# Draft Maps: Draft C2



- Total Population Deviation: 7.8%
- Creates Hillside District
- San Pablo Corridor Seat
- Follows major streets
- Adjusted for Renter COI



**2020 Census**

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	5,103	4,990	5,248	5,262	5,397
Deviation	-97	-210	48	62	197
Deviation %	-1.9%	-4.0%	0.9%	1.2%	3.8%
Other	3,032	2,088	3,354	3,326	2,009
Other %	59.4%	41.8%	63.9%	63.2%	37.2%
Latino	477	679	392	624	913
Latino %	9.3%	13.6%	7.5%	11.9%	16.9%
Asian	1,293	1,985	1,283	1,178	1,963
Asian %	25.3%	39.8%	24.4%	22.4%	36.4%
Black	301	238	219	134	512
Black %	5.9%	4.8%	4.2%	2.5%	9.5%

**Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	3,816	3,421	4,053	4,012	3,100
Other CVAP	2,382	1,498	2,627	2,615	1,435
Other CVAP %	62.4%	43.8%	64.8%	65.2%	46.3%
Latino CVAP	265	575	440	440	566
Latino CVAP %	6.9%	16.8%	10.9%	11.0%	18.3%
Asian CVAP	907	1,108	879	866	839
Asian CVAP %	23.8%	32.4%	21.7%	21.6%	27.1%
Black CVAP	262	240	107	91	260
Black CVAP %	6.9%	7.0%	2.6%	2.3%	8.4%

# City of El Cerrito

First two public hearings devoted to community of interest testimony gathering and education on districting process

## Public Hearing Schedule

May 5, 2026

First public hearing

May 19, 2025

Second public hearing

June 9, 2026

Third public hearing (first maps hearing)

**June 16, 2026**

**Fourth public hearing**

July 7, 2026

Fifth public hearing (vote on maps)

**Mayor**  
 Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
 Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
 William Ktsanes  
 Lisa Motoyama  
 Carolyn Wysinger

This information can be interpreted for you free of charge. Visit City Hall at 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 or learn more at [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess)

Esta información se puede interpretar gratuitamente. Visite el Ayuntamiento en 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 o obtenga más información en [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess)

这些信息可免费为您解释。请访问位于 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 的市政厅，或访问 [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess) 了解更多信息

**DRAFT MINUTES**  
**TUESDAY, APRIL 7, 2026**

**REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING (6:00 PM)**  
 Council Chambers - 10890 San Pablo Ave, El Cerrito

**Join Via Zoom:**  
<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83882312364?pwd=AN33FvFvsVslklZfnrYKt1ulbeZMla.1>  
**Meeting ID:** 838 8231 2364 **Passcode:** 813859 **Dial in:** 1-408-638-0968

Please note that due to a delay in hardware modifications to Council Chambers audio-visual equipment, this meeting will not be broadcast or livestreamed online.

**Public Comments:**

1. In-person, by submitting a request to speak to the City Clerk.
2. Via Zoom, using the "[Raise Hand](#)" feature to request to speak.
3. By phone, dialing \*9 to "raise your hand", and \*6 to unmute.
4. Online, using the "[Submit Comments](#)" feature on the [meeting event](#).
5. Via email to [cityclerk@elcerrito.gov](mailto:cityclerk@elcerrito.gov) indicating **Public Comments – Agenda Item #.**

Written comments received by **2:00 PM** the day of the meeting will be provided to the City Council and posted online in advance of the meeting. Comments received after the deadline will be provided to the City Council and posted after the meeting.

**Accommodations:** In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Clerk at 510-215-4305. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable accommodations. Closed Captions are available via zoom.

**Conduct:** This meeting is held pursuant to City Council [Rules of Order and Procedure](#).

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

**6:00 PM ROLL CALL – CONVENE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

Mayor Quinto called the meeting to order at 6:01 pm.

**Present In-Person:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama **Present Remotely:** Councilmember Wysinger (Just Cause due to a need related to a physical or mental disability) **Absent:** None

**1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OR OBSERVATION OF A MOMENT OF SILENCE**

**2. TELECONFERENCE AND PUBLIC COMMENT INSTRUCTIONS**

**3. COUNCIL/STAFF COMMUNICATIONS**

*Reports of closed session, commission appointments and informational reports on matters of general interest which are announced by the City Council and staff.*

City Manager Pinkos - thanked staff for the work on the upgrades to the Council Chambers equipment.

Fire Chief Saylor - announced upcoming planned controlled burns in the Hillside Natural Area.

Councilmember Motoyama - announced Best of El Cerrito.com nominations, and Messy Art Day on 4/19.

Councilmember Wysinger - commented on Passover and Good Friday events attended, and announced Record Store Day at Down Home Music on 4/18.

Mayor Quinto - reported on attendance at recent ribbon cutting for new Taco Bell location in El Cerrito; announced El Cerrito Garden Club plant sale on 4/19, Library Task Force applications due by 4/17, issuance of Mayoral Proclamations for Centenarians David Graber and Frank Tangherlini; and presented a Mayoral Certificate of Recognition to Centenarian Omezella Gibson who turned 102 last month.

**4. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC**

*Remarks are typically limited to 3 minutes per person. The Mayor may reduce the time limit per speaker depending upon the number of speakers and may limit the total time for public comment to facilitate the completion of business on the agenda. Comments regarding non-agenda, presentation and consent calendar items will be heard first. Comments related to items appearing on the Public Hearing or Policy Matter portions of the Agenda will be heard prior to the City Council taking action on each item.*

**Public Comments:** Written comments submitted prior to and during the meeting are posted online as supplemental materials and incorporated into the official meeting record.

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

Michael Coan - commented on access to the City Attorney, and senior exemptions in the proposed library tax measure.

Bill Barish - spoke regarding statistics of public comments at prior council meetings regarding the proposed library tax measure and need for additional public discussion.

Michael Fischer, Michelle Fadelli - spoke in support of the proposed library tax measure.

Darby Falkenstine, Ira Sharenow - spoke in opposition of the proposed library tax measure.

## 5. PRESENTATIONS

## 6. ADOPTION OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR

*All items on the consent calendar shall be acted upon in one motion, unless a member of the City Council or staff request separate consideration.*

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Ktsanes/Councilmember Motoyama

**Action:** Approved the consent calendar as indicated below. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

### A. Approval of Minutes

**Action Proposed:** Approve the City Council Meeting minutes from March 3 and 17, 2026.

**Contact:** Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk, City Management

**Action:** Approved minutes

### B. Adopt an Ordinance for Permanent Outdoor Dining and Retail Sales Regulations

*Introduced on 3/17/2026. Exempt from CEQA pursuant to sections 15060(c)(2) and 15061(b)(3).*

**Action Proposed:** Adopt the ordinance titled:

*An Ordinance of the City of El Cerrito to Amend Title 19 of the El Cerrito Municipal Code by Amending Section 19.20.150 and Repealing Section 19.20.160*

**Contact:** Diego Romero, Associate Planner; Sean Moss, Planning Manager, Community Development Department

**Action:** Adopted Ordinance No. 2026-1

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**C. Authorize Annual Report for Landscaping and Lighting Assessment District for Fiscal Year 2026-27**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution directing NBS Local Government Solutions (NBS), as the designated Engineer of Work, to prepare and file the annual Landscaping and Lighting Assessment District No. 1988-1 report for Fiscal Year (FY) 2026-27.

**Contact:** Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer, Finance Department; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**Action:** Approved Resolution No. 2026-18

**D. Earth Day Proclamation**

**Action Proposed:** Pass a motion to approve a proclamation declaring April 25, 2026 as "Earth Day" in the City of El Cerrito and encouraging all residents and businesses to help make El Cerrito a greener, healthier, more sustainable place for all.

**Contact:** Christina Leard, Acting Senior Management Analyst, Public Works Department

**Action:** Approved proclamation

**E. Economic Development Committee Appointment**

**Action Proposed:** Approve an Economic Development Committee recommendation to appoint Julia Salinas, effective April 1, 2026.

**Contact:** Aissia Ashoori, Housing-Economic Development Manager; Community Development Department

**Action:** Approved appointment

**7. PUBLIC HEARINGS**

**8. POLICY MATTERS**

**A. Local Road Safety Plan Study Session**

**Action Proposed:** Conduct a study session on key policy areas in the proposed Local Road Safety Plan and provide possible direction to City staff regarding these policies.

**Contact:** Jarrett Mullen, Sustainable Transportation Program Manager, Community Development Department; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding speed limits on San Pablo, automated speed enforcement, curb access, drop off activities, bikeways, pedestrian safeguards, options for immediate actions to address concerns, challenges and cross-coordination in working on Caltrans right of way, challenges with Carlson, adequacy of current traffic enforcement ticketing system, and effects of legislation related to removal of Jay Walking violations.

**Public Comments:**

Janet Byron - spoke regarding residents looking for slower, safer streets, traffic-calming measures, and the need to start implementing changes.

Ira Sharenow - commented regarding safety on the Ohlone Greenway, funding for bikeway on Richmond Street, and parking study status.

Steve Price - spoke regarding biking and pedestrian safety concerns.

Michael Coan - commented on google maps directing traffic incorrectly.

Barbara Chan - spoke on prior incidents and speeding concerns on Arlington.

**Action:** None

**B. Library Tax Exemption Study Session**

**Action Proposed:** Conduct a study session on proposed and alternative potential exemptions for the proposed Library Tax Initiative (Measure C) and, if changes are desired, provide direction regarding actions to expand exemptions.

**Contact:** Sky Woodruff, City Attorney

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding use of exemptions on existing taxes, potential revenue losses, application process, administrative workload, income levels of individuals versus households, reimbursement versus exemption, revenues and use for administrative costs, impacts of lost revenue, and approved versus applied versus qualified.

**Public Comments:** Written comments submitted prior to and during the meeting are posted online as supplemental materials and incorporated into the official meeting record.

Susan Obayashi - spoke regarding misleading language in the proposed tax measure regarding senior exemptions.

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

Bill Barish - commented regarding expansion of exemptions and how to determine who they could apply to, and application process.

Michael Fischer - spoke in support of potential exemptions beyond what is in the proposed tax measure.

Michelle Fadelli - spoke in support for clarification of exemptions and intent, and alternate approaches to determining income restrictions.

Ira Sharenow - commented on City Attorney's contract and fees and estimates for revenues.

**Action:** General direction to return with a resolution to clarify the intent and interpretation by the City of the exemption language in the proposed library tax measure, striking 40% equity and no reverse mortgage qualifications.

## 9. CITY COUNCIL LOCAL & REGIONAL LIAISON ASSIGNMENTS

*Mayor and City Council communications regarding local and regional liaison assignments, committee reports, and any required reporting under AB 1234 for meetings (as defined by the Brown Act) attended at the public's expense.*

Councilmember Motoyama - reported on attendance at Association of Bay Area Governments Lobbying Day, the East Bay Wildfire Coalition of Governments meeting, Cal Cities Revenue and Taxation Policy Committee meeting, and Good Friday breakfast at St. Peters Church.

Councilmember Wysinger - reported on attendance at the National League of Cities (NLC) Conference, NLC Public Safety Policy Committee meeting, and Cal Cities Public Safety Policy Committee meeting.

Councilmember Ktsanes - requested a study session at the next council meeting regarding flock cameras; reported on attendance at West Contra Costa Transportation Advisory Committee (WCCTAC) meeting, WCCTAC finance sub-committee meeting, Passover event, and Community Safety Committee meeting.

Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman - reported on attendance at meeting of Council Ad-Hoc on Advisory Bodies, City Crab Feed, West Contra Costa Transportation Commission meeting, Contra Costa Mayors Conference, Community Passover Seder at Temple Beth Halau.

Mayor Quinto - reported on attendance at meeting of Council Ad-Hoc on Advisory Bodies, and NLC conference.

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

**10. ADJOURN REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

*The next regularly scheduled City Council meeting is Tuesday, April 21, 2026 at 6:00 p.m.*

*The regular meeting adjourned at 9:01 PM*

---

Gabe Quinto, Mayor

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the minutes of the City Council meeting of April 7, 2026 as approved by the El Cerrito City Council.

---

Holly M. Charl  ty, MMC, City Clerk

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

This information can be interpreted for you free of charge. Visit City Hall at 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 or learn more at [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess)

Esta información se puede interpretar gratuitamente. Visite el Ayuntamiento en 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 o obtenga más información en [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess)

这些信息可免费为您解释。请访问位于 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 的市政厅, 或访问 [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess) 了解更多信息

## **DRAFT MINUTES**

### **MONDAY, APRIL 13, 2026**

**SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING (3:00 PM)**  
Hillside Conference Room - 10890 San Pablo Ave, El Cerrito

**Join Via Zoom:**

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/84979365775?pwd=JyFp5vWrcNRzTS05shd7RmVEhLbiB.1>

**Meeting ID:** 849 7936 5775 **Passcode:** 696742 **Dial in:** 1-408-638-0968

**Public Comments:**

1. In-person, by submitting a request to speak to the City Clerk.
2. Via Zoom, using the "[Raise Hand](#)" feature to request to speak.
3. By phone, dialing \*9 to "raise your hand", and \*6 to unmute.
4. Online, using the "[Submit Comments](#)" feature on the [meeting event](#).
5. Via email to [cityclerk@elcerrito.gov](mailto:cityclerk@elcerrito.gov) indicating **Public Comments – Agenda Item #**.

Written comments received by **11:00 AM** the day of the meeting will be provided to the City Council and posted online in advance of the meeting. Comments received after the deadline will be provided to the City Council and posted after the meeting.

**Accommodations:** In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Clerk at 510-215-4305. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable accommodations. Closed Captions are available via zoom.

**Conduct:** This meeting is held pursuant to City Council [Rules of Order and Procedure](#).

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

- 
- 3:00 PM ROLL CALL - CONVENE SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
Mayor Quinto called the meeting to order at 3:01 PM.  
**Present:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger (arrived at 4:05 PM)  
**Absent:** None
- 1. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC**  
*All persons wishing to speak should sign up with the City Clerk. Remarks are typically limited to 3 minutes per person and to items on the special meeting agenda only.*
  - 2. CLOSED SESSION CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL ANTICIPATED LITIGATION - SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION: ONE POTENTIAL CASE**  
*Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(2)*  
**Contact:** Sky Woodruff, City Attorney, City Management  
No reportable action.
  - 3. CLOSED SESSION PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**  
*Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957*  
**Title:** City Manager  
**Contact:** Sky Woodruff, City Attorney, City Management  
No reportable action.
  - 4. CLOSED SESSION CONFERENCE WITH NEGOTIATORS**  
*Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6*  
**Agency Designated Representative:** Mayor Quinto  
**Unrepresented Employee:** City Manager  
**Contact:** Sky Woodruff, City Attorney, City Management  
No reportable action.
  - 5. ADJOURN SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
The special meeting adjourned at 7:04 PM

---

Gabe Quinto, Mayor

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the minutes of the City Council meeting of April 13, 2026 as approved by the El Cerrito City Council.

---

Holly M. Charl  y, MMC, City Clerk

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

This information can be interpreted for you free of charge. Visit City Hall at 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 or learn more at [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess)

Esta información se puede interpretar gratuitamente. Visite el Ayuntamiento en 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 o obtenga más información en [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess)

这些信息可免费为您解释。请访问位于 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 的市政厅，或访问 [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess) 了解更多信息

## **DRAFT MINUTES**

**TUESDAY, APRIL 21, 2026**

### **SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING (5:00 PM)**

Hillside Conference Room - 10890 San Pablo Ave, El Cerrito

**Join Via Zoom:**

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83763836711?pwd=Fw6a1W8lItIQDpVq9cki2dubWgmkcW.1>

**Meeting ID:** 837 6383 6711 **Passcode:** 144245 **Dial in:** 1-408-638-0968

### **REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING (6:00 PM)**

Council Chambers - 10890 San Pablo Ave, El Cerrito

**Join Via Zoom:**

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/85489680070?pwd=RqMd0Dd7uajWizP451Ojv9ADlapHn6.1>

**Meeting ID:** 854 8968 0070 **Passcode:** 693281 **Dial in:** 1-408-638-0968

Please note that due to a delay in hardware modifications to Council Chambers audio-visual equipment, this meeting will not be broadcast or livestreamed online.

#### **Public Comments:**

1. In-person, by submitting a request to speak to the City Clerk.
2. Via Zoom, using the "[Raise Hand](#)" feature to request to speak.
3. By phone, dialing \*9 to "raise your hand", and \*6 to unmute.
4. Online, using the "[Submit Comments](#)" feature on the [meeting event](#).
5. Via email to [cityclerk@elcerrito.gov](mailto:cityclerk@elcerrito.gov) indicating **Public Comments – Agenda Item #**.

Written comments received by **2:00 PM** the day of the meeting will be provided to the City Council and posted online in advance of the meeting. Comments received after the deadline will be provided to the City Council and posted after the meeting.

**Accommodations:** In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Clerk at 510-215-4305. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable accommodations. Closed Captions are available via zoom.

**Conduct:** This meeting is held pursuant to City Council [Rules of Order and Procedure](#).

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

- 
- 5:00 PM ROLL CALL - CONVENE SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
Mayor Quinto called the meeting to order at 5:05 PM.  
**Present:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Absent:** None
- 1. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC**  
*All persons wishing to speak should sign up with the City Clerk. Remarks are typically limited to 3 minutes per person and to items on the special meeting agenda only.*
  - 2. CLOSED SESSION CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL ANTICIPATED LITIGATION - SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION: ONE POTENTIAL CASE**  
*Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(2)*  
  
**Contact:** Sky Woodruff, City Attorney, City Management  
*No reportable action.*
  - 3. ADJOURN SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
*The special meeting adjourned at 5:40 PM*
- 6:00 PM ROLL CALL – CONVENE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
Mayor Quinto called the meeting to order at 6:03 PM.  
**Present:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Absent:** None
- 1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OR OBSERVATION OF A MOMENT OF SILENCE**
  - 2. TELECONFERENCE AND PUBLIC COMMENT INSTRUCTIONS**
  - 3. COUNCIL/STAFF COMMUNICATIONS**  
*Reports of closed session, commission appointments and informational reports on matters of general interest which are announced by the City Council and staff.*  
*Senior Management Analyst Christina Leard - provided an update on upcoming Earth Day activities.*  
  
*Mayor Quinto - reported on speaking engagement at San Francisco Climate Week.*
  - 4. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC**  
*Remarks are typically limited to 3 minutes per person. The Mayor may reduce the time limit per speaker depending upon the number of speakers and may limit the total time for public comment to facilitate the completion of business on the agenda. Comments regarding non-agenda, presentation and consent calendar items will be heard first. Comments related to items appearing on the Public Hearing or Policy Matter portions of the Agenda will be heard prior to the City Council taking action on each item.*

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**Public Comments:** Written comments submitted prior to and during the meeting are posted online as supplemental materials and incorporated into the official meeting record.

Michael Fischer - commented on the senior exemption parameters in the proposed library tax.

James Silvey - spoke in support of the proposed library tax, Measure C.

Charles Taylor - spoke regarding the intent of senior exemptions in the proposed library tax.

Barbara Lass - commented on the Trail Trekkers and desired trail improvement projects.

Eve Ma - spoke regarding ducks at Arlington Park.

Bill Barish - commented on the need to further clarify the senior exemptions in the proposed measure.

Michael McDougell - commented on the quarterly investment report and expected additional funding contributions into the section 115 trust.

Wade Huntley - spoke regarding relationship between the City and Trail Trekkers.

Sherry Drobner - shared information regarding Oakland airport and shipping of weapons.

Ira Sharenow - commented regarding the proposed library tax and use of revenues.

Sevgi Fernandez - commented regarding concerns on surveillance and the proposed flock agreement.

## **B. Education and Sharing Day Proclamation**

**Action Proposed:** Pass a motion to approve a proclamation declaring March 29, 2026 as Education and Sharing Day in the City of El Cerrito and to raise awareness and strengthen the education of our children.

**Contact:** Holly M. Charl  ty, City Clerk, City Management  
(Heard out of Order)

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Motoyama/Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman **Action:** Approved the Revised Proclamation. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

## 5. PRESENTATIONS

### A. El Cerrito Library Update and National Library Week Proclamation

**Action Proposed:** Receive and file an update from the El Cerrito Library and pass a motion to approve a proclamation declaring April 19-25, 2026 as National Library Week in the City of El Cerrito and encourage all residents to visit the library.

**Contact:** Heidi Goldstein, Senior Community Library Manager, Contra Costa County Library

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding the tech exchange, number of heat closures in 2025, and LGBTQIA collection.

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Motoyama/Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman  
**Action:** Approved the proclamation. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

## 6. ADOPTION OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR

*All items on the consent calendar shall be acted upon in one motion, unless a member of the City Council or staff request separate consideration.*

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Motoyama/Councilmember Wysinger **Action:** Approved items A and C-H on the consent calendar as indicated below. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

### A. California Cities Week Proclamation

**Action Proposed:** Approve a proclamation recognizing April 19- 25, 2026 as California Cities Week in El Cerrito.

**Contact:** Holly Charl ty, City Clerk, City Management

**Action:** Approved proclamation

### C. Monthly Disbursement and Check Register Report for March 2026

**Action Proposed:** Receive and file the Monthly Disbursement and Check Register Report for the month of March 2026.

**Contact:** Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer, Finance Department

**Action:** Received and filed

### D. FY 2025-26 Third Quarter Cash and Investment Report

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

**Action Proposed:** Receive and file the City's Third Quarter Cash and Investment Report for the period January 1, 2026 to March 31, 2026.

**Contact:** Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer, Finance Department

**Action:** Received and filed

**E. Measure C Senior Exemptions Resolution of Clarification and Intent**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a Resolution of clarification and intent regarding senior exemptions for Measure C, if approved by voters. **Contact:** Sky Woodruff, City Attorney, City Management

**Action:** Approved Resolution No. 2026-19

**F. Senate Bill 1383 Memorandum of Understanding with the West Contra Costa Integrated Waste Management Authority**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution approving a Memorandum of Understanding with the West Contra Costa Integrated Waste Management Authority, also known as RecycleMore, outlining the roles and responsibilities of both parties in implementing the Short-lived Climate Pollutant Reduction Act (Senate Bill 1383) regulations; and authorizing the City Manager to execute the MOU.

**Contact:** Christina Leard, Acting Senior Management Analyst; Karineh Samkian, Senior Program Manager; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**Action:** Approved Resolution No. 2026-20

**G. Authorization for purchase of a 2026 Chevrolet Silverado EV (CT35843) e4WD Crew Cab Standard Range Work Truck**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a purchase agreement with National Auto Fleet Group, through a Sourcewell Cooperative Purchasing Agreement (Contract No. 081325-NAF), for an amount not to exceed \$70,000 for the purchase and upfitting of a Chevrolet Silverado EV (CT35843) e4WD Crew Cab Standard Range Work Truck with emergency warning lights.

**Contact:** Jaime Lew, Recycling Operations Supervisor; Christina Leard, Acting Senior Management Analyst; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**Action:** Approved Resolution No. 2026-21

**H. Adopt Landscape and Lighting Assessment (LLAD) for Fiscal Year 2026-27 and Adopt Resolution of Intent to Order Improvements; and Set the Public Hearing as May 05, 2026**

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**Action Proposed:** Accept the Engineer's Report specifying assessments for Landscape and Lighting Assessment District No 1988-1 for Fiscal Year 2026-27 and adopt a Resolution of Intention to order improvements pursuant to the Landscape and Lighting Act of 1972, and set the time, date, and place of the public hearing as May 05, 2026 at 6:00 p.m.

**Contact:** Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer, Finance Department

**Action:** Approved Resolution No. 2026-22

## 7. PUBLIC HEARINGS

### A. Annual Review and Renewal of the Military Equipment Ordinance *Notice Published on 4/11/2026*

**Action Proposed:** Conduct a public hearing, and upon conclusion, adopt a resolution approving the El Cerrito Police Department Military Equipment Report for 2025 and the Military Equipment Policy, including an updated Equipment Inventory, and renewing Ordinance 2022-01.

**Contact:** Paul Keith, Chief of Police, Police Department

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding use of teargas and other projectiles, use of drones and location of manufacturing, oversight of policy use of drones and tracking of use and data related to use.

**Public Hearing:** Mayor Quinto opened the public hearing.

#### **Public Comments:**

Jason Martens - spoke regarding banned use of teargas.

Ira Sharenow - commented on the library presentation and statistics regarding library usage.

**Moved/Seconded:** Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman/Councilmember Motoyama

**Action:** Closed the public hearing. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**Moved/Seconded:** Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman/Councilmember Motoyama

**Action:** Approved Resolution No. 2026-23 **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

## 8. POLICY MATTERS

### A. Flock Safety Agreement Renewal

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**Action Proposed:** Authorize the City Manager to renew an agreement with Flock Safety for the provision of license plate reading cameras to the City for a period of three years at a cost not to exceed \$315,000.

**Contact:** Paul Keith, Chief of Police, Police Department

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding additional public outreach, potential hacks into El Cerrito online system information, third party authorized requests made in our system, inclusion of the aggregated data provision in revised agreement, impact of federal law changes, reason for delay in identifying unauthorized access, potential legal risks, and city positions that have access to data.

**Public Comments:** Written comments submitted prior to and during the meeting are posted online as supplemental materials and incorporated into the official meeting record.

Katherine Kimsey, Ry Crawford, Cindy McPherson, Talia Davidow, Sandy Davis, Laura Palin, Joanna Lawrence Shenk, Eric Lawrence Shenk, Tom Parks, Destry Miller, Destry Miller, Roshi, Stephanie Singer, Jiggy Geronimo, Bill Barish, Tora Feldmanstern, Nakieshia Kos, Yuko, Kate Bolton-Schmukler, Timothy Keller, Brian Fogg, Miguel Gravelle, Rayah Long, Ariel Gold, Leah Carroll, Kamal Mutiani, Sherry Drobner, Jason Martens, Peter, Hope Dixon, Jane Hood, Nina - spoke regarding concerns or opposition to renewal of the contract.

**Action:** General direction provided to bring the item back on May 5 for further discussion and additional options to move forward.

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Ktsanes/Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman

**Action:** Passed a motion to invite the ACLU to provide a presentation at the May 5th Council meeting. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

City Council recessed from 8:59 PM to 9:10 PM

**B. Fiscal Year 2026-27 and Fiscal Year 2027-28 Biennial Budget Study Session**

**Action Proposed:** Conduct a study session on the Biennial Budget for Fiscal Years 2026-27 and 2027-28, including:

- Feedback from the Budget Survey and Budget Workshops
- Revenue and Major Expense Projections
- Preliminary Capital Improvement Program

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**Contact:** Claire Coleman, Budget/Financial Services Manager; Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding potential changes to Real Property Transfer Tax revenues, and Capital Improvement Projects oversight and labor tracking.

**Public Comments:**

Janet Byron - requested funds be allocated for public paths improvement projects

Ira Sharenow - commented on transparency in the budget process No action taken.

**C. Transition from At-Large to District-Based Elections for City Council beginning with the November 2028 General Municipal Election**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution declaring the City Council's intention to transition from at-large to district-based elections beginning with the November 2028 General Municipal Election; and set the first public hearing for May 5, 2026.

**Contact:** Sky Woodruff, City Attorney; Holly M. Charl ty, City Clerk, City Management

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding process, and intention of the law.

**Public Comments:** Written comments submitted prior to and during the meeting are posted online as supplemental materials and incorporated into the official meeting record.

Michael McDougall - spoke in support of adopting the action proposed and suggestions for drawing district boundaries.

Jeffrey Wright - commented on the diversity of El Cerrito and questioned the merits of the complaint filed, lobby for legislation changes.

**Moved/Seconded:** Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman/Councilmember Motoyama  
**Action:** Approved Resolution No. 2026-24. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

**9. CITY COUNCIL LOCAL & REGIONAL LIAISON ASSIGNMENTS**

*Mayor and City Council communications regarding local and regional liaison assignments, committee reports, and any required reporting under AB 1234 for meetings (as defined by the Brown Act) attended at the public's expense.*

Councilmember Ktsanes - reported on attendance at the Urban Forest Committee, RecycleMore meeting, and meetings with constituents.

Mayor Quinto - reported on attendance at the Bay Area Air Quality Board Stationary Source Committee meeting, and Cal Cities Gubernatorial interviews.

Councilmember Motoyama - reported on attendance at the Association of Bay Area Governments Housing Committee meeting, meeting with Cal Fire, and City Council closed session meeting.

**10. ADJOURN REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

*The next regularly scheduled City Council meeting is Tuesday, May 5, 2026 at 6:00 p.m.  
The regular meeting adjourned at 10:28 PM.*

---

Gabe Quinto, Mayor

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the minutes of the City Council meeting of April 21, 2026 as approved by the El Cerrito City Council.

---

Holly M. Charl y, MMC, City Clerk

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

This information can be interpreted for you free of charge. Visit City Hall at 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 or learn more at [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess)

Esta información se puede interpretar gratuitamente. Visite el Ayuntamiento en 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 o obtenga más información en [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess)

这些信息可免费为您解释。请访问位于 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 的市政厅, 或访问 [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess) 了解更多信息

## **DRAFT MINUTES**

### **TUESDAY, MAY 5, 2026**

**REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING (6:00 PM)**  
Council Chambers - 10890 San Pablo Ave, El Cerrito

**Join Via Zoom:**

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/86500776827?pwd=ek9VfYyuQasdNbrBmTISpnUyvzzOOC.1>

**Meeting ID:** 865 0077 6827 **Passcode:** 893726 **Dial in:** 1-408-638-0968

**View:**

1. Cable T.V. Broadcast on KCRT Channel 28
2. Livestream Online at [www.elcerrito.gov/CouncilMeetingMaterials](http://www.elcerrito.gov/CouncilMeetingMaterials)

**Public Comments:**

1. In-person, by submitting a request to speak to the City Clerk.
2. Via Zoom, using the "[Raise Hand](#)" feature to request to speak.
3. By phone, dialing \*9 to "raise your hand", and \*6 to unmute.
4. Online, using the "[Submit Comments](#)" feature on the [meeting event](#).
5. Via email to [cityclerk@elcerrito.gov](mailto:cityclerk@elcerrito.gov) indicating **Public Comments – Agenda Item #**.

Written comments received by **11:00 AM** the day of the meeting will be provided to the City Council and posted online in advance of the meeting. Comments received after the deadline will be provided to the City Council and posted after the meeting.

**Accommodations:** In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Clerk at 510-215-4305. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable accommodations. Closed Captions are available via zoom.

**Conduct:** This meeting is held pursuant to City Council [Rules of Order and Procedure](#).

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

**6:00 PM ROLL CALL – CONVENE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

Mayor Quinto called the meeting to order at 6:06 PM.

**Present:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Absent:** None

**1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OR OBSERVATION OF A MOMENT OF SILENCE**

**2. TELECONFERENCE AND PUBLIC COMMENT INSTRUCTIONS**

**3. COUNCIL/STAFF COMMUNICATIONS**

*Reports of closed session, commission appointments and informational reports on matters of general interest which are announced by the City Council and staff.*

City Manager Pinkos - announced and recognized Public Works staff and Public Service Recognition week.

Councilmember Motoyama - commented on the reopening of the Lap Pool.

Councilmember Ktsanes - reported on moderating an El Cerrito Democratic Club discussion on Measure C.

Mayor Quinto - presented Mayoral Proclamation to Thepo Tulku in recognition of retirement.

**4. FIRST PUBLIC HEARING ON TRANSITION TO DISTRICT-BASED ELECTIONS**

*The set start time of this public hearing is 6:15 PM. Notice was published on 4/25/2026.*

**Action Proposed:** Conduct the first public hearing regarding transition to District-Based Elections for City Councilmembers, including sequencing of the election and consideration of the composition of the districts in accordance with Election Code section 10010; and providing direction on number of districts and method of election for Mayor.

**Contact:** Sky Woodruff, City Attorney; Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk, City Management

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding effects of recent case law regarding the California Voting Rights Act timeline for the redistricting process, alternative voting methods, and protections under the safe harbor provision.

**Public Hearing:** Mayor Quinto opened the public hearing.

**Public Comments:**

Michael McDougell - spoke in support of four districts and a directly elected Mayor.

Betsy Bashor - commented on Hills versus the Flats and support of five districts.

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

Ira Sharenow - commented on the role of the City manager and Mayor, and budget concerns.

Michael Coan - questioned the sequencing of the election of seated Councilmembers.

Kerry Schulman - spoke in support of four districts and a directly elected Mayor.

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Motoyama/Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman **Action:** Closed the Public Hearing. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

## 5. **ORAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC**

*Remarks are typically limited to 3 minutes per person. The Mayor may reduce the time limit per speaker depending upon the number of speakers and may limit the total time for public comment to facilitate the completion of business on the agenda. Comments regarding non-agenda, presentation and consent calendar items will be heard first.*

*Comments related to items appearing on the Public Hearing or Policy Matter portions of the Agenda will be heard prior to the City Council taking action on each item.*

**Public Comments:** Written comments submitted prior to and during the meeting are posted online as supplemental materials and incorporated into the official meeting record.

Bill Wood - commented on safety concerns with mid-block crossings of the Ohlone Greenway.

Elsa Jennie - shared concerns regarding flock, proposed library tax, Richmond Street Project, and financial concerns.

Carrie Schulman - spoke regarding the street crossing safety needs to be increased on the Ohlone Greenway, prioritize and safety fixes at Central, Lincoln and Cutting.

Sue Hansen - commented on concerns regarding communication and need to disagree without being disagreeable within the community.

Tuan Ngo - importance of public safety needs, and crime increasing.

Ira Sharnow - commented on general fund balance and financial concerns.

## 6. **PRESENTATIONS**

## 7. **ADOPTION OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR**

*All items on the consent calendar shall be acted upon in one motion, unless a member of the City Council or staff request separate consideration.*

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**Moved/Seconded:** Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman/Councilmember Motoyama **Action:** Approved items A, C-D, F-G on the consent calendar as indicated below. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**A. National Public Works Week Proclamation**

**Action Proposed:** Approve a proclamation recognizing May 17-23, 2026 as National Public Works Week in El Cerrito.

**Contact:** A'shanti Coleman, Management Assistant; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**Action:** Approved proclamation

**B. Affordable Housing Month Proclamation**

**Action Proposed:** Approve a proclamation recognizing May as Affordable Housing Month in the City of El Cerrito.

**Contact:** Aissia Ashoori, Housing-Economic Development Manager, Community Development Department

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Motoyama/Councilmember Wysinger

**Action:** Approved Proclamation. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**C. Jewish American Heritage Month Proclamation**

**Action Proposed:** Approve a proclamation declaring the Month of May 2026 as Jewish American Heritage Month in the City of El Cerrito.

**Contact:** Shannon Bassi, Human Resources Manager, City Management

**Action:** Approved proclamation

**D. Asian American, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander Heritage Month Proclamation**

**Action Proposed:** Approve a proclamation declaring the Month of May 2026 as Asian American, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander Heritage Month in the City of El Cerrito.

**Contact:** Shannon Bassi, Human Resources Manager, City Management

**Action:** Approved proclamation

**E. Bike to Wherever Day Proclamation**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a Proclamation Recognizing Thursday, May 14, 2026 as Bike to Wherever Day in the City of El Cerrito.

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**Contact:** Jennifer Bright, Management Assistant, Community Development Department

**Moved/Seconded:** Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman/Councilmember Motoyama

**Action:** Approved proclamation. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**F. Environmental Quality Committee Appointment Recommendation**

**Action Proposed:** Approve an Environmental Quality Committee recommendation to appoint Mordecai Stayton to the Environmental Quality Committee, effective May 5, 2026.

**Contact:** Christina Leard, Acting Senior Management Analyst; Public Works Department

**Action:** Approved appointment

**G. FY 2025-26 Third Quarter General Fund Budget Update through March 31, 2026**

**Action Proposed:** Receive and file an update on City General Fund revenues and expenses for FY 2025-26 through March 31, 2026.

**Contact:** Claire Coleman, Budget and Financial Services Manager; Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer, Finance Department

**Action:** Received and filed

**8. PUBLIC HEARINGS**

**A. Public Hearing to Confirm the Diagram and to Levy the Assessment for Fiscal Year 2026-27 for Landscape and Lighting Assessment District No. 1988-1**

*Notice Published 4/25/2026*

**Action Proposed:** Conduct a public hearing, and upon conclusion, adopt a resolution setting the annual Landscape and Lighting Assessment for Fiscal Year (FY) 2026-27 as \$72 per single-family residential parcel, and as noted in the Engineer's Report for other classes of properties.

**Contact:** Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer, Finance Department; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**Public Hearing:** Mayor Quinto opened the public hearing.

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Motoyama/Councilmember Wysinger

**Action:** Closed the public hearing. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Wysinger/Councilmember Motoyama  
**Action:** Approved Resolution No. 2026-25 **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**B. Public Hearing regarding Fiscal Year 2026-27 Storm Drain Annual Report and method of collecting storm drain fees**

*Notice Published 4/25/26 & 5/01/26; 2/3 vote required to pass*

**Action Proposed:** Conduct a public hearing and upon conclusion adopt a resolution approving the Fiscal Year 2026-27 Storm Drain Annual Report and directing that Storm Drain Fees be collected on the property tax rolls.

**Contact:** Crystal Reams, Finance Director/Treasurer, Finance Department; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**Public Hearing:** Mayor Quinto opened the public hearing.

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Motoyama/Councilmember Wysinger

**Action:** Closed the public hearing. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Motoyama/Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman

**Action:** Approved Resolution No. 2026-26 **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**9. POLICY MATTERS**

**A. Fiscal Year 2026-27 and Fiscal Year 2027-28 Biennial Budget Study Session #2**

**Action Proposed:** Conduct a study session on the Biennial Budget for Fiscal Years 2026-27 and 2027-28, including:

- Review of budget process and Target Based Budgeting approach
- Review proposed budget balancing scenarios and possible one-time expenditures
- Direct staff on preferred scenario for budget development

**Contact:** Claire Coleman, Budget/Financial Services Manager; Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer, Finance Department

**Council recessed from 8:00 - 8:15 PM**

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding definition of "structural" deficit, recommendations from staff, options to phase into reductions, risk of underfunding infrastructure replacement plans, effects on staffing levels, section 115 trust funds, projected library costs, risk to future restrictions to Real Property Transfer Taxes, and grant and lobbying contract benefits.

**Public Comments:**

Carrie Schulman - spoke on prioritizing safe streets and capital improvement program.

Megan Steffen - support of ending flock over other contracts and services.

Cynthia McPherson - support of ending flock contract to fund essential infrastructure services.

Jiggy Geronimo - commented on ending flock and keeping library hours.

Rosa Esquivel - spoke in support of keeping landscaping and intern program funding.

Michael McDougell - suggest a resolution for action and voting on specific items.

Tuan - spoke on prioritizing public safety and funding of flock contract.

Peter - support of public safety as a priority.

Ira Sharenow - suggested cuts to management, consultants and concerns related to city financial management.

Eric Trago - shared concerns with the flock agreement.

Leisa Johnson - spoke on prioritizing public safety and support of funding flock contract.

Jacqueline Lasahn - shared concerns with the flock agreement.

**Action:** City Council provided general feedback to staff to return on May 19 to discuss options and variations for Scenarios B and C.

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

**B. Flock Safety Agreement**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into an agreement with Flock Safety for the provision of license plate reading cameras to the City for a period of up to three years at a cost not to exceed \$315,000.

**Contact:** Paul Keith, Chief of Police, El Cerrito Police Department

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act warrant response, termination terms, immutability of audit records, penalty amount for unauthorized access, and inquiry with other vendors for services.

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Wysinger/Councilmember Motoyama

**Action:** Passed a motion to extend the meeting until 12:30 AM. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**Public Comments:** Written comments submitted prior to and during the meeting are posted online as supplemental materials and incorporated into the official meeting record.

Katherine Kimsey, Ry Crawford, Talia Divido, Tamiko Panzella, Timothy, Nakiesha, Megan Steffen, Bill Barish, Jiggy Geranamo, Tova Feldmanstern, Joanna Lawrence Shenk, Cynthia McPherson, Rebecah Kenny, Jason Martens, Mike Katz-Lacabe, Rosie Fei, Brian Fogg, Melissa Newton, Kate Bolton-Schmukler, Anna Dayley, Joe Khalaf, Tomas Ringer-Silva, Chris R, Sandy Davis, Radhika G, Ron Singh, Eric Lawrence Shenk, Boris Krasnoiarov, Weihaur, Benjamin Paou, Phone Caller, Austin, Stuart Sonatina, Rocky Chau, Jacqueline Lasahn - Spoke in opposition of the item.

Jim Dolgonas, Rosa Esquivel, Howard Hickman, Peter Pan, Tuan Ngo, Andre Basler, Oscar Garcia, Colin Elbasani, George Gager, Brenda Grisham, John Stashik, Denise Sangster, Laura Hill, Leisa Johnson, Eduardo - spoke in support of the item.

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Wysinger/Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman

**Action:** Passed a motion to extend the meeting until 1:00 AM. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Motoyama/Councilmember Ktsanes

**Action:** Passed a motion to not extend the contract. **Ayes:** Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama **Noes:** Mayor Quinto, Councilmember Wysinger

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

**10. CITY COUNCIL LOCAL & REGIONAL LIAISON ASSIGNMENTS**

*Mayor and City Council communications regarding local and regional liaison assignments, committee reports, and any required reporting under AB 1234 for meetings (as defined by the Brown Act) attended at the public's expense.*

**11. ADJOURN REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

*The next regularly scheduled City Council meeting is Tuesday, May 19, 2026 at 6:00 p.m.*

*The regular meeting adjourned at 12:42 AM*

---

Gabe Quinto, Mayor

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the minutes of the City Council meeting of May 5, 2026 as approved by the El Cerrito City Council.

---

Holly M. Charl  y, MMC, City Clerk

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

This information can be interpreted for you free of charge. Visit City Hall at 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 or learn more at [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess)

Esta información se puede interpretar gratuitamente. Visite el Ayuntamiento en 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 o obtenga más información en [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess)

这些信息可免费为您解释。请访问位于 10890 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530 的市政厅, 或访问 [www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess](http://www.elcerrito.gov/LanguageAccess) 了解更多信息

## **DRAFT MINUTES**

### **TUESDAY, MAY 19, 2026**

**REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING (6:00 PM)**  
Council Chambers - 10890 San Pablo Ave, El Cerrito

**Join Via Zoom:**

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/86197695761?pwd=0qtbcXW8DX6toxDfJdzJg9u7jg4tbG.1>

**Meeting ID:** 861 9769 5761 **Passcode:** 514170 **Dial in:** 1-408-638-0968

**View:**

1. Cable T.V. Broadcast on KCRT Channel 28
2. Livestream Online at [www.elcerrito.gov/CouncilMeetingMaterials](http://www.elcerrito.gov/CouncilMeetingMaterials)

**Public Comments:**

1. In-person, by submitting a request to speak to the City Clerk.
2. Via Zoom, using the "[Raise Hand](#)" feature to request to speak.
3. By phone, dialing \*9 to "raise your hand", and \*6 to unmute.
4. Online, using the "[Submit Comments](#)" feature on the [meeting event](#).
5. Via email to [cityclerk@elcerrito.gov](mailto:cityclerk@elcerrito.gov) indicating **Public Comments – Agenda Item #**.

Written comments received by **11:00 AM** the day of the meeting will be provided to the City Council and posted online in advance of the meeting. Comments received after the deadline will be provided to the City Council and posted after the meeting.

**Accommodations:** In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Clerk at 510-215-4305. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable accommodations. Closed Captions are available via zoom.

**Conduct:** This meeting is held pursuant to City Council [Rules of Order and Procedure](#).

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

**6:00 PM ROLL CALL – CONVENE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

Mayor Quinto called the meeting to order at 6:01 PM.

**Present:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Absent:** None

**1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OR OBSERVATION OF A MOMENT OF SILENCE**

**2. TELECONFERENCE AND PUBLIC COMMENT INSTRUCTIONS**

**3. COUNCIL/STAFF COMMUNICATIONS**

*Reports of closed session, commission appointments and informational reports on matters of general interest which are announced by the City Council and staff.*

City Manager Pinkos - announced public works service week, modified deadline of 11:00 to submit comments for distribution to City Council prior to taken action.

Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman - announced upcoming Pride month celebration at Flag Raising on June 1st at 4:00 PM at City Hall followed by events at Castro Park.

Councilmember Motoyama - announced upcoming Makers Faire.

**5. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC**

*Remarks are typically limited to 3 minutes per person. The Mayor may reduce the time limit per speaker depending upon the number of speakers and may limit the total time for public comment to facilitate the completion of business on the agenda. Comments regarding non-agenda, presentation and consent calendar items will be heard first. Comments related to items appearing on the Public Hearing or Policy Matter portions of the Agenda will be heard prior to the City Council taking action on each item.*

(Heard out of order)

**Public Comments:** Written comments submitted prior to and during the meeting are posted online as supplemental materials and incorporated into the official meeting record.

Mike McDougall - commented on the Financial Advisory Board recommendation and discussion at recent meeting, and consideration of reducing extended library hours.

Ira Sharenow - spoke regarding related costs and misinformation related to costs of the library project.

Megan Stephan - spoke regarding distrust in local conversation and communications.

**4. SECOND PUBLIC HEARING ON TRANSITION TO DISTRICT-BASED ELECTIONS**

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

*The set start time of this public hearing is 6:15 PM. Notice was published on 5/9/2026.*

**Action Proposed:** Conduct the second public hearing regarding transition to District-Based Elections for City Councilmembers, including sequencing of the election and consideration of the composition of the districts in accordance with Election Code section 10010; and providing direction on number of districts and method of election for Mayor.

**Contact:** Sky Woodruff, City Attorney; Holly M. Charl  ty, City Clerk, City Management

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding the process for seated councilmembers when transitioning to a directly elected Mayor or from at-large to a district seat, neutrality in drawing maps, consideration of communities of interest, consideration of school districts,

**Public Hearing:** Mayor Quinto opened the public hearing.

**Public Comments:** Written comments submitted prior to and during the meeting are posted online as supplemental materials and incorporated into the official meeting record.

Sue Duncan - spoke in support of five districts and a rotating Mayor.

Michael McDougall - commented on challenges with five districts and sufficient candidates interested in running, potential to have a Mayor's race separate from the race for the district seats, consideration for increasing pay and responsibility if a directly elected mayor.

Barbara Chan - spoke in favor of five districts and a rotating Mayor, and concern with a directly elected Mayor seat becoming too politicized.

Megan Stephan - commented on options to have a more flexible seat with no additional power with an at-large council seat and retaining a rotating Mayor process.

Ira Sharenow - spoke in support of five districts and option to be Mayor for a year could be a driver for candidates to run.

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Motoyama/Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman

**Action:** Closed the public hearing. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger

**Noes:** None

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**Moved/Seconded:** Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman/Councilmember Wysinger  
**Action:** Motion to proceed with 5 districts and a rotating Mayor **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

## 6. PRESENTATIONS

### A. Marin Clean Energy Presentation

**Action Proposed:** Receive and file a presentation on Marin Clean Energy operates in California's energy system and what it delivers for its communities, including the City of El Cerrito community.

**Contact:** Kiara Donato, Bilingual Community Development Manager, Marin Clean Energy

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding bill payment programs available, and e-bike rebates.

**Action:** Received and filed

## 7. ADOPTION OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR

*All items on the consent calendar shall be acted upon in one motion, unless a member of the City Council or staff request separate consideration.*

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Motoyama/Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman

**Action:** Approved the consent calendar as indicated below. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

### A. LGBTQIA+ Pride Month Proclamation

**Action Proposed:** Approve a proclamation declaring the month of June as Lesbian, Gay, Bi-Sexual, Transgender/Transsexual, Queer/Questioning, Intersex and Agender/Asexual (LGBTQIA+) Pride month in the City of El Cerrito and recognizing Pride Month by flying the Intersex Inclusive Progress Pride Flag at City Hall during the month of June.

**Contact:** Will Provost, Assistant to the City Manager, City Management

**Action:** Approved proclamation

### B. Monthly Disbursement and Check Register Report for April 2026

**Action Proposed:** Receive and file the Monthly Disbursement and Check Register Report for the month of March 2026.

**Contact:** Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer, Finance Department

**Action:** Received and filed

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

**C. Financial Advisory Board Recommendation**

**Action Proposed:** Receive and file a recommendation from the Financial Advisory Board.

**Contact:** David Carvel, Chair, Financial Advisory Board

**Action:** Received and filed

**D. Amended and Restated Contra Costa Clean Water Program Agreement**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution approving the City's continued participation in the Contra Costa Clean Water Program for implementation of the Municipal Regional National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit and authorizing the City Manager to execute the Amended and Restated Contra Costa Clean Water Program (CCCWP) Agreement effective July 1, 2026.

**Contact:** Christina Leard, Acting Senior Management Analyst and Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**Action:** Approved Resolution No. 2026-27

**E. Group Program Inspection Activities Agreement between the City of El Cerrito, the West County Wastewater District, and the Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District**

**Action Proposed:** Adopt a resolution approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute the Group Program Inspection Activities Agreement between the City of El Cerrito, the West County Wastewater District, and the Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District effective July 1, 2026.

**Contact:** Christina Leard, Acting Senior Management Analyst and Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department

**Action:** Approved Resolution No. 2026-28

**8. PUBLIC HEARINGS**

**A. Annual Public Hearing on Vacancies, Recruitment and Retention Efforts**

**Action Proposed:** Conduct a public hearing to receive and file a report on workforce vacancies, recruitment, and retention efforts in compliance with Assembly Bill 2561.

**Contact:** Shannon Bassi, Human Resources Manager, City Management

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding timing for receiving the Service Delivery Study report, addressing outstanding vacancies, timing of reduction for janitorial positions, and budget implications of vacancies.

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

**Public Hearing:** Mayor Quinto opened the public hearing.

**Public Comments:**

Ira Sharenow - spoke regarding reducing management, increase of open hours, and reduction of positions.

**Moved/Seconded:** Councilmember Motoyama/Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman

**Action:** Closed the Public Hearing. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**Action:** Received and filed.

**B. Public Hearing and Approval of Fiscal Year 2026-27 Master Fee Schedule**

*Notice Published 5/9/26 and 5/15/26*

**Action Proposed:** Hold a public hearing and, upon conclusion, adopt a resolution approving the Fiscal Year 2026-27 Master Fee Schedule.

**Contact:** Claire Coleman, Budget/Financial Services Manager; Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer, Finance Department

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding reduction to the tree planting fee, comparison of swim center fees for residents and non-residents in surrounding facilities, and Accessory Dwelling Unit fees.

**Public Hearing:** Mayor Quinto opened the public hearing.

**Public Comments:**

Michael McDougell - spoke regarding tree planting fees, rationale for subsidies, capabilities of fee tracking and data.

**Moved/Seconded:** Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman/Councilmember Wysinger

**Action:** Closed the public hearing. **Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**Moved/Seconded:** Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman/Councilmember Ktsanes  
**Action:** Approved Resolution No. 2026-29; revising the Street Tree Planting by Property Owner Permit fee to \$150; and directed staff to provide an update on street tree planting data and information regarding determination regarding subsidized fees, prior to the next Master Fee schedule adoption.  
**Ayes:** Mayor Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman, Councilmember Ktsanes, Councilmember Motoyama, Councilmember Wysinger **Noes:** None

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

## 9. POLICY MATTERS

### A. Fiscal Year 2026-27 and 2027-28 Budget Study Session #3

**Action Proposed:** Conduct a study session on the FY 2026-27 and FY 2027-28 Biennial Budget, including:

- Review of the City Council budget for adoption
- Review of updated budget balancing scenarios B+ and C+
- Direct staff on preferred scenario for budget development

**Contact:** Claire Coleman, Budget/Financial Services Manager; Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer; Finance Department

**Presentation and Discussion:** Presenters and staff responded to comments and questions raised by members of the council regarding the benefits of the Tom Bates Joint Powers agreement, options for variation and use of a Council retreat facilitator, reduction and potential roll forward of travel allotment, landscape maintenance reduction concerns, additional library hours, summer intern program, and position reductions.

**Public Comments:** Written comments submitted prior to and during the meeting are posted online as supplemental materials and incorporated into the official meeting record.

Megan Stephan - spoke in support of parks and removing reductions to landscape maintenance, commented on Measure C and additional library hours.

John Stashik - spoke in support of cutting Christmas lights on San Pablo funding and return to private donations.

David Carvel - spoke regarding need to discuss options that were not recommended by staff.

Ira Sharenow - spoke in support of a reduction to library hours, library costs, and credit card charges.

**Action:** General direction provided to staff.

## 10. CITY COUNCIL LOCAL & REGIONAL LIAISON ASSIGNMENTS

*Mayor and City Council communications regarding local and regional liaison assignments, committee reports, and any required reporting under AB 1234 for meetings (as defined by the Brown Act) attended at the public's expense.*

Mayor Pro Tem Saltzman - reported on attendance at Contra Costa Transportation Authority workshop.

**Mayor**  
Gabe Quinto  
**Mayor Pro Tem**  
Rebecca Saltzman



**Councilmembers**  
William Ktsanes  
Lisa Motoyama  
Carolyn Wysinger

---

Councilmember Motoyama - reported on attendance at Tom Bates JPA meeting, Contra Costa Mayors Conference, and Association of Bay Area Governments Housing Committee meeting.

Mayor Quinto - reported on upcoming travel for division meetings for Cal Cities.

**11. ADJOURN REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

*The next regularly scheduled City Council meeting is Tuesday, June 2, 2026 at 6:00 p.m.*  
The regular meeting adjourned at 10:40 PM

---

Gabe Quinto, Mayor

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the minutes of the City Council meeting of May 19, 2026 as approved by the El Cerrito City Council.

---

Holly M. Charl y, MMC, City Clerk

**EL CERRITO CITY COUNCIL PROCLAMATION**  
**Proclaiming recognition and support of Juneteenth on Friday, June 19, 2026**

WHEREAS, the first enslaved Africans were brought as captives to what is now the Commonwealth of Virginia in 1619 where they were bought and sold as slave labor for 250 years suffering unspeakable acts of violence; and

WHEREAS, President Abraham Lincoln issued the Emancipation Proclamation on January 1, 1863, declaring "that all persons held as slaves within the rebellious states are, and henceforward shall be free"; and

WHEREAS, the Emancipation Proclamation was the vehicle used to pass the 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment to the U.S. Constitution abolishing slavery in this nation on January 31, 1865; and

WHEREAS, on June 19, 1865, Major General Gordon Granger arrived in Galveston, Texas, announcing General Order Number 3 which ended both the Civil War and slavery; and

WHEREAS, June 19 is known as Juneteenth Day and is now celebrated in all 50 States and the District of Columbia as a special day of observance in recognition of the emancipation of all slaves in the United States, and in 2021 the Federal Government recognized Juneteenth Day as a Federal Legal Public Holiday which is observed in the City of El Cerrito; and

WHEREAS, our nation is facing a new reckoning as the legacy of sharecropping, Jim Crow, redlining, and police violence continues to pervade and permeate our society, institutions, and systems of law; and

WHEREAS, although the 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment provided for freedom, it also laid the groundwork for mass incarceration within the African American community by stating "Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction"; and

WHEREAS, the City of El Cerrito acknowledges the impact that this history of racism has had in shaping and continuing to shape our society, institutions, and systems of laws, fostering many of the current-day disparities in education, health care, housing, and employment.

NOW THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of El Cerrito does hereby recognize Friday, June 19, 2026, as Juneteenth to acknowledge the historical significance of the day and commit to working toward the dismantling of institutionalized racism, and further, recognizes Juneteenth by hosting a flag-raising ceremony on June 18, 2026 at 5 p.m. at El Cerrito City Hall, and flying the Juneteenth flag at City Hall from June 18-21, 2026.

Dated: June 16, 2026

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabe Quinto, Mayor



**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** Financial Advisory Board  
**Subject:** Receive and file Financial Advisory Board Recommendation

---

### **ACTION PROPOSED**

Receive and file a recommendation from the Financial Advisory Board.

### **POLICY**

Pursuant to City Council [Resolution 2024-16](#), Section 4, Advisory Body Recommendations shall be provided to the City Council on the consent calendar as a “receive and file” and if a member of the City Council wishes to further discuss any recommendation received, it may be requested as an agenda item at a future meeting.

### **RECOMMENDATION**

On June 4, 2026, the Financial Advisory Board voted on the following recommendation(s) to City Council:

The FAB recommends that the City Council direct staff to develop service level agreements for each department by the end of calendar year 2026, and begin to implement the agreements by the beginning of fiscal year 2027-28. The FAB would like the opportunity to see the agreements and provide comment on them. The FAB notes that this would enable them to make a recommendation for future year budget adoption.

**Moved/Second:** Member White/Member Szlatenyi **Ayes:** Chair Carvel, Members Szlatenyi, Van Zerber, and White **Noes:** None **Absent:** Vice Chair McDougall, Youth Member Watson Lamprey-Singer

### **ASSOCIATED WORKPLAN GOALS/AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY**

1. [Work Plan Goals](#)
2. [El Cerrito Municipal Code 2.04.300 Financial Advisory Board](#)  
There shall be a financial advisory board consisting of five members who reside in the city and have demonstrated expertise in financial management, accounting, fiscal analysis, computer applications, economic analysis or related skills. The financial advisory board shall have the powers and duties stated below, and such other duties and the council shall decide:

1. To conduct a review and make recommendations on the proposed annual budget and long-term financial plan for the city and all component units to assist the city council in making decisions on major expenditures and revenue sources, and to include a review of city council compensation consistent with county-wide recommendations included in Contra Costa Grand Jury Report No. 1104.

**Attachments:**

1. Rationale for Recommendation

---

## 6/4 FAB Recommendation

---

**From** David Carvel <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Sun 6/7/2026 10:09 PM  
**To** Claire Coleman <ccoleman@elcerrito.gov>  
**Cc** Crystal Reams <CReams@elcerrito.gov>

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Claire,

Hi, hope you had a nice weekend. I wanted to send over the rationale for the FAB's recommendation from our 6/4 meeting:

- There was a lot of debate about whether to recommend approval of the budget. Ultimately, no consensus could be reached on recommending or not recommending the budget.
- As previously recommended to Council (on 5/26), we acknowledged that the City would likely have to dip into reserves to fund the losses in 2027 and 2028. However, dipping into reserves was something many FAB members felt was unnecessary at this time. Furthermore, it goes against the State Auditor's recommendation for the City to keep at least two months of expenses in unassigned reserves. Also, every year there are a number of one-time expenses the city must fund. The current budget version already has a deficit that requires taking funds from reserves to balance. Adding in future one-time expenses (whenever they arise) will cause this budget to be even more negative and further eat into reserves. Hence, FAB members felt uncomfortable recommending the budget as it goes below the recommended 30% reserve goal (13% EDRF + 17% unassigned) and it does not account for (unknown but expected) future one-time expenses.
- Another concern is that the budget only shows a conservative "best case" option. Members discussed having the City provide data on various scenarios (e.g. what happens if sales tax decreases by X%, what happens if RPTT comes in Y% below estimates, what happens if there is \$Z or 2\*\$Z in one-time expenses in a given year). Having a confidence interval/range of numbers would help with transparency and highlight major areas of risk that the City and Council need to monitor over the course of the year.
- The FAB's main recommendation concerns the Service Delivery Study. Back in 2021, the state auditor recommended the City undertake a Service Delivery Study to ensure that it recovered the costs of providing services. In 2025, a consulting firm was hired to complete the service delivery study. While we are glad the City has finally engaged a firm to complete the study, it is very late (a draft was supposed to be shared with FAB in Q1, 2026 and FAB has not seen any drafts to-date). One of the key selling points highlighted by the consulting firm was their "deep bench" of expertise. Given the amount of people staffed on the project, the study should have been delivered on time. We encourage the Council to direct staff to prioritize the completion of the Service Delivery Study.
- The Service Delivery Study plus the Compensation Study (Feb. 2024) plus the Cost Allocation study (May, 2025) should enable the City and FAB to make more actionable recommendations to

the Council in the future.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

David



## AGENDA BILL

Agenda Item No. 7.D.

**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer, Finance Department  
**Subject:** Monthly Disbursement and Check Register Report for May 2026

---

### **ACTION PROPOSED**

Receive and file the Monthly Disbursement and Check Register Report for the month of May 2026.

### **BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS**

California Government Code, Title 4. Government of Cities, Division 3, Part 3, Chapter 3, Section 41004 states, "Regularly, at least once each month, the city treasurer shall submit to the city clerk a written report and accounting of all receipts, disbursements, and fund balances. The city treasurer shall file a copy with the legislative body." In addition, the City's Comprehensive Financial Policy, Item 5.1 Accounting Standards indicates the City's accounting and financial reporting systems shall be maintained in conformance with all state and federal laws.

- Month ending May 31, 2026, reflecting 238 Accounts Payable General Warrant Nos. 96254 through 96491, 43 Automated Clearing House/Electronic Funds Transfer (ACH/EFT) transactions, and 917 payroll-related checks and EFTs for a grand total of \$6,104,641.35.
  - Note there were three payroll pay dates within May.

### **STRATEGIC PLAN CONSIDERATIONS**

The City's Comprehensive Financial Policy, which includes ensuring policies, procedures, and systems represent best practices in financial management, is aligned with requirements referenced in California Government Code, Title 4, Chapter 3, Section 41004.

This action supports the [City's Strategic Plan Goal\(s\)](#) of:

- *High Performing Organization* by ensuring the City maintains a strong financial position and a high-performing organization where employees feel a sense of inclusion and belonging.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This section is not applicable to this agenda item.

**FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

There is no fiscal impact associated with acceptance of the Monthly Disbursement and Check Register Report.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This section is not applicable to this agenda item.

**Reviewed by:**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Karen Pinkos".

Karen Pinkos, City Manager

**Attachments:**

1. Monthly Payment Register May 2026

City of El Cerrito  
**Payment Register**  
 From Payment Date: 5/1/2026 - To Payment Date: 5/31/2026

Number	Date	Status	Void Reason	Reconciled/ Voided Date	Source	Payee Name	Description	Transaction Amount
<b>AP-AP Check - Accounts Payable Checking Account</b>								
<b>Check</b>								
96254	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	4LEAF, INC.	ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN SVCS MAR 2026	\$ 9,702.90
96255	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ALLIANT INSURANCE SERVICES, INC	3RD QUARTER PREMIUM - ADDING NEW RECYCL TRUCK TO INSURANCE	1,183.00
96256	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	AMAZON CAPITAL SERVICES, INC.	SUPPLIES FOR RECYCL	177.60
96257	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ARMOUR PETROLEUM SERVICE & EQUIPMENT CORP	UST TRIENNIAL TESTING ON 2/19/26	4,468.82
96258	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ASBURY ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	USED MOTOR OIL RECYCLING ON 4/9/26	306.00
96259	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	AVILA PROJECT MANAGEMENT	2025 ACCESS MODIFICATION - CONSTRUCTION MAR 2026	770.00
96260	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	BATTALION ONE FIRE PROTECTION	RECYCL QUARTERLY SPRINKLER INSPECTION 4/15/26	920.00
96261	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	BAY AREA LAND SURVEYING INC.	SURVEYING SERVICES	1,250.00
96262	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	BURKETT'S POOL PLASTERING, INC	SWIN CENTER REPLASTERING PROJECT 3/25/26	104,143.75
96263	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CA DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION	TRAFFIC SIGNALS AND LIGHTING APR - JUN 2024	38,363.26
96264	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CANN, COLETTE	OPEN CERAMICS FRI APR 2026	68.40
96265	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CHILDCARE CAREERS, LLC	FAIRMONT CHILDCARE AIDE 4/16/26	312.00
96266	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CINTAS CORPORATION #054 UNIFORMS	UNIFORM ITEMS FOR L. NAVARRO	454.66
96267	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CITY OF CONCORD	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY MAYOR'S CONFERENCE 4/2/26 SALTZMAN	80.00
96268	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	COMMUNITY CONSERVATION CENTERS, INC.	MIXED PAPER + PLASTIC RECYCL MAR 2026	377.50
96269	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CONSTABLE, MARIANNE	FELDENKRAIS ATM APR 2026	204.00
96270	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	BUSINESS CARDS FOR COMM DEV STAFF	26.95
96271	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY LIBRARY	ADDITIONAL LIBRARY HOURS JAN 1 2026-MAR 31 2026	18,620.41
96272	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CONTRA COSTA HEALTH SERVICES	TIPPING WALL INSPECTION MAR 2026	1,316.00
96273	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	COOKE, JOANNE	FLAMENCO APR 2026	360.00
96274	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	COUNTY CLERK ELECTIONS DIVISION	PETITION SIGNATURE VERIFICATION	3,094.70
96275	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	DON'S TIRE SERVICE, INC.	NEW TIRE + MOUNT SERVICES	188.01
96276	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	EAST BAY SANITARY CO. INC.	HAULER HERO FEES OCT - DEC 2025	34,344.42
96277	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ENTHALPHY ANALYTICAL, LLC	STORMWATER SAMPLE TESTING #4	705.00
96278	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FLEET MANAGEMENT HOLDINGS, LLC	C4: PM INSPECTION + FILTERS	1,177.67
96279	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FUJIWARA, PATTY	STUDIO SUPPLIES CERAMICS AT TASSAJARA POTTERY STUDIO	412.36
96280	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	GABRIEL, BARBARA, A.	ADULT BALLETT APR 2026	561.60
96281	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	GOLDEN BEAR TRANSFER STATION	STREET SWEEP SPOILS ON 4/1/26 & 4/6/26	1,323.74
96282	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	HDL COREN & CONE	CONTRACT SERVICES PROPERTY TAX APR - JUN 2026	3,055.39
96283	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	IRON MOUNTAIN	OFF-SITE RECORDS STORAGE AND SERVICES 3/25/26-4/21/26	718.44
96284	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	J & O'S COMMERCIAL TIRE CENTER	Services - General	379.21
96285	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	JAFFE, SCOT	OPEN CERAMICS TH APR 2026	538.20
96286	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	JOHNSON CONTROLS SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC	CITY HALL ALARM SVCS 5/1/26-7/31/26	573.97
96287	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	JONES, HIROMI	HATHA YOGA/ ROLL STRETCH APR 2026	1,692.00
96288	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	Keen, Daniel E.	FACILITATION OF CM ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVAL 2026	10,500.00
96289	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KEL-AIRE	COMMUNITY CENTER MAINTENANCE APR 2026	954.75
96290	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KENNEDY, GREG, C	EMS CALL REVIEW MAR 2026	2,100.00
96291	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KI RESEARCH INSTITUTE	AIKIDO APR 2026	354.00
96292	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KIDS for the BAY	WATERSHED ACTION PROGRAM FOR 5TH GRADERS	4,000.00
96293	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	LEHR UPFITTERS OPCO LLC	SEAT BELT REPAIR	190.29
96294	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	NHA ADVISORS	EL CERRITO CONSULTING ( LIBARAY PROJ) 7/1/25-3/31/26	10,555.00
96295	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	NINYO & MOORE	GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES THRU DEC 26 2025	6,211.22
96296	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ODYSSEY POWER CORPORATION	COMMUNITY CENTER GENERATOR MAJOR SERVICE	1,180.00
96297	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PACIFIC COAST AV	COUNCIL CHAMBERS AV DESIGN SERVICES PROGRESS #8	26,346.04
96298	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PAVEMENT COATINGS CO.	2025 SURFACE SEAL PROJECT 4/8/26	259,083.75
96299	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PLAZA AUTO SERVICE INC	24 FORD MAVERICK LIC 1696582 OIL CHANGE	63.08
96300	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	REVEL ENVIRONMENTAL MANUFACTURING, INC.	FTC TRASH CAPTURE DEVICE OESD 4/14/26	11,080.89
96301	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	RIVERA, NICOLE	WOMEN'S WORKOUT APR 2026	714.60
96302	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ROADSAFE TRAFFIC SYSTEMS, INC.	INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPLIES TRAFFIC SIGNS	244.20
96303	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ROSTAD, KIKI	SPRING FORWARD CLAY FOR KIDS 3/5/26-4/16/26	1,360.80
96304	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SEPE, RANDOLPH, NEAL	ROCK MUSIC THEORY MAR + APR 2026	59.40
96305	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SINGLE CYLINDER REPAIR INC	SMALL EQUIPMENTS REPAIR FOR PW	240.55
96306	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ST. FRANCIS ELECTRIC	TS & SL MAINTENANCE & RESPONSE 2026	15,985.00
96307	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	Technica Auto, Inc.	2003 CHEVROLET MAINT TRUCK REPAIR	1,381.52
96308	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	TWO BROTHERS POOL & SPA, INC	REAGENTS + GFCI APR SERVICE	3,711.46
96309	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	UNIVERSAL BUILDING SERVICE	LIBRARY CUSTODIAL SUPPLIES 4/8/26	38.44
96310	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	VERMONT SYSTEMS, INC.	VSI COULD HOSTING MAR 2026	1,318.40
96311	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ZHIVOTOVSKAIA, IRINA	POINT PEN CALLIGRAPHY MAR 2026	180.00
96312	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	HASHIMOTO, KENNETH, J.	LUNCH - GRACIE SURVIVAL TACTICS TRAINING 4/13/26-4/17/26	40.00
96313	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	MUELLER, PUJA	TUITION REIMBURSEMENT FOR SPRING 2026 SEMESTER PER MOU	1,124.63

City of El Cerrito  
**Payment Register**  
 From Payment Date: 5/1/2026 - To Payment Date: 5/31/2026

Number	Date	Status	Void Reason	Reconciled/ Voided Date	Source	Payee Name	Description	Transaction Amount
96314	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	TRAC, RYAN, L.	LUNCH - GRACIE SURVIVAL TACTICS TRAINING 4/13/26-4/17/26	40.00
96315	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	VERNARECCI, RILEY	FIRELINE MEDIC COURSE TRAINING APR 16-17 2026	300.00
96316	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CALPERS LONG TERM CARE PROGRAM	PER3 - CALPERS Longterm Care Ins	47.96
96317	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD	Payroll Deduction	1,383.70
96318	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	HEALTH CARE DENTAL TRUST	DEN1 - Dental 1*	18,838.02
96319	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	IAFF LOCAL 1230	DUE4 - UNION LOCAL 1230	5,979.00
96320	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN	K1 - Kaiser 1*	288,251.64
96321	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	LEGALSHIELD	LEGL - PRE-PAID LEGAL SRVC	124.60
96322	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	NATIONWIDE TRUST COMPANY, FSB	FHEA - Fire Health Savings Acct	17,650.00
96323	05/05/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	U.S. BANK - INSTITUTIONAL TRUST - W REGION	PAR - PARS - ARS 457*	3,834.13
96324	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	4LEAF, INC.	PROFESSIONAL SVCS STAFFING MAR 2026	46,157.31
96325	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ALAMEDA COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT	MAINTENNANCE FOR FIRE VEHICLES	6,847.50
96326	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	BERTRAND, FOX, ELLIOT, OSMAN & WENZEL LLP	PHOTOCOPY MAR 2026	135.00
96327	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	BLUECOSMO	SATELLITE PHONES MAY 2026	154.50
96328	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	BOUNDTREE MEDICAL LLC	MEDICAL SUPPLIES	720.26
96329	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CALIFORNIA PUBLIC POLICY GROUP INC.	GRANT WRITING & ADVOCACY SVCS APR 2026	6,500.00
96330	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CIVICPLUS, LLC	WEBSITE HOSTING AND STORAGE 4/1/26-3/31/27	11,018.29
96331	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	DATA TICKET, INC.	BUILDING & SAFETY MAR 2026	400.00
96332	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	Dixon Resources Unlimited	PARKING MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN JAN-MAR 2026	5,532.50
96333	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FEHR & PEERS	DEL NORTE TOD LIGHTING 2/28/26-3/27/26	1,800.00
96334	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	GHILOTTI BROS, INC	DEL NORTE TOD COMPLETE STREETS IMPROV 2/21/26-4/20/26	526,114.40
96335	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	GRAUL, BELINDA	GYM MEMBERSHIPS MAR-APR 2026	6,528.00
96336	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	HAAKER EQUIPMENT COMPANY	R4 PARTS + OTHERS TRUCKS PLATE + BUMPERS	1,124.41
96337	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	HI-TECH INC.	STA 52 MAINTENANCE	8,033.64
96338	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	HILLYARD	CITYWIDE CUSTODIAL SUPPLIES	5,196.19
96339	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	JADSON SOUZA DE JESUS	CAPOEIRA JAN- APR 2026	2,427.00
96340	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KENNEDY, GREG, C	EMS REVIEW APR 2026	2,100.00
96341	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	L.N. CURTIS & SONS	UNIFORMS	3,706.53
96342	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PAYMENTUS CORPORATION	CREDIT CARD PROCESSING FEES MAR 2026	3,420.56
96343	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PECKHAM & MCKENNEY	PROFESSIONAL RETAINER	9,333.34
96344	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PREMIER GRAPHICS	SPASP AFFORDABLE HOUSING	467.91
96345	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	Rogers Stringer & McClelland, Inc.	EL CERRITO LAP POOL RENOVATION PHASE #2 APR 2026	6,149.50
96346	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	STERICYCLE, INC.	MEDICAL SUPPLIES MAY 2026	963.51
96347	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	STRATEGIC ECONOMICS	SPASP AFFORDABLE HOUSING 1/8/25-3/31/26	4,727.25
96348	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SUPER SOCCER STARS	SATURDAY YOUTH SOCCER WINTER 2026	1,522.50
96349	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SUPERION, LLC	ALP & COMM DEV MIGRATION PROJECT	90.00
96350	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SWINERTON MANAGEMENT & CONSULTING	SWIM CENTER PROJECT MAR 2026	47,530.00
96351	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	THEIS ENGINEERING & ASSOCIATES	RICHMOND ST & DEL NORTE TOD PROJ MAR 2026	10,620.00
96352	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	TRB AND ASSOCIATES, INC.	ARCH/ENGINEERING PLAN REVIEW SERVICES MAR 2026	77,046.28
96353	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	TRIPEPI SMITH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.	COMMUNICATION SUPPORT JAN 2026	7,500.00
96354	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	UNIVERSAL BUILDING SERVICE	JANITORIAL SERVICES -RECYCLE CENTER APR 2026	6,301.00
96355	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	VERMONT SYSTEMS, INC.	VSI CLOUD HOSTING MAY 2026	1,318.40
96356	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	BALLANTINE, JEFF	MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT FOR NATIONAL AMERICAN PLANNING ASSOC CONF	128.38
96357	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CROOKS, JASON	REIMB FOR MEAL - CAL FIRE DEFENSE	39.67
96358	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SALTZMAN, REBECCA	MILEAGE AND MEAL REIMB CAL CITIES CONF SAC 4/22/26-4/30/26	382.83
96359	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	THATCHER, BRETT, A	REIMB FOR EMT RECERTIFICATION LICENSE	122.00
96360	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	WADE, THOMAS	REIMB FOR FIRE SERVICE PERSONNEL MGNT COURSE 2/18/26-4/18/26	892.90
96361	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	BAY AREA RETROFIT	REFUND FOR CANCELLED PROJECT PERMIT	652.00
96362	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ERWIN, KATHLEEN	REAL PROPERTY TAX REBATE FOR 541 EVERETT ST	4,260.00
96363	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	HALL, BRENNNA	REAL PROPERTY TAX REBATE FOR 115 BEHRENS ST	5,340.00
96364	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	IPERMIT, LLC	REFUND - GENERAL	153.00
96365	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	JOOST VAN HOUT	REAL PROPERTY TAX REBATE FOR 1011 HAVENS PL	3,760.00
96366	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KEALEY, JAMES	REAL PROPERTY TAX REBATE FOR 1427 NORVELL ST	2,760.00
96367	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KODIAK CONSTRUCTION	REFUND OF CANCELED PROJECT PERMIT FEES	460.00
96368	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ROSE REMODELING	REFUND FOR CANCELLED PROJECT PERMIT BD25-1309	767.00
96369	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CITY OF ORINDA	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY MAYOR'S CONF DINNER	80.00
96370	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	COUNTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER	2025-26 SLESF ALLOCATION OVERPAYMENT	5,496.47
96371	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	EBMUD	EBMUD 3/4/26-5/1/26	13,556.35
96372	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KEYSER MARSTON ASSOCIATES, INC	AFFORDABLE HOUSING MAR 2026	2,082.50
96373	05/14/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SEIU LOCAL 1021	COPE - VOLUNTARY COPE DEDUCTION*	1,835.79
96374	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CALPERS LONG TERM CARE PROGRAM	PER3 - CALPERS Longterm Care Ins	47.96
96375	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	EL CERRITO FIRE DEPT MGMT GRP	MGT1 - FIRE DEPT MGMT GROUP	50.00

City of El Cerrito  
**Payment Register**  
 From Payment Date: 5/1/2026 - To Payment Date: 5/31/2026

Number	Date	Status	Void Reason	Reconciled/ Voided Date	Source	Payee Name	Description	Transaction Amount
96376	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	EL CERRITO PUBLIC SFTY EE MGMT	MGT2 - SAFETY EMPLOYEE MGMT GROUP	436.00
96377	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FIRE ASSOCIATION	DUE2 - FIRE ASSN DUES CK	675.00
96378	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD	Payroll Deduction	1,383.70
96379	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	LIFE INSURANCE OF NORTH AMERICA	ACCD - Accidental Death & Dismembermt	52.50
96380	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	POLICE ASSN.	DUE1 - POLICE ASSN DUES	3,904.24
96381	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PRO FIREFIGHTERS ASSN DUES	DUE3 - EC PRO FIREFIGHTERS DUES	290.00
96382	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SEIU LOCAL 1021	COPE - VOLUNTARY COPE DEDUCTION*	1,860.59
96383	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	U.S. BANK - INSTITUTIONAL TRUST - W REGION	PAR - PARS - ARS 457*	4,033.10
96384	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	AMAZON CAPITAL SERVICES, INC.	OFFICE SUPPLIES FOR PW 4/28/26	21.26
96385	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	AMERICAN MECHANICAL SERVICES, INC.	CITY HALL HVAC MAINTENANCE FEB-APR 2026	2,760.00
96386	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	AMERIGAS PROPANE INC	PROPANE FOR FORKLIFTS 4/30/26	1,388.63
96387	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ARMOUR PETROLEUM SERVICE & EQUIPMENT CORP	UST VISUAL INSPECTION ON 5/12/26	250.00
96388	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ARROW GLASS COMPANY	CITY HALL WINDOW REPLACEMENT APR 2026	1,651.00
96389	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ASBURY ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	USED MOTOR OIL RECYCLING 5/7/26	153.00
96390	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	AVANTPAGE INC.	TRANSLATIONS FOR DISTRICTING PUBLIC OUTREACH	713.73
96391	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	AVILA PROJECT MANAGEMENT	2025 ACCESS MODIFICATION - CONSTRUCTION APR 2026	3,870.00
96392	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	BALL 2THRIVE BASKETBALL LLC	SPRING BASKETBALL 2026	1,323.00
96393	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	BAY ALARM COMPANY	CORP YARD ALARM SERVICE CALL	753.02
96394	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	BEND MAILING SERVICES, LLC	GREENER EL CERRITO NEWSLETTER SPRING/SUMMER 2026 VOL 46	1,069.20
96395	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	BIG STATE INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY	SAFETY SUPPLIES FOR MAINT	236.82
96396	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	BPX PRINTING & GRAPHICS	PLANROOM 2026 SURFACE SEAL	243.06
96397	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CHILDCARE CAREERS, LLC	FAIRMONT CHILDCARE AIDE	156.00
96398	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CINTAS CORPORATION #054 UNIFORMS	UNIFORMS ITEMS FOR L.NAZARIO	1,945.68
96399	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CONTRA COSTA HEALTH SERVICES	REPLASTER PLAN REVIEW	1,825.00
96400	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	COOLRITE REFRIGERATION, INC	POOLSIDE SERVICES 5/11/26	591.32
96401	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	COREY JOHN MASON	4TH OF JULY WORLDONE STAGE COOR. 02/26-05/26	4,000.00
96402	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	DORIS WALKER, DBA COMP GRAPHIC	GARAGE SALE BANNER STICKERS	140.58
96403	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	EBMUD	EBMUD 3/4/26-5/1/26	14,385.94
96404	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	EMPLOYMENT RISK MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY	DOLLAR ONE BILLING FOR CLAIMS Q3 2026	8,482.50
96405	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FLEET MANAGEMENT HOLDINGS, LLC	R1: REGEN	13,493.81
96406	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FUJIWARA, PATRICIA, Y.	OPEN CERAMICS + INTRO HANDBUILDING APR 2026	796.80
96407	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	GOLDEN BEAR TRANSFER STATION	STREET SWEEPING SPOILS ON 4/27/26	413.18
96408	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	HILLYARD	CITYWIDE CUSTODIAL SUPPLIES	2,281.24
96409	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	HONEY BUCKET	CENTRAL PARK SERVICE 4/28/26-5/5/26	251.05
96410	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	IMAGETREND LLC	CAD + CREWSENSE DISTRIB + ELITE 5/2/26-5/1/27	8,025.00
96411	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	J & O'S COMMERCIAL TIRE CENTER	C4 INSTALL NEW TIRES	1,162.13
96412	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KEL-AIRE	ARLINGTON CLUBHOUSE HVAC PM SVCS 4/22/26	1,551.96
96413	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KINSEY, KATHRYNE ANN	BRIDGE CLASS APR 2026	443.40
96414	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KNORR SYSTEMS, INC.	Q4 MAINTENANCE	5,556.22
96415	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KOREY CONRY, DBA LEAF A LEGACY	TRAFFIC FLAGGER + CHAINSAW SAFETY TRAINING	2,582.00
96416	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	L.N. CURTIS & SONS	UNIFORM FOR FIRE	532.40
96417	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	LD PRODUCTS	INK FOR COLOR PRINTER/COPIER IN RECYLCING CNT OFFICE	188.10
96418	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	MERCURIO BROS. PRINTING, INC,	SPRING 26 GARAGE SALE MAPS	292.16
96419	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	MORTON'S URBAN PEST MANAGEMENT	FAIRMONT CLUBHOUSE PEST CONTROL SVS APR 2026	630.00
96420	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	MUNICIPAL POOLING AUTHORITY	LIFE INSURANCE PAYABLE FOR EMPLOYEES	13,553.89
96421	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ODYSSEY POWER CORPORATION	MINOR SERVICE AT CITY HALL	450.00
96422	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	OLIVER'S TOW INC.	TOW FD #28	1,506.98
96423	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	OLIVERO PLUMBING	PLUMBING SERVICES RP BACKFLOW DEVICES	2,679.00
96424	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PASTIME HARDWARE	SUPPLIES FOR FD	500.10
96425	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PG&E	FUEL FOR CNG PICKUP TRUCK 05/2026	71.60
96426	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	R&S ERECTION OF RICHMOND	STA 51 DOOR MAINTENANCE 4/29/26	324.50
96427	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	S.P. AUTOMOTIVE	ENGINE 55 PARTS FOR FD	139.05
96428	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SHAHKAR, MEHRAN	STRENGTH AND BALANCE APR 2026	1,884.00
96429	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SSD ALARM	RECYCL CENTER ALARMS JUN 2026	1,303.61
96430	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SWINERTON MANAGEMENT & CONSULTING	SWIM CENTER POOL PROJECT APR 2026	42,029.50
96431	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	THEIS ENGINEERING & ASSOCIATES	Del Norte TOD Complete Streets + CROSSTOWN CONNECT	6,120.00
96432	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	UNIVERSAL BUILDING SERVICE	LIBRARY CUSTODIAL SERVICES APR 2026	2,401.76
96433	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	O'BRIEN-BRENNER , EDEN	TEETER TOTS GARDEN PLANTS FOR OPEN HOUSE 5/15/26	61.88
96434	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	HERNANDEZ, BRYAN	SAFETY WORK BOOT ALLOWANCE PER SEIU MOU	275.00
96435	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	JIMENEZ, RANDI	URBAN FOREST COMMITTEE MEM REIMB FOR CRAFT SUPPLIES ON 3/7/26	84.01
96436	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KACZOR, JENNIFER	URBAN FOREST COMMITTEE MEM REIMB FOR ARBOR DAY EVENT 3/7/26	30.44
96437	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	24 HOUR FITNESS USA, LLC	GYM MEMBERSHIPS APR 2026	539.85

City of El Cerrito  
**Payment Register**  
 From Payment Date: 5/1/2026 - To Payment Date: 5/31/2026

Number	Date	Status	Void Reason	Reconciled/ Voided Date	Source	Payee Name	Description	Transaction Amount
96438	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	4LEAF, INC.	ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN SVCS FEB 2026	8,301.36
96439	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	A PLUS TREE, LLC	PUBLIC TREE MAINTENANCE SERVICES	25,917.00
96440	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CHECKERS GROUP, INC	EVIDENCE TOW PD 4/23/26	320.00
96441	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CITY OF FOSTER CITY	MOS JOB POSTING	582.00
96442	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CITY OF RICHMOND	CAD MAY 2026	72,014.17
96443	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CONTRA COSTA HEALTH SERVICES	TIPPING WALL INSPECTION FEB + REPORT 2026	5,864.00
96444	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CORODATA SHREDDING INC.	SHREDDING SVCS APR 2026	317.00
96445	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CPS HR CONSULTING	MARKETING 3/1/26-3/28/26	1,598.92
96446	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CSI Ergonomic Furniture, Inc.	ERGO SUPPLY FOR FIRE STAFF T. PETERS	659.16
96447	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	DON'S TIRE SERVICE, INC.	2022 FORD POLICE UNIT 1 TIRES	837.64
96448	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	Downtown Ford Sales	2026 FORD MAVERICK PICK-UP TRUCKS FOR REC	31,612.03
96449	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ERGONOMIC SEATING & PRODUCTS INC.	NEW CYLINDER CHAIR FOR CD STAFF J.BRIGHT	202.45
96450	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FLEET MANAGEMENT HOLDINGS, LLC	DUMPTRUCK BEARING REPLAC.	5,856.11
96451	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FORENSIC SERVICES DIVISION	PD FORENSICS 3/1/26-3/31/26	7,216.20
96452	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	GARDA CL WEST, INC.	ARMORED TRANSPORTATION SVCS MAY 2026	349.78
96453	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	GRAND PRIX CAR WASH	CAR WASH PD VEHICLE	94.95
96454	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	GYMDOC INC	GYM EQUIPMENT REPAIR	728.53
96455	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	HI-TECH INC.	KFD #8 FUEL FD 5/6/26	213.94
96456	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	HONEY BUCKET	ON CALL CLEANING SERVICE 4/18/26	60.00
96457	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	J & O'S COMMERCIAL TIRE CENTER	FLAT REPAIR FD 5/11/26	41.04
96458	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KEL-AIRE	HVAC 1/23/26	842.93
96459	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	KNORR SYSTEMS, INC.	CO2 5/18/26	1,546.23
96460	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	L.N. CURTIS & SONS	MASKS FOR FD	1,078.67
96461	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	LEADSONLINE LLC (42-1720332)	CELL HAWK RENEWAL 7/1/26-6/30/27	5,760.00
96462	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	MED-TECH RESOURCE LLC	PPE GLOVES 5/8/26	630.11
96463	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	NORGE CLEANERS	DRY CLENAING APR 2026	418.50
96464	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA SPELMANSLAG	SCANDINAVIAN FOLK DANCING APR 2026	16.80
96465	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	OLIVERO PLUMBING	STA 52 REPAIR	230.38
96466	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PASTIME HARDWARE	SUPPLIES FOR PD	6.38
96467	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PETERSON TRUCKS, INC.	STA 52 REPAIR	8,647.00
96468	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	RAMOS, STEPHANIE, R	ZUMBA APR 2026	4,371.60
96469	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	REDWOOD PUBLIC LAW, LLP	CITY COUNCIL (LABOR) 4/19/26-4/30/26	57,669.65
96470	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SHABABO, TRACEY, ELISE	ASEP + SEWING CLASSES APR-MAY 2026	3,240.00
96471	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SPEAKWRITE LLC	TRANSCRIPTION	203.90
96472	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	STATE OF CA DEPT OF JUSTICE	FINGERPRINTING APRIL 2026	754.00
96473	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	STERICYCLE, INC.	WASTE DISPOSAL PD	90.17
96474	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	Technica Auto, Inc.	2025 FORD EXPLORER POLICE	1,977.12
96475	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	TRIPEPI SMITH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.	COMMUNICATION SUPPORT APR 2026	3,750.00
96476	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ELLIOTT, MARIELLE	POLICE PERMORMANCE PISTOL COURSE	24.00
96477	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CHALLENGE ROOTER	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	2,768.00
96478	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	EVEREST PLUMBING & DRAIN	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	9,725.00
96479	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FJ&I ENGINEERING, INC.	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	847.00
96480	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	JOE FARRELL PAVING & GRADING	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	2,792.00
96481	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	LARRIETA SANITATION CONSTRUCTION	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	1,945.00
96482	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PG&E	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	7,031.00
96483	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SEWER CONNECTION INC	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	1,945.00
96484	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SEWER WORKS, INC	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	3,890.00
96485	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	STAR ROOTER AND PLUMBING	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	1,945.00
96486	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	TERRA NOVA ENGINEERING INC	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	1,945.00
96487	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CARDONA CONSTRUCTION INC	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	847.00
96488	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	ENTRUST MASONRY RECOVERY	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	847.00
96489	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	J SCHWAB CONSTRUCTION	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	847.00
96490	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	Salkhi, Arash	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	1,934.50
96491	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	Williams, Tamara	RELEASE OF BONDS - MAY 2026	847.00
<b>Type Check Totals:</b>					<b>238 Transactions</b>			<b>\$ 2,246,638.37</b>

<b>EFT</b>								
3723	05/01/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	California State Disbursement Unit	Payroll Deduction	2,278.61
3724	05/01/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	EDD - PAYROLL TAX DEPOSIT	CA - CA Tax	50,644.91
3725	05/01/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	IRS	FED - Fed Tax*	206,668.68
3726	05/01/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	MlssionSquare (name chg 03-2021 formerly ICMA)	ICM1 - ICMA-457 #300530 FLAT DOLLAR*	70,791.02
3727	05/01/2026	Voided/Reissued	Printing Error	05/05/2026	Accounts Payable	SEIU LOCAL 1021	Payroll Deduction	1,835.79
3728	05/01/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	P E R S	PE1% - PERS SEIU*	215,067.88

City of El Cerrito  
**Payment Register**  
 From Payment Date: 5/1/2026 - To Payment Date: 5/31/2026

Number	Date	Status	Void Reason	Reconciled/ Voided Date	Source	Payee Name	Description	Transaction Amount
3745	05/15/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	California State Disbursement Unit	Payroll Deduction	2,278.61
3746	05/15/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	EDD - PAYROLL TAX DEPOSIT	CA - CA Tax	43,403.88
3747	05/15/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	IRS	FED - Fed Tax*	179,748.55
3748	05/15/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	MissionSquare (name chg 03-2021 formerly ICMA)	ICM1 - ICMA-457 #300530 FLAT DOLLAR*	68,298.93
3749	05/15/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	P E R S	PE CM PEPRA - PERS CM PEPRA*	220,420.23
3750	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	P E R S	PE CM PEPRA - PERS CM PEPRA*	408.48
3751	05/29/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	California State Disbursement Unit	Payroll Deduction	2,486.30
3752	05/29/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	EDD - PAYROLL TAX DEPOSIT	CA - CA Tax	46,808.64
3753	05/29/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	IRS	FED - Fed Tax*	189,527.05
3754	05/29/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	MissionSquare (name chg 03-2021 formerly ICMA)	ICM1 - ICMA-457 #300530 FLAT DOLLAR*	70,255.76
3755	05/29/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	P E R S	PE1% - PERS SEIU*	219,888.62
3756	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FIRST DATA MERCHANT SERVICES INC	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	911.02
3757	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FIRST DATA MERCHANT SERVICES INC	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	59.57
3758	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FIRST DATA MERCHANT SERVICES INC	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	294.93
3759	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FIRST DATA MERCHANT SERVICES INC	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	159.28
3760	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FIRST DATA MERCHANT SERVICES INC	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	548.90
3761	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FIRST DATA MERCHANT SERVICES INC	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	38.38
3762	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FIRST DATA MERCHANT SERVICES INC	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	38.37
3763	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	FIRST DATA MERCHANT SERVICES INC	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	38.40
3764	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	AMEX/AMERICAN EXPRESS	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	55.17
3765	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CLOVER	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	23.90
3766	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	AUTHORIZE.NET	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	32.50
3767	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	AUTHORIZE.NET	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	20.00
3768	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CARDCONNECT	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	7,612.76
3769	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CARDCONNECT	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	1,898.27
3770	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CARDCONNECT	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	7,422.07
3771	05/31/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	MECHANICS BANK	MERCHANT FEE EXPENSE - APR2026	577.82
3772	05/07/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PITNEY BOWES PURCHASE POWER	PURCHASE POWER PASTAGE CL PYMT APR 2026	1,005.00
3773	05/27/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	STRYKER CORPORATION	STRYKER EQUIPMENT/ SVC LEASE AGRMNT MAY 2026	6,308.59
3774	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	SUTTER HEALTH PLUS	SUTTER HEALTH PLAN - JUN 2026 PAYMENT	34,560.10
3775	05/27/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CAPITAL ONE PUBLIC FUNDING, LLC	SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC CAPITAL LEASE 12/01/25-06/01/26	65,236.25
3776	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	CAPITAL ONE PUBLIC FUNDING, LLC	2022 FIRE TRUCK-EQ LSE 5/24/25-5/24/26	136,590.20
3777	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PG&E	PG&E 04/02/26-5/1/26	22,423.90
3778	05/21/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PG&E	PG&E 4/1/26-4/30/26	25,914.35
3779	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PG&E	PG&E 4/8/26-5/6/26	38.37
3780	05/28/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	PG&E	PG&E 4/8/26-5/6/26	59.52
3781	05/27/2026	Open			Accounts Payable	U.S. BANK - CORPORATE PAYMENT SYS	CALCARD MAY 2026 PAYMENT	69,193.78

**Type EFT Totals: 43 Transactions \$ 1,971,873.34**

**Accounts Payable**

Status	Count	Transaction Amount
Open	280	\$ 4,216,675.92
Reconciled	-	-
Voided	1	1,835.79
Stopped	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>\$ 4,218,511.71</b>

**Payroll**

Status	Count	Transaction Amount
Open	917	\$1,886,129.64
Reconciled	-	-
Voided	-	-
Stopped	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>917</b>	<b>\$1,886,129.64</b>

**Grand Totals**

Status	Count	Transaction Amount
Open	1,197	6,102,805.56
Reconciled	-	-
Voided	1	1,835.79
Stopped	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,198</b>	<b>\$6,104,641.35</b>



## AGENDA BILL

Agenda Item No. 7.E.

**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** Jeff Ballantine, Senior Planner; Sean Moss, Planning Manager,  
Community Development Department  
**Subject:** Delegation of Design Review Board Powers and Duties to the Planning  
Commission in Certain Circumstances

---

### **ACTION PROPOSED**

Adopt a resolution delegating powers and duties of the Design Review Board to the Planning Commission when the Design Review Board does not have sufficient members to constitute a quorum.

### **BACKGROUND**

Pursuant to Section 2.04.280(B) of the El Cerrito Municipal Code (ECMC), the Design Review Board (DRB) consists of five members, with at least three members who are design professionals. No more than two members of DRB may be members of the City Planning Commission. The City Council appoints members to the DRB pursuant to Section 2.04.220(B), and the Board has powers and duties specified in El Cerrito Municipal Code Sections 2.04.280 and 19.38.020.B.2 and San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan Section 2.02.04.04.

Pursuant to El Cerrito Municipal Code Section 2.04.220(B)(5)(a), a quorum for the purposes of holding a meeting of the DRB shall consist of a simple majority of the members then serving, but not fewer than three members. The DRB may act by an affirmative vote of a simple majority of a quorum. 2023 is the last time that the DRB had all five seats filled, with one member resigning in 2024, and another in 2025. In the past two years, the City Clerk has not received applications from individuals whose background and experience related to the DRB's duties, and the City Council has not made any appointments to the DRB during this time. In March 2026 another member met the maximum service limits, having served two full four-year terms per ECMC Section 2.04.220(B)(3). With this term expiration, as of March 1, 2026 the DRB has only two members, making the body unable to meet due to a lack of quorum and creating an inability to take action.

### **ANALYSIS**

The Design Review Board is unable to perform the powers and duties prescribed to it without having at least three current members that would constitute a quorum. The City Council has appointment power under the Municipal Code for both DRB and Planning Commission members, as well as authority under California Government Code section 65100 to create planning commission(s) and planning agencies and assign powers and duties thereto. ECMC Section 2.04.230 provides that the Planning Commission shall have duties assigned to it by the Council, and the San Pablo Specific Plan provides for

the Planning Commission to conduct certain discretionary design review functions for certain design review tiers. These statutes give the City Council authority to delegate duties and assign functions to the City's Planning Commission.

Delegating the powers and duties of the DRB to the Planning Commission when the DRB does not have sufficient members appointed to establish a quorum, would allow the City to act on applications that require DRB action. Similarly, allowing the Planning Commission to assume the functions of DRB in circumstances when the appointed members of the Body are unable to meet for a period of thirty (30) days due to a lack of quorum, would enable the City to comply with the Streamlining Act or other State law deadlines.

There are currently two pending design review applications for proposed housing developments that require DRB action no later than July 2, 2026, pursuant to California Government Code Section 65950. If the City does not make a decision on these projects by the statutory deadline, the developments would be deemed approved by operation of law. As such, City staff have scheduled a special Planning Commission meeting on July 1, 2026 for the Planning Commission to act on these two design review applications pending the City Council's adoption of a resolution delegating the Design Review Board's powers and duties to the Planning Commission in circumstances that prohibit the Design Review Board from meeting due to a lack of quorum.

### **STRATEGIC PLAN CONSIDERATIONS**

This action supports the [City's Strategic Plan Goal\(s\)](#) of:

- *High Performing Organization* by allowing the City to continue to fulfill its responsibilities in reviewing and processing new development applications that require Design Review Board approval; and
- *Livability and Belonging* by allowing the City to continue to review and process new development applications, which can accommodate new infill development and can advance the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan to help create a livable and vibrant corridor.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This action does not constitute a project pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21065 and is therefore exempt from environmental review pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

### **FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This action is procedural and does not have any associated costs for the City.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The City Attorney has reviewed and approved this report and the attached resolution. This action will allow the City to take action upon pending development applications as required by State law.

**Reviewed by:**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Karen Pinkos".

Karen Pinkos, City Manager

**Attachments:**

1. Resolution

RESOLUTION 2026-XX

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO DELEGATING THE POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES WHEN THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD DOES NOT HAVE A QUORUM

WHEREAS, Section 2.04.280(B) of the El Cerrito Municipal Code, establishes a Design Review Board consisting of five members appointed by the City Council; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to El Cerrito Municipal Code Section 2.04.220(B)(5)(a), a quorum for the purposes of holding a meeting of the Design Review Board shall consist of a simple majority of the members then serving, but not fewer than three members; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to El Cerrito Municipal Code Section 2.04.220(B)(5)(a), the Design Review Board may act by an affirmative vote of a simple majority of a quorum; and

WHEREAS, the Design Review Board has powers and duties specified in El Cerrito Municipal Code Sections 2.04.280 and 19.38.020(B)(2) and San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan Section 2.02.04.04; and

WHEREAS, the Design Review Board currently has only two members and the City has not received any applications for the Board vacancies for an extended period of time; and

WHEREAS, the Design Review Board is unable to act and perform the powers and duties of the Design Review Board without having at least three members to constitute a quorum; and

WHEREAS, El Cerrito Municipal Code Section 2.04.230 states that the Planning Commission shall have the powers and duties provided to it by state law, and "such duties and powers provided in [the Municipal Code] or assigned by the council"; and

WHEREAS, delegating powers and duties of the Design Review Board to the Planning Commission in certain circumstances when the Board cannot reach a quorum will allow the City to move forward with conducting design review for development projects without delay and comply with applicable decision-making timelines under state law where applicable; and

WHEREAS, delegating powers and duties of the Design Review Board to the Planning Commission in certain circumstances does not constitute a project pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21065, and is therefore exempt from environmental review pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of El Cerrito that the powers and duties of the Design Review Board specified in El Cerrito Municipal Code Sections 2.04.280 and 19.38.020(B)(2) and in San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan Section 2.02.04.04 are delegated to the Planning Commission in the following circumstances:

1. If the Design Review Board has less than three (3) members appointed; or
2. If the appointed members of the Design Review Board are unable to meet for a period of more than thirty (30) days due to the inability to meet a quorum.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage and adoption.

I CERTIFY that at a regular meeting on June 16, 2026 the City Council of the City of El Cerrito passed this Resolution by the following vote:

AYES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
NOES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSTAIN:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSENT:	COUNCILMEMBERS:

IN WITNESS of this action, I sign this document and affix the corporate seal of the City of El Cerrito on\_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabe Quinto, Mayor



## AGENDA BILL

Agenda Item No. 7.F.

**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk, City Management  
**Subject:** Call, Consolidate and Request Election Services for a November 3, 2026 General Municipal Election for the Purpose of Electing Two Members of City Council

---

### **ACTION PROPOSED**

Adopt a resolution to call for a general municipal election to be held on Tuesday, November 3, 2026 for the purpose of electing two members of the El Cerrito City Council, each for a term of four years; and to request the Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors consent and direct the Registrar of Voters to provide specified services to the City related to the conduct of the election.

### **BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS**

In November 2026, the terms of office for Mayor Quinto and Councilmember Wysinger will expire. As a result, an election is required for the purpose of electing two members to the City Council. The term for each seat shall be four years. In order to conduct its municipal election, the City Council is required by state law to adopt a resolution calling for such an election, and include provisions establishing certain election-related procedures.

The City customarily requests and authorizes Contra Costa County to conduct its elections, which is significantly less expensive than the City conducting its own election. The staff time, special equipment, and skills associated with printing, duplicating, mailing, establishing polling locations, hiring and training poll workers, canvassing, counting and certifying ballots, and discharging other tasks associated with the election make this arrangement the most cost-effective option. For such requests, the County requires receipt of a resolution containing the order for election and services be provided no later than July 1, 2026.

The City Clerk will continue to act as the local Elections Official and be responsible for processing nomination documents and candidate statements, conducting research, and responding to inquiries from candidates and the public regarding candidacy and other election matters, and will serve as the Filing Officer for state-mandated Fair Political Practices Commission campaign disclosure filings.

### **Candidate Nomination Period**

Candidates will be able to procure nomination documents from the City Clerk during the nomination period of July 13, 2026 to August 7, 2026. This period of time is set by California Elections Code.

If any eligible incumbent does not file for re-election by August 7th, the nomination period is automatically extended by five calendar days per California Elections Code to Wednesday, August 12, 2026. During this period of time, only non-incumbents may file for nomination.

### **Candidate Statements**

Each candidate for elective office has the option to prepare a candidate statement to be printed in the Voter Information Guide (printed in English, Spanish and Chinese), which is mailed to all registered voters eligible to vote for that particular office. The candidate statement is designed to familiarize voters with a candidate's qualifications for the office they are seeking.

The City Council shall determine the length, cost, and party responsible for payment of the candidate statement. The length of the statement can be set anywhere between 200–400 words, however statements between 251–400 words would be double the cost. Staff recommends limiting each candidate statement to 250 words, which is consistent with the City Council's action for past elections as well as a majority of cities in Contra Costa County. The cost of the statement includes Spanish and Chinese translations.

For the November 3, 2026 municipal election, the County has provided an estimated cost of \$510 to include a candidate statement in the voter information guide. Staff recommends that the City Council set an amount of \$510 to be collected at the time of filing nomination documents with the City Clerk, should the candidate elect to submit a statement. If the final cost of the statement is less, candidates will be issued a refund for the difference. If the cost is more, candidates will be charged for the additional cost.

Alternatively, the City Council could choose to have the City assume the cost of printing all candidate statements, which would result in increased election costs. The City could also forgo requiring payment up front, however there would be no assurance that staff would be able to collect funds from a candidate once the election has concluded.

### **Tie Vote**

Finally, the City Council must determine the method of resolving a tie vote, either by choosing a winner by lot or ordering a special run-off election. Previous City election consolidation resolutions have specified resolving a tie vote by lot. In this instance, the City Council would summon the candidates who received an equal number of votes to appear before them at a time and place designated by the City Council. The City Council would then resolve the tie vote by lot, by selecting a person to either toss a coin, or by placing each candidate's name in an unmarked, sealed envelope, drawing the envelope and reading the name of the winning candidate.

Alternatively, the City Council could direct that a special run-off election be conducted for those candidates who receive an equal number of votes. However, a special run-off election would delay seating a new City Councilmember and the City would also incur substantial costs for conducting a separate special run-off election. California Election

Code Section 15651(b) requires a special run-off election to be held on a Tuesday not less than 40 nor more than 125 days after certification of the election. It is important to note that adopting the provisions for a run-off election in the event of a tie vote will remain in effect for all future elections unless the Council later rescinds the authority for special run-off elections. Previous election consolidation resolutions have specified resolving a tie vote by lot. Staff recommends action consistent with this practice as established by resolution for past general municipal elections.

### **STRATEGIC PLAN CONSIDERATIONS**

This action supports the [City's Strategic Plan Goal\(s\)](#) of:

- *High Performing Organization*

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This section is not applicable to this agenda item.

### **FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The Contra Costa Elections Division estimates the General Municipal Election to cost approximately \$2.5-\$4 per registered voter. As of May 20, 2026 there were 17,862 registered voters in the City of El Cerrito. This number is expected to increase prior to November. Staff estimates that a November 2026 municipal election for two council members will cost approximately \$71,500. Funds sufficient to cover the estimated costs are included in the proposed budget for Fiscal Year 2026-2027.

Should the Council decide to provide for a special run-off election in the event of a tie vote rather than resolving a tie by lot, the Registrar of Voters has estimated the cost of a special election to be approximately \$9-\$12 per voter or \$161,000-\$214,000.

### **LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The City Attorney has reviewed all documents related to calling the election, and requirements of the California Elections Code are fulfilled by adoption of the attached resolution.

### **Reviewed by:**



Karen Pinkos, City Manager

### **Attachments:**

1. Resolution

RESOLUTION 2026–XX

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO CALLING AND GIVING NOTICE OF THE HOLDING OF A GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION ON NOVEMBER 3, 2026 FOR THE ELECTION OF CERTAIN OFFICERS; AND REQUESTING CONTRA COSTA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CONSENT TO THE CONSOLIDATION OF SAID ELECTION WITH OTHER ELECTIONS TO BE HELD ON THE SAME DATE, AND DIRECT THE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS TO CONDUCT THE ELECTION

WHEREAS, the term of two City of El Cerrito (City) City Council seats will expire in 2026, and said positions must be filled; and

WHEREAS, the City Council shall order a General Municipal Election to be held on Tuesday, November 3, 2026 to fill said positions; and

WHEREAS, other elections may be held in whole or in part of the territory of the city and it is to the advantage of the City to consolidate its municipal election pursuant to California Elections Code Sections 10002 and 10400; and

WHEREAS, California Elections Code Sections 10002 and 10400 provide that a City Council may request that the Contra Costa County (“County”) Board of Supervisors consolidate a general municipal election with the statewide general election; and

WHEREAS, California Elections Code Section 10242 provides that the City Council shall determine the hours of opening and closing the polls; and

WHEREAS, California Elections Code Section 10002 requires the City to reimburse the County in full for the services performed upon presentation of a bill to the City by the County Elections Official; and

WHEREAS, California Elections Code Section 13307 requires that before the nominating period opens the City Council must determine whether a charge shall be levied against each candidate submitting a candidate’s statement to be sent to the voters; and may estimate the cost and determine whether the estimate must be paid in advance; and

WHEREAS, California Elections Code Section 12101 requires publication of notice of the election once in a newspaper of general circulation in the City; and

WHEREAS, tie votes shall be determined by lot unless the City Council adopts the provisions of California Elections Code Section 15651(b) prior to the conduct of the election resulting in the tie vote; and

WHEREAS, the City’s boundaries have not changed since the last municipal election.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of El Cerrito as follows:

Section 1. Declaration of Findings. The foregoing recitals are true and correct and incorporated by reference.

Section 2. Election Called. Pursuant to the requirements of the laws of the State of California, there is called and ordered to be held in the City of El Cerrito, California, on Tuesday, November 3, 2026, a general municipal election for the purpose of electing the following:

- Member, City Council      4-Year Term
- Member, City Council      4-Year Term

Section 3. Tie Vote. In the event of a tie vote for a City Council seat the method to resolve it will be determined by lot at a time and place designated by the Election Board (City Council).

Section 4. Candidate Statement. The City Council has determined that the candidate will pay for the Candidate’s Statement. The Candidate’s Statement will be limited to 250 words. As a condition of having the Candidate’s Statement published, the candidate shall pay the full estimated cost at the time of filing. The City Council hereby establishes the estimated cost for a candidate statement as \$510.00.

Section 5. Consolidation of Elections. Pursuant to Elections Code Sections 10002 and 10400 *et seq.*, the City Council of the City of El Cerrito hereby requests that the Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors consent and agree to the consolidation of the general municipal election with any other elections within Contra Costa County to be held on November 3, 2026 and provide all services necessary to conduct the election. The county election department is authorized to canvass the returns of the general municipal election in accordance with California Election Code Sections 15300 *et seq.* Within the City of El Cerrito, the election precincts, election officers, hours of voting, polling places and voting booths shall in every case be the same as those selected and designated by the Contra Costa County Registrar of Voters; provided that no person not a qualified elector of the City of El Cerrito shall be permitted to vote for the elective offices placed on the ballot by the City Council. The City Council recognizes that the County will incur additional costs in conducting the election called by this resolution, agrees to reimburse the County for those costs, and authorizes and directs the City Manager or their designee to expend the funds necessary to pay for the costs of conducting the election.

Section 6. Ballot Format. The ballots to be used at the election shall be in the same form and content as required by law.

Section 7. Hours of Polling. The polls for the election shall be open at 7:00 a.m. of the day of the election and shall remain open continuously from that time until 8:00 p.m. of the same day when the polls shall be closed except as provided in Elections Code Section 14401.

Section 8. Other Procedures. In all particulars not recited in this resolution, the election shall be held and conducted as provided by law for holding municipal elections. The City Council acknowledges that the consolidated election will be conducted in the manner prescribed in Elections Code Section 10418.

Section 9. Notice. The City Clerk is authorized and directed to give notice of the election as required by law. The City Clerk shall file a copy of this resolution with the County Elections Official and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors forthwith.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage and adoption.

I CERTIFY that at the regular meeting on June 16, 2026 the El Cerrito City Council passed this resolution by the following vote:

AYES: COUNCILMEMBERS:  
NOES: COUNCILMEMBERS:  
ABSENT: COUNCILMEMBERS:  
ABSTAIN: COUNCILMEMBERS:

IN WITNESS of this action, I sign this document and affix the corporate seal of the City of El Cerrito on \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabe Quinto, Mayor



## AGENDA BILL

Agenda Item No. 7.G.

**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** James Mizutani, Associate Engineer; Jarrett Mullen, Sustainable Transportation Program Manager; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department  
**Subject:** Establish Speed Limits for Eight Speed Zones on Arlington Boulevard, Central Avenue, Cutting Boulevard-Elm Street-Richmond Street, Potrero Avenue, San Pablo Avenue, and Stockton Avenue

---

### **ACTION PROPOSED**

Adopt a resolution establishing speed limits for eight identified speed zones to allow for radar, lidar, and other electronic device-based enforcement in conformance with State of California requirements.

### **BACKGROUND**

Vehicle speed and road safety outcomes are directly related. Many factors contribute to injuries and fatalities including speeding, distracted driving, and impaired driving. However, excessive speed is one of the primary reasons for serious injuries and fatalities on California's public roads. As speeds increase, vehicle kinetic energy and stopping distance increase while driver peripheral vision decreases. In a crash, vehicles with higher speeds have more kinetic energy to transfer to another person, vehicle, or object leading to more serious injuries and fatalities. Higher speeds also narrow drivers' field of vision and require greater distances for drivers to react and come to a complete stop.

Determining safe speed limits requires considering numerous factors including legal requirements, road classification, potential hazards, the presence of other road users such as pedestrians and bicyclists, land uses, and road safety policy goals. Historically, many state and federal speed limit-setting requirements relied on prevailing driver speed, and by extension driver behavior, to determine the safe speed limit. This method, commonly referred to as the "85th percentile rule," was developed in the 1930s. Public agencies would set speed limits based on the actual speed of drivers at the 85th percentile, or the speed that 85 percent of drivers do not exceed. The intent of the 85th percentile methodology is to reduce travel speed variability and allow law enforcement to focus on extreme speeding cases. However, this method assumes the collective judgment of drivers determines what is safe.

Recent [research](#) highlights that drivers have difficulty self-regulating speeds on urban and suburban streets and that the 85th percentile speed may not be appropriate in these areas, particularly along streets used by vulnerable road users (bicyclists and pedestrians).

This research, combined with the state and national focus on the [“Safe Systems Approach”](#) to reduce road deaths and serious injuries, has led to speed limit setting policy changes at the state and federal level.

In California, the California Vehicle Code (CVC) establishes rules for setting and enforcing speed limits, while the California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CAMUTCD) provides technical standards and guidance for setting speed limits. Except for the conditions listed below, local agencies must conduct an Engineering & Traffic Survey (E&TS) and adopt speed zones to establish and enforce speed limits using radar, lidar, or other electronic speed measuring devices. Attachment 3 outlines the process that local jurisdictions may follow to set speed limits.

Speed zones are segments of road where speed limits are set to the nearest five mile-per-hour increment from the measured 85th percentile speed, with some exceptions based on crash history, safety corridor designation, or areas with high levels of pedestrian and bicycle activity. The CVC requires that the E&TS be updated every seven years if requirements regarding police officer training and electronic device calibration are presented to the court. The E&TS renewal timeframe may be further extended to fourteen years if a registered engineer conducts a review and determines that no significant changes in roadway or traffic conditions have occurred since the date of the last survey.

The CVC designates “prima facie” speed limits on roads that do not have posted speed limits and when a posted speed limit has not been determined based on a E&TS. For locally-controlled roads, the following are generally exempt from E&TS requirements:

- Local streets
- Alleys
- Senior Zones
- School Zones
- Children’s Playground
- Railroad Crossing or Uncontrolled Intersections with Impaired Visibility

AB 43 (2021) and AB1938 (2022) provided jurisdictions with the ability to further lower speed limits and included additional provisions affecting E&TS procedures and radar enforcement. Key changes include:

### **Locally-Controlled Roads Only**

- Establishing prima facie speed limits for a Business Activity District

- Designating a road as a Safety Corridor (high injury network) to lower speed limit
- Designating road as a High Pedestrian and Bicycle Activity area to lower speed limit

### **Local + State Controlled Roads**

- Extending the E&TS validity period based on judgement of a registered engineer
- Round speed limit down to the nearest 5 mph instead of rounding up
- Declare a 15 or 20 mph speed limit if justified by the E&TS

City staff engaged W-Trans to prepare new E&TSs for previously listed street segments. Current speed limits for 10 other speed zones were established in 2022 (City Council Resolution 2022-81). E&TSs for the four remaining zones on Carlson Boulevard, Central Avenue, Colusa Avenue, and Key Boulevard will be completed later this year. The Local Road Safety Plan, to be considered by the City Council later this year, will designate up to one-fifth of the City's road network as "Safety Corridors" to target safety measures on roads with the highest number of serious injury and fatal crashes. Recent state legislation allows the City to reduce speed limits in these corridors through an E&TS process. In El Cerrito, these Safety Corridors are expected to encompass some of the remaining unsurveyed zones.

### **ANALYSIS**

W-Trans performed the E&TSs in accordance with the requirements set forth in the CVC, CAMUTCD, and established traffic engineering practices. The signed and stamped E&TSs are attached as Exhibit A to the Resolution. The E&TSs include consideration of prevailing speeds, collision records, pedestrian and bicycle activity, and traffic and roadside conditions not readily apparent to the driver.

City staff and W-Trans reviewed legislative changes and determined that two of the street segments no longer require an E&TS:

- Arlington Boulevard from North City Limit (near Alta Vista Drive) to Potrero Avenue
- Stockton Avenue from Terrace Drive to San Pablo Avenue

These two segments satisfy the definition of a local street as prescribed by CVC Section 40802. Under the CVC, a local street is defined as one that is functionally classified as "local" on the California Road System Maps or primarily provides access to abutting residential property, is no more than 40 feet wide, has not more than one-half mile of uninterrupted length, and has no more than one traffic lane in each direction. These segments of Arlington Boulevard and Stockton falls under the latter definition.

Based on the W-Trans E&TS data and results, City staff recommend that the City Council establish the speed zones listed below in Table 1 to allow for the Police Department to enforce speed limits using radar, lidar and or electronic devices in conformance with the State of California requirements.

**Table 1: Speed Zones**

Street	Endpoints		Speed Limit (Miles Per Hour)		
			Existing	Proposed	Change
Arlington Blvd	North City Limit	Potrero Ave	25	25	0
Arlington Blvd	Potrero Ave	South City Limit	25	25	0
Central Ave	Richmond St	San Pablo Ave	25	25	0
Cutting Blvd- Elm St- Richmond St	Arlington Blvd	Fairmount Ave	25	25	0
Potrero Ave	Arlington Blvd	Kearney St	25	25	0
Potrero Ave	Kearney St	West City Limit	25	25	0
San Pablo Ave	North City Limit	Cutting Blvd	30	30	0
Stockton Ave	Terrace Dr	San Pablo Ave	25	25	0

This will maintain the current speed limits on these zones while allowing for more efficient enforcement.

**STRATEGIC PLAN CONSIDERATIONS**

This action supports the [City's Strategic Plan Goal\(s\)](#) of:

- *High Performing Organization; and*
- *Community Safety; and*
- *Livability and Belonging; and*
- *Infrastructure and Amenities*

**ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This action is not subject to CEQA as it has no possibility of having a significant effect on the environment (CEQA Guidelines Sections 15061, 15301).

**FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Given that none of the speed limit signs will need to be changed, there is no cost associated with implementation of this resolution.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The City Attorney has reviewed the proposed action and found that legal considerations have been addressed. The City Clerk will certify the resolution and file it with the Contra Costa Superior Court and El Cerrito Police Department.

**Reviewed by:**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Karen Pinkos".

Karen Pinkos, City Manager

**Attachments:**

1. Resolution
2. Exhibit A to Resolution
3. UC Berkeley Speed Setting Flow Chart

RESOLUTION 2026-XX

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO ESTABLISHING SPEED LIMITS FOR EIGHT SPEED ZONES ON ARLINGTON BOULEVARD, CENTRAL AVENUE, CUTTING BOULEVARD, ELM STREET, RICHMOND STREET, POTRERO AVENUE, SAN PABLO AVENUE, AND STOCKTON AVENUE

WHEREAS, local agencies are required to conduct Engineering and Traffic Surveys (“E&TS”) to establish speed limits on streets that are functionally classified as collector or arterial and where speed enforcement involves the use of radar, lidar or other electronic speed measuring devices; and

WHEREAS, City staff engaged W-Trans to prepare updated E&TSs for the street segments specified below; and

WHEREAS, W-Trans prepared the E&TSs in accordance with the requirements set forth in the California Vehicle Code (CVC), California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, and established traffic engineering practices, including consideration of prevailing speeds, collision records, pedestrian and bicycle activity, and traffic and roadside conditions not readily apparent to the driver; and

WHEREAS, based on recent legislative changes as prescribed in CVC Section 40802, two of the local street segments in the City no longer require an E&TS because they primarily provides access to abutting residential property, are no more than 40 feet wide, have not more than one-half mile of uninterrupted length, and have no more than one traffic lane in each direction falling under the definition of a local street: Arlington Boulevard from North City Limit (near Alta Vista Drive) to Potrero Avenue and Stockton Avenue from Terrace Drive to San Pablo Avenue; and

WHEREAS, the Public Works and Police Departments have reviewed the speed survey recommendations.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of El Cerrito that hereby establishes the following speed limits based on the E&TS, attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference, or based on their definition as a local street per CVC 40802 to allow for enforcement of these limits using radar, lidar or other electronic devices in conformance with State of California requirements:

<b>Speed Zone</b>	<b>Speed Limit (miles per hour)</b>
Arlington Boulevard – North City Limit to Potrero Avenue (Local Street)	25
Arlington Boulevard – Potrero Avenue to South City Limit	25
Central Avenue – Richmond Street to San Pablo Avenue	25
Cutting Boulevard-Elm Street-Richmond Street – Arlington Boulevard to Fairmount Avenue	25
Potrero Ave – Arlington Boulevard to Kearney Street	25

Potrero Ave – Kearney Street to West City Limit	25
San Pablo Ave – North City Limit to Cutting Boulevard	30
Stockton Avenue – Terrace Drive to San Pablo Avenue (Local Street)	25

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City manager or designee is authorized to post speed limits as appropriate in accordance with this resolution; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Clerk is directed to file certified copies of this resolution with the Contra Costa Superior Court and El Cerrito Police Department; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage and adoption.

I CERTIFY that at a regular meeting on June 16, 2026 the City Council of the City of El Cerrito passed this Resolution by the following vote:

AYES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
NOES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSTAIN:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSENT:	COUNCILMEMBERS:

IN WITNESS of this action, I sign this document and affix the corporate seal of the City of El Cerrito on \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabe Quinto, Mayor



# Engineering and Traffic Surveys for the City of El Cerrito



Prepared for the City of El Cerrito

Submitted by  
**W-Trans**

June 8, 2026



**TRAFFIC ENGINEERING  
TRANSPORTATION PLANNING**  
*Balancing Functionality and Livability since 1995*

[w-trans.com](http://w-trans.com)  
Agenda Packet Page 105 of 320



**This page intentionally left blank**

# Table of Contents

---

Introduction.....	1
Study Procedure.....	3
Recommendations.....	6
Study Participants and References.....	7
<b>Tables</b>	
1. Summary of Engineering and Traffic Surveys.....	6
<b>Appendices</b>	
A. Average Daily Traffic Counts	
B. Radar Speed Survey Data	
C. Engineering and Traffic Survey Forms	





**This page intentionally left blank**

# Introduction

---

This report provides background and presents the results of the analysis performed while preparing consolidated Engineering and Traffic Surveys for six street segments in the City of El Cerrito. These surveys were performed in compliance with the current laws and practices of the State of California, which require that an Engineering and Traffic Survey be completed to establish radar enforceable speed limits under certain conditions.

Chapter 7 of Division 11 of the *California Vehicle Code* (CVC) deals with speed laws. Basically, the CVC indicates that *prima facie* speed limits on roadways are 25 miles per hour (mph) in residential and business districts along local streets and in qualified Business Activity Districts and 15 mph at railroad grade crossings, highway intersections with sight restrictions, and on any alley. Section 22349 currently sets the maximum speed limit at 65 mph and sets the maximum speed limit on a two-lane undivided highway at 55 mph. The CVC also allows for intermediate speed limits. Section 22357 indicates that whenever a local jurisdiction "...determines upon the basis of an Engineering and Traffic Survey that a speed greater than 25 mph would facilitate the orderly movement of vehicular traffic and would be reasonable and safe...", the local authority may by ordinance determine and declare a *prima facie* speed limit of 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55 or 60 mph..., whichever is found most appropriate to facilitate the orderly movement of traffic and is reasonable and safe."

Similarly, Section 22358 allows local jurisdictions to decrease a *prima facie* 65-mph speed limit by ordinance to 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, or 60 mph based on an Engineering and Traffic Survey, if such speed is found to be appropriate, reasonable, and safe. As described in Section 22358.9, a local authority may by ordinance determine and declare a 25- or 20-mph *prima facie* speed limit in a business activity district. A business activity district is a portion of a highway that meets at least three of the following requirements:

- (1) No less than 50 percent of the contiguous property fronting the highway consists of retail or dining commercial uses, including outdoor dining, that open directly onto sidewalks adjacent to the highway;
- (2) Parking, including parallel, diagonal, or perpendicular spaces located alongside the highway;
- (3) Traffic control signals or stop signs regulating traffic flow on the highway, located at intervals of no more than 600 feet; and
- (4) Marked crosswalks not controlled by a traffic control device.

Section 22358.7 also states that if a local authority, after completing an Engineering and Traffic Survey, finds that the speed limit is still more than is reasonable or safe they may, by ordinance, determine and declare a *prima facie* speed limit that has been reduced an additional five miles per hour for either of the following reasons:

- (1) The portion of highway has been designated as a safety corridor, or
- (2) The portion of highway is adjacent to any land use or facility that generates high concentrations of bicyclists or pedestrians, especially those from vulnerable groups such as children, seniors, persons with disabilities and the unhoused.

The Engineering and Traffic Survey is defined in Section 627 of the CVC as being a survey of highway and traffic conditions in accordance with methods determined by the Department of Transportation for use by the State and Local authorities. In the Engineering and Traffic Survey, consideration must be made for prevailing speeds, collision records, highway traffic, and roadside conditions not readily apparent to the driver. The *California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways* (CA-MUTCD) contains two methods for conducting an Engineering and Traffic Survey: one for State Highways, and one for City and County Through Highways, Arterials, and Collector Roads and Local Streets. Recommendations contained in the CA-MUTCD have been used in the preparation of this report.

In addition to the above requirements, Section 40802 of the CVC, the “speed trap” law, as amended effective January 1, 2023, indicates that radar cannot be used to enforce a speed limit unless the speed limit is justified by an Engineering and Traffic Survey which was conducted within the last seven years, though this time frame may be extended to fourteen years if a review is performed by a registered engineer and a determination made that there have been no significant changes to the roadway or traffic conditions.

This report and the procedures used to formulate its recommendations fully meet the requirements of the CVC and the CA-MUTCD and will allow the California Highway Patrol to continue enforcing speed limits with the use of radar along applicable roadway segments.

# Study Procedure

---

## Conducting the Radar Surveys

Radar speed surveys were performed on street sections where the traffic speeds, traffic volumes, street width, or other significant factors were different from an adjacent section. Thus, a major arterial may require speed surveys for several segments to account for changes in these factors whereas a minor street with consistency in these areas may be sufficiently evaluated with just one survey. Each of the radar speed surveys was collected by a person stationed inconspicuously along the street, either from a standing position outside the travel way or from a parked, unmarked vehicle. An effort was made to ensure that the presence of the person or vehicle in no way affected the speed of the traffic being surveyed. Field information was recorded on forms and later coded for computer analysis. Part 2 of the CA-MUTCD indicates that it is desirable to have a minimum sample size of 100 vehicles for a speed zone survey. For low volume roadways this may result in excessive survey periods, so a smaller survey is adequate though in no case should it contain less than 50 vehicles. Copies of traffic count data and radar speed survey results are provided in Appendices A and B respectively.

## Analysis of Data

Observed conditions include the direction of travel and number of vehicles surveyed, the date and day of the week, and the time period during which the survey was conducted. The existing posted speed limit is also noted.

Calculated values include the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile speed, the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed, the 10-mph pace speed, the percentage of vehicles observed within the 10-mph pace speed, the range of speeds observed, and the total number of vehicles observed. An explanation of these terms follows.

**The 50<sup>th</sup> percentile speed** is that speed above and below which 50 percent of the sample speeds lie. This is also known as the median or middle speed.

**The 85<sup>th</sup> percentile or critical speed** is that speed at or below which 85 percent of the observed vehicles were traveling. It is assumed that most drivers will drive at reasonable speeds without the benefit of any speed limits, speed signs, or enforcement. The behavior of traffic is a good indication of the appropriate speed limit that should apply to a specific section of a highway. It is generally believed that at least 85 percent of the drivers operate at speeds which are reasonable and prudent for the conditions pertaining to each situation. Therefore, the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed of a spot speed survey is the primary indicator of a speed limit which might be imposed subject to the secondary factors of collision experience, traffic volumes, road features or other special situations.

**The pace** is the 10-mph increment of observed speeds which contains the greatest number of vehicles. In nearly all cases, the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed and the recommended speed limit lie somewhere within the pace, frequently in the middle to upper ranges. This is another indicator that traffic engineers use to determine appropriate speed limits.

**The percentage (of vehicles) in the pace speed** is an indication of the bunching of vehicular speeds. Ideally, if all vehicles were traveling at or about the same speed, there would be a reduced likelihood of traffic collisions. In speed limit analysis, the higher the percentage of vehicles within the pace speed, the more optimal the speed distribution.

**Vehicles observed** is the total number of vehicles surveyed during the spot speed survey.

## Driving the Streets

A final field check involves an experienced traffic engineer registered in the State of California driving each street surveyed while “floating” with prevailing traffic to determine the speed of traffic which is reasonable from the

driver's viewpoint. The traffic engineer is equipped with the previously described data analysis and is particularly cognizant of the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed and the pace speeds. The engineer evaluates the appropriateness of the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed and adds the perspective of human judgement to the speed limit setting process. Such factors as roadside land use, the number and location of driveways, parked vehicles, emergency shoulder areas, schools, and playgrounds, areas frequented by pedestrians, horizontal and vertical alignment of the roadway, super-elevation, intersection spacing, visibility and control, landscaping, and numerous other less tangible factors, all go into the judgment producing a final recommended limit.

## Collision Review

Collision histories are important factors in speed limit establishment. Before developing the final recommended limits, the engineer must be aware of collision histories, collision problems, and collision distributions. The most recent two-year period of reported collision histories available at the time of this evaluation was January 1, 2023, to December 31, 2024. Records provided by the Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) for this period were reviewed prior to developing the recommendations for the speed limits described in this report. The collision rate is noted for all street segments. The collision history is presented both numerically and as a rate of the number of collisions per million vehicle miles. The collision history is presented both numerically and as a rate of the number of collisions per million vehicle miles. For the study segments, the collision rates vary from 0.39 to 1.56 collisions per million vehicle miles. According to *2023 Crash Data on California State Highways*, Caltrans, the statewide average collision rates for various comparable facilities vary from 0.64 to 1.07 collisions per million vehicle miles for conventional urban two-lane, three-lane, divided four-lane, and divided and undivided five-lane roadways. The comparable statewide average rates were used as a point of comparison to determine if the collision experience on any study segment was typical of what occurs on other similar facilities or represented an unusually high number of collisions.

If a study segment was found to have an above-average collision rate, consideration was given to recommending a speed limit 5 mph lower than the nearest 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed, as allowed by state law. An above-average collision rate does not necessarily indicate justification for a reduced speed limit; however, it was reviewed in conjunction with other conditions not readily apparent to drivers to determine if a reduction in the speed limit is appropriate.

## Speed Limit Recommendation Philosophy

With all of the statistics inherent in the speed survey process, there is a great deal of engineering judgment required, and to a certain extent, a philosophy implied during the establishment of speed limits. Speed limits should be reasonable and realistic regardless of the results of the field studies. Reasonable limits are those at which responsible motorists would drive without enforcement and without any signing. One cannot rely totally on this philosophy, however, as motorists tend to drive somewhat faster in residential districts away from their homes than the residents on that street would prefer. In other words, motorists tend to be more concerned about speeds near their own homes and less concerned elsewhere. This is not so much a tendency to willfully break the law or to drive unsafely, but rather a reflection of human nature, the press of time, and the use of high-performance vehicles. For this reason, speed limits on two-lane local residential streets tend to be somewhat further removed from the critical 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed than those on multi-lane arterial and collector streets.

The CVC and CA-MUTCD prescribe that a speed limit shall be established at the nearest 5-mph increment to the critical 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed. The speed limit may be reduced by 5 mph from this nearest 5-mph increment based upon factors such as collision experience or other factors not readily apparent to the motorist. For cases in which the nearest 5-mph increment of the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed would require a rounding up, then the speed limit may be rounded down to the nearest 5-mph increment below the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed without evidence of any specific need to do so, but no further reduction is allowed.

The *prima facie* speed limit of fifteen (15) mph applies to railroad grade crossings, intersections with sight restrictions, and alleys. The *prima facie* speed limit of 25 mph applies on any non-state highway in a business or residence district, as defined in Sections 235 and 515 of the CVC, respectively, when passing a school posted by a

"SCHOOL" sign when children are traveling to or from the facility, or when passing a senior center or other facility primarily used by senior citizens. The 25-mph *prima facie* speed limit is radar enforceable on "local streets" based on the provisions of Section 40802 of the CVC. In the CVC, a local street is defined as one that is functionally classified as "local" on the California Road System Maps, is no more than 40 feet wide, has not more than one-half mile of uninterrupted length, and has no more than one traffic lane in each direction. Other unposted two-lane roads which do not meet the criteria described above have a maximum speed limit of 55 mph.

## Segment Classification

In 2018 the City of El Cerrito completed Engineering & Traffic Surveys for eight roadway segments. A review of recently adopted changes to legislation indicated that two of these segments no longer require an Engineering and Traffic Survey because they meet certain conditions which exempt them from needing to be surveyed to maintain radar speed enforcement. These two segments are classified as either a local street on the California Road System Map as approved by the Federal Highway Administration or satisfy the definition of a local street as prescribed by the CVC Section 40802. As local streets, the following segments do not require the preparation of an Engineering & Traffic Survey.

- Arlington Boulevard (North City Limit (Alta Vista Drive) to Potrero Avenue)
- Stockton Avenue (Terrace Drive to San Pablo Avenue)

# Recommendations

## Policy on Establishing Speed Limits

In accordance with Sections 627, 22348 through 22413, and 40802 of the CVC, Engineering and Traffic Surveys (E&TS) were completed to determine the recommended speed limits along each corridor. The CA-MUTCD was consulted prior to preparation of the E&TS's contained in this report. Part 2, "Signs," contains the policy for establishing speed limits based on an E&TS, and indicates that the speed limit shall be established at the nearest 5-mile per hour (mph) increment to the 85<sup>th</sup>-percentile speed, except that the posted speed may be reduced by 5 mph from the nearest 5-mph increment of the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed in compliance with CVC Sections 627 and 22358.5 and justified by an E&TS, including approval by a registered Civil or Traffic Engineer. The CA-MUTCD also includes standards for the preparation of an E&TS, specifically noting that each E&TS shall consider prevailing speeds, collision records, and highway or traffic or roadside conditions not readily apparent to the driver.

## Recommended Speed Limits

Speed limits are recommended for each of the segments studied based on the policies described in the CVC and the CA-MUTCD. The results of the surveys are summarized below, with the existing posted speed limits and recommended speed limits for all segments tabulated in Table 1. As shown, no changes to the existing speed limits are recommended at each of the six segments evaluated. Copies of the Engineering and Traffic Survey forms compiled from the field data and subsequent analysis are contained in Appendix C.

**Table 1 – Summary of Engineering and Traffic Surveys**

No.	Street Segment	Average Collision Rates		Critical Speed	Existing Speed Limit	Proposed Speed Limit	Change in Speed Limit
		Segment	State				
1	Arlington Blvd from Potrero Ave to South City Limit (Highland Blvd)	0.39	1.07	32	25	25	0
2	Central Ave from Richmond St to San Pablo Ave	1.01	1.07	29	25	25	0
3	Cutting Blvd-Elm St-Richmond St from Arlington Blvd to Fairmount Ave	1.41	1.07	30	25	25	0
4	Potrero Ave from Arlington Blvd to Kearney St	1.10	1.07	32	25	25	0
5	Potrero Ave from Kearney St to West City Limit (S. 52nd St)	0.58	0.64	32	25	25	0
6	San Pablo Ave from North City Limit to Cutting Blvd	0.83	0.64	32	30	30	0

Note: Collision rates are shown in collisions per million vehicle miles; Speed is shown in miles per hour; Critical Speed = 85<sup>th</sup> Percentile Speed

# Study Participants and References

---

## Study Participants

<b>Principal in Charge</b>	Mark E. Spencer, PE (Traffic)
<b>Traffic Engineer</b>	Kenneth B. Jeong, PE (Traffic)
<b>Editing/Formatting</b>	Jessica Bender, Erika Totanes
<b>Quality Control</b>	Kevin Carstens, PE (Civil, Traffic)

## References

*2023 Crash Data on California State Highways*, California Department of Transportation, 2025  
*California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways Revision 3*, California Department of Transportation, 2018  
California Road System (CRS) Maps, [http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tsip/hseb/crs\\_maps/](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tsip/hseb/crs_maps/)  
*California Vehicle Code*, State of California, 2026,  
[http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codesTOCSelected.xhtml?tocCode=VEH&tocTitle="+Vehicle+Code++VEH](http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codesTOCSelected.xhtml?tocCode=VEH&tocTitle=)

ECE901-8



**This page intentionally left blank**

# Appendix A

---

## Average Daily Traffic Counts





**This page intentionally left blank**


### VOLUME

#### Arlington Blvd Bet Rifle Range Rd/Buckingham Dr & Brewster Dr

Day: Thursday  
Date: 2/19/2026

City: El Cerrito  
Project #: CA26\_080055\_001

DAILY TOTALS						NB	SB	EB	WB	Total	DAILY TOTALS						
						2,418	2,215	0	0	4,633							
15-Minutes Interval											Hourly Intervals						
TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL	TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL	TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL
0:00	1	0			1	12:00	50	30			80	00:00 01:00	4	3			7
0:15	3	2			5	12:15	32	42			74	01:00 02:00	0	1			1
0:30	0	0			0	12:30	29	33			62	02:00 03:00	2	1			3
0:45	0	1			1	12:45	36	29			65	03:00 04:00	0	0			0
1:00	0	1			1	13:00	48	20			68	04:00 05:00	0	2			2
1:15	0	0			0	13:15	34	29			63	05:00 06:00	2	9			11
1:30	0	0			0	13:30	19	26			45	06:00 07:00	7	50			57
1:45	0	0			0	13:45	42	30			72	07:00 08:00	35	317			352
2:00	0	0			0	14:00	44	26			70	08:00 09:00	114	383			497
2:15	0	0			0	14:15	53	21			74	09:00 10:00	103	201			304
2:30	2	1			3	14:30	38	33			71	10:00 11:00	84	135			219
2:45	0	0			0	14:45	54	32			86	11:00 12:00	87	129			216
3:00	0	0			0	15:00	67	35			102	12:00 13:00	147	134			281
3:15	0	0			0	15:15	85	34			119	13:00 14:00	143	105			248
3:30	0	0			0	15:30	62	25			87	14:00 15:00	189	112			301
3:45	0	0			0	15:45	75	32			107	15:00 16:00	289	126			415
4:00	0	0			0	16:00	85	28			113	16:00 17:00	367	124			491
4:15	0	1			1	16:15	101	32			133	17:00 18:00	385	146			531
4:30	0	1			1	16:30	97	31			128	18:00 19:00	209	99			308
4:45	0	0			0	16:45	84	33			117	19:00 20:00	101	51			152
5:00	0	2			2	17:00	96	45			141	20:00 21:00	68	35			103
5:15	1	0			1	17:15	103	40			143	21:00 22:00	45	34			79
5:30	0	3			3	17:30	96	31			127	22:00 23:00	25	10			35
5:45	1	4			5	17:45	90	30			120	23:00 00:00	12	8			20
6:00	2	4			6	18:00	62	26			88	<b>STATISTICS</b> NB SB EB WB TOTAL Peak Period 00:00 to 12:00 Volume 438 1231 0 0 1669 Peak Hour 8:15 7:30 8:00 Peak Volume 130 425 497 Peak Hour Factor 0.722 0.811 0.845					
6:15	0	11			11	18:15	65	29			94						
6:30	2	16			18	18:30	43	19			62	Peak Period 12:00 to 00:00 Volume 1980 984 0 0 2964 Peak Hour 17:00 16:30 17:00 Peak Volume 385 149 531 Peak Hour Factor 0.934 0.828 0.928					
6:45	3	19			22	18:45	39	25			64						
7:00	8	36			44	19:00	36	15			51	Peak Period 07:00 to 09:00 Volume 149 700 849 Peak Hour 8:00 7:30 8:00 Peak Volume 114 425 497 Peak Hour Factor 0.633 0.811 0.845					
7:15	7	50			57	19:15	26	13			39						
7:30	8	100			108	19:30	21	14			35	Peak Period 16:00 to 18:00 Volume 752 270 1022 Peak Hour 17:00 16:30 17:00 Peak Volume 385 149 531 Peak Hour Factor 0.934 0.828 0.928					
7:45	12	131			143	19:45	18	9			27						
8:00	20	94			114	20:00	13	7			20						
8:15	23	100			123	20:15	25	11			36						
8:30	26	87			113	20:30	16	7			23						
8:45	45	102			147	20:45	14	10			24						
9:00	36	66			102	21:00	10	10			20						
9:15	23	36			59	21:15	14	15			29						
9:30	23	47			70	21:30	10	4			14						
9:45	21	52			73	21:45	11	5			16						
10:00	22	36			58	22:00	14	5			19						
10:15	20	30			50	22:15	5	3			8						
10:30	11	34			45	22:30	3	0			3						
10:45	31	35			66	22:45	3	2			5						
11:00	23	39			62	23:00	8	1			9						
11:15	17	27			44	23:15	1	4			5						
11:30	23	23			46	23:30	2	1			3						
11:45	24	40			64	23:45	1	2			3						
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>1231</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1669</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1980</b>	<b>984</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2964</b>						
<b>SPLIT %</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>SPLIT %</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>64%</b>						




### VOLUME

#### Central Ave Bet San Pablo Ave/SR 123 & Richmond St

Day: Thursday  
Date: 2/19/2026

City: El Cerrito  
Project #: CA26\_080055\_002

DAILY TOTALS						NB	SB	EB	WB	Total	DAILY TOTALS						
						0	0	2,657	2,772	5,429							
15-Minutes Interval											Hourly Intervals						
TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL	TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL	TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL
0:00			3	7	10	12:00			60	48	108	00:00 01:00			12	14	26
0:15			2	2	4	12:15			42	57	99	01:00 02:00			11	6	17
0:30			5	2	7	12:30			40	47	87	02:00 03:00			0	3	3
0:45			2	3	5	12:45			34	48	82	03:00 04:00			1	4	5
1:00			4	3	7	13:00			48	40	88	04:00 05:00			12	8	20
1:15			4	2	6	13:15			50	52	102	05:00 06:00			35	38	73
1:30			1	0	1	13:30			50	49	99	06:00 07:00			67	69	136
1:45			2	1	3	13:45			37	49	86	07:00 08:00			112	117	229
2:00			0	2	2	14:00			44	50	94	08:00 09:00			168	161	329
2:15			0	0	0	14:15			47	37	84	09:00 10:00			141	180	321
2:30			0	0	0	14:30			37	52	89	10:00 11:00			157	198	355
2:45			0	1	1	14:45			44	43	87	11:00 12:00			157	200	357
3:00			1	0	1	15:00			40	62	102	12:00 13:00			176	200	376
3:15			0	1	1	15:15			48	50	98	13:00 14:00			185	190	375
3:30			0	2	2	15:30			56	49	105	14:00 15:00			172	182	354
3:45			0	1	1	15:45			44	50	94	15:00 16:00			188	211	399
4:00			0	1	1	16:00			60	68	128	16:00 17:00			247	241	488
4:15			2	2	4	16:15			58	53	111	17:00 18:00			214	267	481
4:30			8	1	9	16:30			60	56	116	18:00 19:00			166	172	338
4:45			2	4	6	16:45			69	64	133	19:00 20:00			134	114	248
5:00			2	2	4	17:00			43	63	106	20:00 21:00			114	67	181
5:15			9	12	21	17:15			57	65	122	21:00 22:00			96	57	153
5:30			10	14	24	17:30			58	73	131	22:00 23:00			53	42	95
5:45			14	10	24	17:45			56	66	122	23:00 00:00			39	31	70
6:00			12	11	23	18:00			50	47	97	STATISTICS					
6:15			14	13	27	18:15			46	41	87						
6:30			19	24	43	18:30			35	39	74	Peak Period	00:00	to	12:00		
6:45			22	21	43	18:45			35	45	80	Volume			873	998	1871
7:00			22	21	43	19:00			34	37	71	Peak Hour			8:15	10:15	11:00
7:15			31	31	62	19:15			38	22	60	Peak Volume			170	203	357
7:30			28	28	56	19:30			32	25	57	Peak Hour Factor			0.867	0.875	0.939
7:45			31	37	68	19:45			30	30	60	Peak Period	12:00	to	00:00		
8:00			37	29	66	20:00			32	16	48	Volume			1784	1774	3558
8:15			49	47	96	20:15			24	15	39	Peak Hour			16:00	17:00	16:45
8:30			40	37	77	20:30			29	20	49	Peak Volume			247	267	492
8:45			42	48	90	20:45			29	16	45	Peak Hour Factor			0.895	0.914	0.925
9:00			39	37	76	21:00			26	16	42	Peak Period	07:00	to	09:00		
9:15			29	41	70	21:15			20	15	35	Volume			280	278	558
9:30			35	59	94	21:30			27	16	43	Peak Hour			8:00	8:00	8:00
9:45			38	43	81	21:45			23	10	33	Peak Volume			168	161	329
10:00			53	46	99	22:00			16	16	32	Peak Hour Factor			0.857	0.839	0.857
10:15			33	49	82	22:15			16	13	29	Peak Period	16:00	to	18:00		
10:30			35	58	93	22:30			10	9	19	Volume			461	508	969
10:45			36	45	81	22:45			11	4	15	Peak Hour			16:00	17:00	16:45
11:00			32	51	83	23:00			10	12	22	Peak Volume			247	267	492
11:15			46	49	95	23:15			7	8	15	Peak Hour Factor			0.895	0.914	0.925
11:30			43	52	95	23:30			12	4	16						
11:45			36	48	84	23:45			10	7	17						
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>873</b>	<b>998</b>	<b>1871</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1784</b>	<b>1774</b>	<b>3558</b>						
<b>SPLIT %</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>SPLIT %</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>66%</b>						




### VOLUME

#### Cutting Blvd/Elm St/Richmond St Bet Arlington Blvd & Fairmount Ave

Day: Thursday  
Date: 2/19/2026

City: El Cerrito  
Project #: CA26\_080055\_003

DAILY TOTALS						NB	SB	EB	WB	Total	DAILY TOTALS						
						2,646	2,769	0	0	5,415							
15-Minutes Interval											Hourly Intervals						
TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL	TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL	TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL
0:00	3	2			5	12:00	37	58			95	00:00 01:00	5	6			11
0:15	1	1			2	12:15	32	48			80	01:00 02:00	4	3			7
0:30	0	2			2	12:30	35	39			74	02:00 03:00	0	2			2
0:45	1	1			2	12:45	31	61			92	03:00 04:00	2	5			7
1:00	1	1			2	13:00	55	50			105	04:00 05:00	2	6			8
1:15	2	1			3	13:15	47	33			80	05:00 06:00	5	16			21
1:30	1	0			1	13:30	56	46			102	06:00 07:00	23	50			73
1:45	0	1			1	13:45	43	40			83	07:00 08:00	41	195			236
2:00	0	0			0	14:00	61	40			101	08:00 09:00	110	237			347
2:15	0	0			0	14:15	55	52			107	09:00 10:00	107	207			314
2:30	0	1			1	14:30	55	39			94	10:00 11:00	126	207			333
2:45	0	1			1	14:45	54	52			106	11:00 12:00	170	233			403
3:00	1	1			2	15:00	44	44			88	12:00 13:00	135	206			341
3:15	0	0			0	15:15	59	49			108	13:00 14:00	201	169			370
3:30	0	1			1	15:30	66	56			122	14:00 15:00	225	183			408
3:45	1	3			4	15:45	59	49			108	15:00 16:00	228	198			426
4:00	0	1			1	16:00	67	58			125	16:00 17:00	301	223			524
4:15	0	2			2	16:15	66	47			113	17:00 18:00	380	228			608
4:30	2	0			2	16:30	91	59			150	18:00 19:00	255	164			419
4:45	0	3			3	16:45	77	59			136	19:00 20:00	138	116			254
5:00	0	1			1	17:00	88	68			156	20:00 21:00	79	48			127
5:15	0	7			7	17:15	98	57			155	21:00 22:00	63	34			97
5:30	2	4			6	17:30	94	55			149	22:00 23:00	30	22			52
5:45	3	4			7	17:45	100	48			148	23:00 00:00	16	11			27
6:00	4	9			13	18:00	76	45			121	<b>STATISTICS</b> NB SB EB WB TOTAL Peak Period 00:00 to 12:00 Volume 595 1167 1762 Peak Hour 11:00 8:30 11:00 Peak Volume 170 251 403 Peak Hour Factor 0.904 0.848 0.900					
6:15	1	7			8	18:15	80	37			117						
6:30	11	16			27	18:30	54	35			89	Peak Period 12:00 to 00:00 Volume 2051 1602 3653 Peak Hour 17:00 16:30 17:00 Peak Volume 380 243 608 Peak Hour Factor 0.950 0.893 0.974					
6:45	7	18			25	18:45	45	47			92						
7:00	3	23			26	19:00	28	39			67	Peak Period 07:00 to 09:00 Volume 151 432 583 Peak Hour 8:00 7:45 8:00 Peak Volume 110 240 347 Peak Hour Factor 0.743 0.779 0.782					
7:15	10	38			48	19:15	45	34			79						
7:30	11	57			68	19:30	33	22			55	Peak Period 16:00 to 18:00 Volume 681 451 1132 Peak Hour 17:00 16:30 17:00 Peak Volume 380 243 608 Peak Hour Factor 0.950 0.893 0.974					
7:45	17	77			94	19:45	32	21			53						
8:00	13	52			65	20:00	26	12			38						
8:15	29	47			76	20:15	15	16			31						
8:30	31	64			95	20:30	17	11			28						
8:45	37	74			111	20:45	21	9			30						
9:00	29	50			79	21:00	30	10			40						
9:15	31	63			94	21:15	16	8			24						
9:30	24	43			67	21:30	11	11			22						
9:45	23	51			74	21:45	6	5			11						
10:00	20	45			65	22:00	13	6			19						
10:15	31	54			85	22:15	9	6			15						
10:30	34	55			89	22:30	4	8			12						
10:45	41	53			94	22:45	4	2			6						
11:00	36	58			94	23:00	7	5			12						
11:15	42	51			93	23:15	3	1			4						
11:30	45	59			104	23:30	4	2			6						
11:45	47	65			112	23:45	2	3			5						
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>595</b>	<b>1167</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1762</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>2051</b>	<b>1602</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3653</b>						
<b>SPLIT %</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>SPLIT %</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>67%</b>						




### VOLUME

#### Potrero Ave Bet Kearney St & Arlington Blvd

Day: Thursday  
Date: 2/19/2026

City: El Cerrito  
Project #: CA26\_080055\_004

DAILY TOTALS						NB	SB	EB	WB	Total	DAILY TOTALS						
						0	0	1,309	1,136	2,445							
15-Minutes Interval											Hourly Intervals						
TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL	TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL	TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL
0:00			2	1	3	12:00			23	19	42	00:00 01:00			6	2	8
0:15			1	0	1	12:15			26	20	46	01:00 02:00			3	2	5
0:30			2	1	3	12:30			18	26	44	02:00 03:00			4	1	5
0:45			1	0	1	12:45			27	20	47	03:00 04:00			1	1	2
1:00			2	1	3	13:00			18	25	43	04:00 05:00			1	2	3
1:15			1	1	2	13:15			18	22	40	05:00 06:00			3	25	28
1:30			0	0	0	13:30			15	18	33	06:00 07:00			9	28	37
1:45			0	0	0	13:45			23	19	42	07:00 08:00			27	53	80
2:00			1	0	1	14:00			33	28	61	08:00 09:00			61	79	140
2:15			1	1	2	14:15			17	12	29	09:00 10:00			72	77	149
2:30			0	0	0	14:30			26	18	44	10:00 11:00			83	85	168
2:45			2	0	2	14:45			25	16	41	11:00 12:00			110	90	200
3:00			0	0	0	15:00			18	21	39	12:00 13:00			94	85	179
3:15			1	0	1	15:15			24	23	47	13:00 14:00			74	84	158
3:30			0	0	0	15:30			31	26	57	14:00 15:00			101	74	175
3:45			0	1	1	15:45			28	20	48	15:00 16:00			101	90	191
4:00			1	0	1	16:00			22	24	46	16:00 17:00			98	93	191
4:15			0	0	0	16:15			30	17	47	17:00 18:00			109	75	184
4:30			0	2	2	16:30			19	26	45	18:00 19:00			111	53	164
4:45			0	0	0	16:45			27	26	53	19:00 20:00			106	53	159
5:00			1	4	5	17:00			23	23	46	20:00 21:00			54	31	85
5:15			0	8	8	17:15			36	21	57	21:00 22:00			36	32	68
5:30			2	4	6	17:30			26	20	46	22:00 23:00			26	13	39
5:45			0	9	9	17:45			24	11	35	23:00 00:00			19	8	27
6:00			1	6	7	18:00			28	20	48	<b>STATISTICS</b>					
6:15			3	4	7	18:15			24	10	34						
6:30			3	6	9	18:30			30	12	42	Peak Period	00:00	to	12:00		
6:45			2	12	14	18:45			29	11	40	Volume			380	445	825
7:00			2	11	13	19:00			29	20	49	Peak Hour	11:00	10:30	11:00		11:00
7:15			10	15	25	19:15			32	8	40	Peak Volume			110	99	200
7:30			4	8	12	19:30			20	13	33	Peak Hour Factor			0.859	0.917	0.877
7:45			11	19	30	19:45			25	12	37	Peak Period	12:00	to	00:00		
8:00			12	18	30	20:00			10	12	22	Volume			929	691	1620
8:15			15	20	35	20:15			20	8	28	Peak Hour	18:30	16:30	16:45		16:45
8:30			14	21	35	20:30			9	5	14	Peak Volume			120	96	202
8:45			20	20	40	20:45			15	6	21	Peak Hour Factor			0.938	0.923	0.886
9:00			21	15	36	21:00			7	9	16	Peak Period	07:00	to	09:00		
9:15			14	23	37	21:15			10	9	19	Volume			88	132	220
9:30			17	21	38	21:30			12	7	19	Peak Hour			8:00	8:00	8:00
9:45			20	18	38	21:45			7	7	14	Peak Volume			61	79	140
10:00			16	18	34	22:00			7	2	9	Peak Hour Factor			0.763	0.940	0.875
10:15			21	20	41	22:15			9	3	12	Peak Period	16:00	to	18:00		
10:30			22	25	47	22:30			5	3	8	Volume			207	168	375
10:45			24	22	46	22:45			5	5	10	Peak Hour			16:45	16:30	16:45
11:00			22	25	47	23:00			8	4	12	Peak Volume			112	96	202
11:15			26	27	53	23:15			2	2	4	Peak Hour Factor			0.778	0.923	0.886
11:30			30	13	43	23:30			6	1	7						
11:45			32	25	57	23:45			3	1	4						
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>825</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>929</b>	<b>691</b>	<b>1620</b>						
<b>SPLIT %</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>SPLIT %</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>66%</b>						




### VOLUME

#### Potrero Ave Bet Kearney St & S 47th St

Day: Thursday  
Date: 2/19/2026

City: El Cerrito  
Project #: CA26\_080055\_005

DAILY TOTALS					NB	SB	EB	WB	Total	DAILY TOTALS																																																																																																																																										
					0	0	3,461	3,074	6,535																																																																																																																																											
15-Minutes Interval										Hourly Intervals																																																																																																																																										
TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL	TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL	TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL																																																																																																																																			
0:00			6	12	18	12:00			61	38	99	00:00 01:00			17	24	41																																																																																																																																			
0:15			6	3	9	12:15			51	41	92	01:00 02:00			17	20	37																																																																																																																																			
0:30			3	5	8	12:30			51	54	105	02:00 03:00			14	19	33																																																																																																																																			
0:45			2	4	6	12:45			51	50	101	03:00 04:00			25	16	41																																																																																																																																			
1:00			5	3	8	13:00			47	49	96	04:00 05:00			62	10	72																																																																																																																																			
1:15			3	6	9	13:15			53	52	105	05:00 06:00			106	21	127																																																																																																																																			
1:30			6	9	15	13:30			41	48	89	06:00 07:00			143	57	200																																																																																																																																			
1:45			3	2	5	13:45			40	43	83	07:00 08:00			285	73	358																																																																																																																																			
2:00			3	5	8	14:00			50	62	112	08:00 09:00			245	118	363																																																																																																																																			
2:15			3	4	7	14:15			48	55	103	09:00 10:00			172	141	313																																																																																																																																			
2:30			4	6	10	14:30			57	43	100	10:00 11:00			190	120	310																																																																																																																																			
2:45			4	4	8	14:45			59	56	115	11:00 12:00			176	147	323																																																																																																																																			
3:00			5	5	10	15:00			59	52	111	12:00 13:00			214	183	397																																																																																																																																			
3:15			4	5	9	15:15			42	53	95	13:00 14:00			181	192	373																																																																																																																																			
3:30			7	0	7	15:30			41	52	93	14:00 15:00			214	216	430																																																																																																																																			
3:45			9	6	15	15:45			66	59	125	15:00 16:00			208	216	424																																																																																																																																			
4:00			5	1	6	16:00			63	81	144	16:00 17:00			249	290	539																																																																																																																																			
4:15			12	3	15	16:15			62	71	133	17:00 18:00			286	339	625																																																																																																																																			
4:30			17	3	20	16:30			68	71	139	18:00 19:00			235	253	488																																																																																																																																			
4:45			28	3	31	16:45			56	67	123	19:00 20:00			146	203	349																																																																																																																																			
5:00			18	4	22	17:00			59	80	139	20:00 21:00			106	137	243																																																																																																																																			
5:15			34	4	38	17:15			80	84	164	21:00 22:00			84	134	218																																																																																																																																			
5:30			27	5	32	17:30			59	102	161	22:00 23:00			57	76	133																																																																																																																																			
5:45			27	8	35	17:45			88	73	161	23:00 00:00			29	69	98																																																																																																																																			
6:00			18	10	28	18:00			74	66	140	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="6">STATISTICS</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>NB</th> <th>SB</th> <th>EB</th> <th>WB</th> <th>TOTAL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Peak Period</td> <td colspan="5">00:00 to 12:00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Volume</td> <td colspan="5">1452 766 2218</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Hour</td> <td colspan="5">7:30 10:45 7:30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Volume</td> <td colspan="5">304 148 407</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Hour Factor</td> <td colspan="5">0.717 0.787 0.771</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Period</td> <td colspan="5">12:00 to 00:00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Volume</td> <td colspan="5">2009 2308 4317</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Hour</td> <td colspan="5">17:15 17:00 17:15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Volume</td> <td colspan="5">301 339 626</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Hour Factor</td> <td colspan="5">0.855 0.831 0.954</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Period</td> <td colspan="5">07:00 to 09:00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Volume</td> <td colspan="5">530 191 721</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Hour</td> <td colspan="5">7:30 8:00 7:30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Volume</td> <td colspan="5">304 118 407</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Hour Factor</td> <td colspan="5">0.717 0.868 0.771</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Period</td> <td colspan="5">16:00 to 18:00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Volume</td> <td colspan="5">535 629 1164</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Hour</td> <td colspan="5">17:00 17:00 17:00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Volume</td> <td colspan="5">286 339 625</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Hour Factor</td> <td colspan="5">0.813 0.831 0.953</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					STATISTICS							NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL	Peak Period	00:00 to 12:00					Volume	1452 766 2218					Peak Hour	7:30 10:45 7:30					Peak Volume	304 148 407					Peak Hour Factor	0.717 0.787 0.771					Peak Period	12:00 to 00:00					Volume	2009 2308 4317					Peak Hour	17:15 17:00 17:15					Peak Volume	301 339 626					Peak Hour Factor	0.855 0.831 0.954					Peak Period	07:00 to 09:00					Volume	530 191 721					Peak Hour	7:30 8:00 7:30					Peak Volume	304 118 407					Peak Hour Factor	0.717 0.868 0.771					Peak Period	16:00 to 18:00					Volume	535 629 1164					Peak Hour	17:00 17:00 17:00					Peak Volume	286 339 625					Peak Hour Factor	0.813 0.831 0.953				
STATISTICS																																																																																																																																																				
	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL																																																																																																																																															
Peak Period	00:00 to 12:00																																																																																																																																																			
Volume	1452 766 2218																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Hour	7:30 10:45 7:30																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Volume	304 148 407																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Hour Factor	0.717 0.787 0.771																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Period	12:00 to 00:00																																																																																																																																																			
Volume	2009 2308 4317																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Hour	17:15 17:00 17:15																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Volume	301 339 626																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Hour Factor	0.855 0.831 0.954																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Period	07:00 to 09:00																																																																																																																																																			
Volume	530 191 721																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Hour	7:30 8:00 7:30																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Volume	304 118 407																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Hour Factor	0.717 0.868 0.771																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Period	16:00 to 18:00																																																																																																																																																			
Volume	535 629 1164																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Hour	17:00 17:00 17:00																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Volume	286 339 625																																																																																																																																																			
Peak Hour Factor	0.813 0.831 0.953																																																																																																																																																			
6:15			33	8	41	18:15			52	72	124																																																																																																																																									
6:30			41	19	60	18:30			57	64	121																																																																																																																																									
6:45			51	20	71	18:45			52	51	103																																																																																																																																									
7:00			44	15	59	19:00			43	48	91																																																																																																																																									
7:15			60	11	71	19:15			45	54	99																																																																																																																																									
7:30			75	21	96	19:30			35	52	87																																																																																																																																									
7:45			106	26	132	19:45			23	49	72																																																																																																																																									
8:00			62	31	93	20:00			31	34	65																																																																																																																																									
8:15			61	25	86	20:15			27	38	65																																																																																																																																									
8:30			59	34	93	20:30			33	29	62																																																																																																																																									
8:45			63	28	91	20:45			15	36	51																																																																																																																																									
9:00			43	38	81	21:00			22	37	59																																																																																																																																									
9:15			42	40	82	21:15			16	37	53																																																																																																																																									
9:30			35	33	68	21:30			26	24	50																																																																																																																																									
9:45			52	30	82	21:45			20	36	56																																																																																																																																									
10:00			42	29	71	22:00			14	22	36																																																																																																																																									
10:15			43	22	65	22:15			16	18	34																																																																																																																																									
10:30			48	33	81	22:30			13	20	33																																																																																																																																									
10:45			57	36	93	22:45			14	16	30																																																																																																																																									
11:00			45	31	76	23:00			12	28	40																																																																																																																																									
11:15			47	34	81	23:15			8	14	22																																																																																																																																									
11:30			42	47	89	23:30			8	19	27																																																																																																																																									
11:45			42	35	77	23:45			1	8	9																																																																																																																																									
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1452</b>	<b>766</b>	<b>2218</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2308</b>	<b>4317</b>																																																																																																																																									
<b>SPLIT %</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>SPLIT %</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>66%</b>																																																																																																																																									




### VOLUME

#### San Pablo Ave/SR 123 Bet Cutting Blvd & Wall Ave

Day: Thursday  
Date: 2/19/2026

City: El Cerrito  
Project #: CA26\_080055\_006

DAILY TOTALS						NB	SB	EB	WB	Total	DAILY TOTALS						
						8,928	8,540	0	0	17,468							
15-Minutes Interval											Hourly Intervals						
TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL	TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL	TIME	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL
0:00	25	19			44	12:00	161	136			297	00:00	01:00	70	56		126
0:15	14	14			28	12:15	169	138			307	01:00	02:00	37	28		65
0:30	20	12			32	12:30	193	148			341	02:00	03:00	32	29		61
0:45	11	11			22	12:45	160	155			315	03:00	04:00	25	30		55
1:00	9	7			16	13:00	160	158			318	04:00	05:00	35	67		102
1:15	17	7			24	13:15	171	139			310	05:00	06:00	52	139		191
1:30	4	9			13	13:30	165	157			322	06:00	07:00	143	291		434
1:45	7	5			12	13:45	161	162			323	07:00	08:00	255	467		722
2:00	11	8			19	14:00	159	151			310	08:00	09:00	346	524		870
2:15	6	5			11	14:15	179	158			337	09:00	10:00	411	477		888
2:30	5	8			13	14:30	162	169			331	10:00	11:00	455	528		983
2:45	10	8			18	14:45	167	174			341	11:00	12:00	547	598		1145
3:00	8	7			15	15:00	180	122			302	12:00	13:00	683	577		1260
3:15	4	7			11	15:15	193	133			326	13:00	14:00	657	616		1273
3:30	5	10			15	15:30	196	153			349	14:00	15:00	667	652		1319
3:45	8	6			14	15:45	172	126			298	15:00	16:00	741	534		1275
4:00	5	10			15	16:00	215	136			351	16:00	17:00	851	558		1409
4:15	7	16			23	16:15	228	168			396	17:00	18:00	851	585		1436
4:30	10	20			30	16:30	208	103			311	18:00	19:00	721	521		1242
4:45	13	21			34	16:45	200	151			351	19:00	20:00	484	418		902
5:00	9	16			25	17:00	201	139			340	20:00	21:00	357	348		705
5:15	7	25			32	17:15	226	161			387	21:00	22:00	239	230		469
5:30	11	43			54	17:30	240	139			379	22:00	23:00	154	155		309
5:45	25	55			80	17:45	184	146			330	23:00	00:00	115	112		227
6:00	22	54			76	18:00	178	143			321	STATISTICS					
6:15	31	71			102	18:15	201	142			343						
6:30	29	71			100	18:30	167	102			269	Peak Period	00:00	to	12:00		
6:45	61	95			156	18:45	175	134			309	Volume	2408	3234		5642	
7:00	52	105			157	19:00	133	126			259	Peak Hour	11:00	11:00		11:00	
7:15	52	102			154	19:15	120	112			232	Peak Volume	547	598		1145	
7:30	67	116			183	19:30	136	85			221	Peak Hour Factor	0.950	0.912		0.945	
7:45	84	144			228	19:45	95	95			190	Peak Period	12:00	to	00:00		
8:00	88	131			219	20:00	94	80			174	Volume	6520	5306		11826	
8:15	77	140			217	20:15	88	95			183	Peak Hour	16:45	14:00		16:45	
8:30	92	138			230	20:30	95	84			179	Peak Volume	867	652		1457	
8:45	89	115			204	20:45	80	89			169	Peak Hour Factor	0.903	0.937		0.941	
9:00	103	124			227	21:00	71	76			147	Peak Period	07:00	to	09:00		
9:15	103	124			227	21:15	73	62			135	Volume	601	991		1592	
9:30	104	117			221	21:30	50	53			103	Peak Hour	8:00	7:45		7:45	
9:45	101	112			213	21:45	45	39			84	Peak Volume	346	553		894	
10:00	100	122			222	22:00	46	43			89	Peak Hour Factor	0.940	0.960		0.972	
10:15	105	156			261	22:15	41	52			93	Peak Period	16:00	to	18:00		
10:30	117	129			246	22:30	46	33			79	Volume	1702	1143		2845	
10:45	133	121			254	22:45	21	27			48	Peak Hour	16:45	16:45		16:45	
11:00	138	121			259	23:00	23	24			47	Peak Volume	867	590		1457	
11:15	139	164			303	23:15	32	34			66	Peak Hour Factor	0.903	0.916		0.941	
11:30	126	154			280	23:30	28	27			55						
11:45	144	159			303	23:45	32	27			59						
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>2408</b>	<b>3234</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5642</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>6520</b>	<b>5306</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11826</b>						
<b>SPLIT %</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>SPLIT %</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>68%</b>						



# Appendix B

---

## Radar Speed Survey Data



**This page intentionally left blank**

# Spot Speed Study


Prepared by: National Data & Surveying Services

## City of El Cerrito

DATE: 2/19/2026  
TIME: 09:00-09:50

Location: Arlington Blvd Bet Rifle Range Rd/Buckingham Dr & Brewster Dr  
Posted Speed: 25 MPH Weather: Clear/Dry Project #: 26-080056-001

### Northbound & Southbound Spot Speeds



SPEED PARAMETERS									
Class	Count	Range	50th Percentile	85th Percentile	10 MPH Pace	# in Pace	Percent in Pace	% / # Below Pace	% / # Above Pace
ALL	103	21 - 36	29 mph	32 mph	23 - 32	88	85%	5% / 6	9% / 9

# Spot Speed Study


Prepared by: National Data & Surveying Services

## City of El Cerrito

DATE: 2/19/2026  
TIME: 11:15-12:20

Location: Central Ave Bet San Pablo Ave/SR 123 & Richmond St  
Posted Speed: 25 MPH Weather: Clear/Dry Project #: 26-080056-002

### Eastbound & Westbound Spot Speeds



SPEED PARAMETERS									
Class	Count	Range	50th Percentile	85th Percentile	10 MPH Pace	# in Pace	Percent in Pace	% / # Below Pace	% / # Above Pace
ALL	100	19 - 34	26 mph	29 mph	21 - 30	90	90%	3% / 3	7% / 7

# Spot Speed Study


Prepared by: National Data & Surveying Services

## City of El Cerrito

DATE: 2/19/2026  
TIME: 10:00-11:00

Location: Cutting Blvd/Elm St/Richmond St Bet Arlington Blvd & Fairmount Ave  
Posted Speed: 25 MPH Weather: Clear/Dry Project #: 26-080056-003

### Northbound & Southbound Spot Speeds



SPEED PARAMETERS									
Class	Count	Range	50th Percentile	85th Percentile	10 MPH Pace	# in Pace	Percent in Pace	% / # Below Pace	% / # Above Pace
ALL	100	21 - 32	27 mph	30 mph	22 - 31	98	98%	1% / 1	1% / 1

# Spot Speed Study


Prepared by: National Data & Surveying Services

## City of El Cerrito

DATE: 2/19/2026  
TIME: 10:46-11:33

Location: Potrero Ave Bet Kearney St & Arlington Blvd  
Posted Speed: 25 MPH Weather: Clear/Dry Project #: 26-080056-004

### Eastbound & Westbound Spot Speeds



SPEED PARAMETERS									
Class	Count	Range	50th Percentile	85th Percentile	10 MPH Pace	# in Pace	Percent in Pace	% / # Below Pace	% / # Above Pace
ALL	100	22 - 39	28 mph	32 mph	23 - 32	87	87%	2% / 2	11% / 11

# Spot Speed Study


Prepared by: National Data & Surveying Services

## City of El Cerrito

DATE: 2/19/2026  
TIME: 11:43-12:22

Location: Potrero Ave Bet Kearney St & S 47th St  
Posted Speed: 25 MPH Weather: Clear/Dry Project #: 26-080056-005

### Eastbound & Westbound Spot Speeds



SPEED PARAMETERS									
Class	Count	Range	50th Percentile	85th Percentile	10 MPH Pace	# in Pace	Percent in Pace	% / # Below Pace	% / # Above Pace
ALL	100	18 - 38	28 mph	32 mph	23 - 32	83	83%	6% / 6	11% / 11

# Spot Speed Study


Prepared by: National Data & Surveying Services

## City of El Cerrito

DATE: 2/19/2026  
TIME: 12:32-13:08

Location: San Pablo Ave/SR 123 Bet Cutting Blvd & Wall Ave  
Posted Speed: 30 MPH Weather: Clear/Dry Project #: 26-080056-006

### Northbound & Southbound Spot Speeds



SPEED PARAMETERS									
Class	Count	Range	50th Percentile	85th Percentile	10 MPH Pace	# in Pace	Percent in Pace	% / # Below Pace	% / # Above Pace
ALL	100	21 - 40	27 mph	32 mph	23 - 32	85	85%	7% / 7	8% / 8

# Appendix C

---

## Engineering and Traffic Survey Forms





**This page intentionally left blank**



## Engineering and Traffic Survey

**Street:** Arlington Boulevard

**From:** Potrero Avenue

**To:** South City Limit (Highland Blvd)

### Street Conditions

**Posted Limit:** 25 mph  
**Width:** 31 feet  
**Lanes:** 2  
**Configuration:** Undivided  
**Parking:** Both Sides  
**Bike Facility:** Residential  
**Sidewalks:** Discontinuous  
**Land Use:** Residential  
**Classification:** Minor Arterial  
**Character:** Suburban  
**Terrain:** Hilly  
**Business Activity District:** No



### Observations and Evaluation

<b>Volume (ADT):</b> 4,600 vpd	<b>Vehicles Sampled:</b> 103
<b>Segment Length:</b> 1.5 miles	<b>85th Percentile Speed:</b> 32 mph
<b>Collisions:</b> 2 crashes	<b>Mean (50th Percentile) Speed:</b> 29 mph
<b>Evaluation Period:</b> 2 years	<b>Pace:</b> 23-32 mph
<b>Collision Rate:</b> 0.39 c/mvm (collisions per million vehicle miles)	<b>Percent in Pace:</b> 85.0%
<b>Statewide Average Rate*:</b> 1.07 c/mvm	

(Additional details provided on the next sheet.)

**Conditions Not Readily Apparent to the Driver:**

Arlington Park, El Cerrito Fire Department Station No. 52 and a portion of the Berkeley Country Club is located along this segment. Portions of this segment contains vertical/horizontal curves matching the topography, which limits driver sight distances. Surrounding land uses are residential, with frequent driveways and associated pedestrian activity.

### Conclusions and Recommendations

Arlington Boulevard has a calculated 85th percentile speed of 32 mph, with the nearest 5-mph increment of 30 mph. On the basis of an engineering and traffic investigation and considering the conditions not readily apparent to the driver, it is recommended that the posted speed limit of 25 mph be retained in accordance with the provisions of Section 627, 22348 through 22413 and 40802 of the California Vehicle Code.

25 mph

Recommended  
Speed Limit

June 4, 2026  
Date



\_\_\_\_\_  
 Kenneth Jeong, P.E. (TE # 2787)

\* Source: Department of California, 2023 Collision Data on California State Highways



**Street:** Arlington Boulevard

**From:**

Potrero Avenue

**To:**



South City Limit  
(Highland Blvd)



Northbound



Southbound



**Date Data Collected:**

2/19/2026

**Start Time:**

9:00

**Weather:**

Clear/Dry

**Day of the Week:**

Thursday

**End Time:**

9:50

**Recorder:**

NDS






## Engineering and Traffic Survey

**Street:** Central Avenue      **From:** Richmond Street      **To:** San Pablo Avenue

### Street Conditions

**Posted Limit:** 25 mph  
**Width:** 37-55 feet  
**Lanes:** 2  
**Configuration:** Portion Divided  
**Parking:** Both Sides  
**Bike Facility:** Residential  
**Sidewalks:** Both Sides  
**Land Use:** Residential  
**Classification:** Minor Arterial  
**Character:** Urban  
**Terrain:** Flat  
**Business Activity District:** No



### Observations and Evaluation

<b>Volume (ADT):</b> 5,400 vpd	<b>Vehicles Sampled:</b> 100
<b>Segment Length:</b> 0.25 miles	<b>85th Percentile Speed:</b> 29 mph
<b>Collisions:</b> 1 crash	<b>Mean (50th Percentile) Speed:</b> 26 mph
<b>Evaluation Period:</b> 2 years	<b>Pace:</b> 21-30 mph
<b>Collision Rate:</b> 1.01 c/mvm (collisions per million vehicle miles)	<b>Percent in Pace:</b> 90.0%
<b>Statewide Average Rate*:</b> 1.07 c/mvm	

(Additional details provided on the next sheet.)

**Conditions Not Readily Apparent to the Driver:**

El Cerrito BART Station is located along this segment. Surrounding land uses are residential, with frequent driveways and associated pedestrian activity.

### Conclusions and Recommendations

Central Avenue has a calculated 85th percentile speed of 29 mph, with the nearest 5-mph increment of 30 mph. On the basis of an engineering and traffic investigation and considering the conditions not readily apparent to the driver, it is recommended that the posted speed limit of 25 mph be retained in accordance with the provisions of Section 627, 22348 through 22413 and 40802 of the California Vehicle Code.

25 mph

Recommended  
Speed Limit

June 4, 2026  
Date



\_\_\_\_\_  
 Kenneth Jeong, P.E. (TE # 2787)

\* Source: Department of California, 2023 Collision Data on California State Highways



**Street:** Central Avenue

**From:** Richmond Street



**To:** San Pablo Avenue



Eastbound



Westbound



**Date Data Collected:** 2/19/2026      **Start Time:** 11:15      **Weather:** Clear/Dry  
**Day of the Week:** Thursday      **End Time:** 12:20      **Recorder:** NDS






## Engineering and Traffic Survey

**Street:** Cutting Blvd-Elm St-Richmond St      **From:** Arlington Boulevard      **To:** Fairmount Avenue

### Street Conditions

**Posted Limit:** 25 mph  
**Width:** 40 feet  
**Lanes:** 2  
**Configuration:** Undivided  
**Parking:** Both Sides  
**Bike Facility:** Residential  
**Sidewalks:** Both Sides  
**Land Use:** Residential  
**Classification:** Minor Arterial  
**Character:** Suburban  
**Terrain:** Flat  
**Business Activity District:** No



### Observations and Evaluation

<b>Volume (ADT):</b> 5,400 vpd	<b>Vehicles Sampled:</b> 100
<b>Segment Length:</b> 2.15 miles	<b>85th Percentile Speed:</b> 30 mph
<b>Collisions:</b> 12 crashes	<b>Mean (50th Percentile) Speed:</b> 27 mph
<b>Evaluation Period:</b> 2 years	<b>Pace:</b> 22-31 mph
<b>Collision Rate:</b> 1.41 c/mvm (collisions per million vehicle miles)	<b>Percent in Pace:</b> 98.0%
<b>Statewide Average Rate*:</b> 1.07 c/mvm	

(Additional details provided on the next sheet.)

**Conditions Not Readily Apparent to the Driver:**

This roadway segment has a crash rate which is higher than the statewide average, indicating a traffic safety condition not readily apparent to drivers. Portions of this segment contains vertical/horizontal curves matching the topography, which limits driver sight distances. Surrounding land uses are residential, with frequent driveways and associated pedestrian activity. Summit Public Schools is nearby which generate periodic surges in pedestrian and bicycle activity that may not be expected by drivers. Various destinations including El Cerrito BART Station and El Cerrito Chinese Christian Church are located on this segment.

### Conclusions and Recommendations

Cutting Blvd-Elm St-Richmond St has a calculated 85th percentile speed of 30 mph, with the nearest 5-mph increment of 30 mph. On the basis of an engineering and traffic investigation and considering the conditions not readily apparent to the driver, it is recommended that the posted speed limit of 25 mph be retained in accordance with the provisions of Section 627, 22348 through 22413 and 40802 of the California Vehicle Code.

25 mph

Recommended  
Speed Limit

June 4, 2026  
Date



\_\_\_\_\_  
 Kenneth Jeong, P.E. (TE # 2787)

\* Source: Department of California, 2023 Collision Data on California State Highways



**Street:** Cutting Blvd-Elm St-Richmond St

**From:** Arlington Boulevard



**To:** Fairmount Avenue



Northbound



Southbound



**Date Data Collected:** 2/19/2026

**Start Time:** 10:00

**Weather:** Clear/Dry

**Day of the Week:** Thursday

**End Time:** 11:00

**Recorder:** NDS






## Engineering and Traffic Survey

**Street:** Potrero Avenue      **From:** Arlington Boulevard      **To:** Kearney Street

### Street Conditions

**Posted Limit:** 25 mph  
**Width:** 36 feet  
**Lanes:** 2  
**Configuration:** Undivided  
**Parking:** Both Sides  
**Bike Facility:** Residential  
**Sidewalks:** Both Sides  
**Land Use:** Residential  
**Classification:** Minor Arterial  
**Character:** Suburban  
**Terrain:** Hilly  
**Business Activity District:** No



### Observations and Evaluation

<b>Volume (ADT):</b> 2,400 vpd	<b>Vehicles Sampled:</b> 100
<b>Segment Length:</b> 1.02 miles	<b>85th Percentile Speed:</b> 32 mph
<b>Collisions:</b> 2 crashes	<b>Mean (50th Percentile) Speed:</b> 28 mph
<b>Evaluation Period:</b> 2 years	<b>Pace:</b> 23-32 mph
<b>Collision Rate:</b> 1.10 c/mvm (collisions per million vehicle miles)	<b>Percent in Pace:</b> 87.0%
<b>Statewide Average Rate*:</b> 1.07 c/mvm	

(Additional details provided on the next sheet.)

**Conditions Not Readily Apparent to the Driver:**

This roadway segment has a crash rate which is higher than the statewide average, indicating a traffic safety condition not readily apparent to drivers. Portions of this segment contains vertical/horizontal curves matching the topography, which limits driver sight distances. Surrounding land uses are residential, with frequent driveways and associated pedestrian activity. Arlington Baptist Church is located along this segment.

### Conclusions and Recommendations

Potrero Avenue has a calculated 85th percentile speed of 32 mph, with the nearest 5-mph increment of 30 mph. On the basis of an engineering and traffic investigation and considering the conditions not readily apparent to the driver, it is recommended that the posted speed limit of 25 mph be retained in accordance with the provisions of Section 627, 22348 through 22413 and 40802 of the California Vehicle Code.

25 mph

Recommended  
Speed Limit

June 4, 2026  
Date



\_\_\_\_\_  
 Kenneth Jeong, P.E. (TE # 2787)

\* Source: Department of California, 2023 Collision Data on California State Highways



Street: Potrero Avenue

From: Arlington Boulevard



To: Kearney Street



Eastbound



Westbound



Date Data Collected:	2/19/2026	Start Time:	10:46	Weather:	Clear/Dry
Day of the Week:	Thursday	End Time:	11:33	Recorder:	NDS






## Engineering and Traffic Survey

**Street:** Potrero Avenue                      **From:** Kearney Street                      **To:** West City Limit (S. 52nd St)

### Street Conditions

**Posted Limit:** 25 mph  
**Width:** 45-67 feet  
**Lanes:** 2-4  
**Configuration:** Portion Divided  
**Parking:** Both Sides  
**Bike Facility:** No  
**Sidewalks:** Both Sides  
**Land Use:** Mixed  
**Classification:** Minor Arterial  
**Character:** Urban  
**Terrain:** Flat  
**Business Activity District:** No



### Observations and Evaluation

<b>Volume (ADT):</b> 6,500 vpd	<b>Vehicles Sampled:</b> 100
<b>Segment Length:</b> 0.36 miles	<b>85th Percentile Speed:</b> 32 mph
<b>Collisions:</b> 1 crash	<b>Mean (50th Percentile) Speed:</b> 28 mph
<b>Evaluation Period:</b> 2 years	<b>Pace:</b> 23-32 mph
<b>Collision Rate:</b> 0.58 c/mvm (collisions per million vehicle miles)	<b>Percent in Pace:</b> 83.0%
<b>Statewide Average Rate*:</b> <b>0.64</b> c/mvm	

(Additional details provided on the next sheet.)

**Conditions Not Readily Apparent to the Driver:**

Stege Elementary School is nearby which generate periodic surges in pedestrian and bicycle activity that may not be expected by drivers. Various destinations including the Del Plaza Place are located on this segment and generate high concentrations of bicyclists and pedestrians.

### Conclusions and Recommendations

Potrero Avenue has a calculated 85th percentile speed of 32 mph, with the nearest 5-mph increment of 30 mph. On the basis of an engineering and traffic investigation and considering the conditions not readily apparent to the driver, it is recommended that the posted speed limit of 25 mph be retained in accordance with the provisions of Section 627, 22348 through 22413 and 40802 of the California Vehicle Code.

25 mph

Recommended  
Speed Limit

June 4, 2026  
Date



\_\_\_\_\_  
 Kenneth Jeong, P.E. (TE # 2787)

\* Source: Department of California, 2023 Collision Data on California State Highways



Street: Potrero Avenue

From: Kearney Street



To: West City Limit (S. 52nd St)



Eastbound



Westbound



Date Data Collected:

2/19/2026

Start Time:

11:43

Weather:

Clear/Dry

Day of the Week:

Thursday

End Time:

12:22

Recorder:

NDS






## Engineering and Traffic Survey

**Street:** San Pablo Avenue      **From:** North City Limit      **To:** Cutting Boulevard

### Street Conditions

**Posted Limit:** 30 mph  
**Width:** 84 feet  
**Lanes:** 4  
**Configuration:** Divided  
**Parking:** Some Restrictions  
**Bike Facility:** Business  
**Sidewalks:** Both Sides  
**Land Use:** Commercial  
**Classification:** Other Principal Arterial  
**Character:** Urban  
**Terrain:** Flat  
**Business Activity District:** Yes



### Observations and Evaluation

<b>Volume (ADT):</b> 17,500 vpd	<b>Vehicles Sampled:</b> 100
<b>Segment Length:</b> 0.47 miles	<b>85th Percentile Speed:</b> 32 mph
<b>Collisions:</b> 5 crashes	<b>Mean (50th Percentile) Speed:</b> 27 mph
<b>Evaluation Period:</b> 2 years	<b>Pace:</b> 23-32 mph
<b>Collision Rate:</b> 0.83 c/mvm (collisions per million vehicle miles)	<b>Percent in Pace:</b> 85.0%
<b>Statewide Average Rate*:</b> <b>0.64</b> c/mvm	

(Additional details provided on the next sheet.)

**Conditions Not Readily Apparent to the Driver:**

This roadway segment has a crash rate which is higher than the statewide average, indicating a traffic safety condition not readily apparent to drivers. Various destinations including McDonalds and Del Norte Place are located on this segment and generate high concentrations of bicyclists and pedestrians.

### Conclusions and Recommendations

San Pablo Avenue has a calculated 85th percentile speed of 32 mph, with the nearest 5-mph increment of 30 mph. On the basis of an engineering and traffic investigation and considering the conditions not readily apparent to the driver, it is recommended that the posted speed limit of 30 mph be retained in accordance with the provisions of Section 627, 22348 through 22413 and 40802 of the California Vehicle Code.

30 mph

Recommended  
Speed Limit

June 4, 2026  
Date



\_\_\_\_\_  
 Kenneth Jeong, P.E. (TE # 2787)

\* Source: Department of California, 2023 Collision Data on California State Highways



**Street:** San Pablo Avenue

**From:** North City Limit



**To:** Cutting Boulevard



Northbound




Southbound



**Date Data Collected:** 2/19/2026      **Start Time:** 12:32      **Weather:** Clear/Dry  
**Day of the Week:** Thursday      **End Time:** 13:08      **Recorder:** NDS







## AGENDA BILL

Agenda Item No. 7.H.

**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** Karineh Samkian, Senior Program Manager; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department  
**Subject:** Agreement with Sweeping Corporation of America for Street Sweeping Services

---

### **ACTION PROPOSED**

Adopt a resolution authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement with Sweeping Corporation of America in an amount not to exceed \$143,500 in Fiscal Year (FY) 2026-27, to provide street sweeping services effective July 1, 2026, for a period of five years with an option to annually extend five years thereafter.

### **BACKGROUND**

Street sweeping is an effective tool for removing trash, debris, and other pollutants from City streets before they can enter the storm drain system. This not only reduces flooding risks in the rainy season, but also helps municipalities meet the trash load reduction targets mandated in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Regional Permit (MRP).

For these reasons, the City has contracted with various street sweeping contractors in the past following a Request for Proposals (RFP) process for the service. In January 2015, the City contracted with East Bay Sanitary Company Inc. (per Resolution 2014-71) for a cost not to exceed \$86,132.76 per fiscal year adjusted for Consumer Price Index (CPI) increases. East Bay Sanitary subcontracted the sweeping service first to Universal Building Service and then Contract Sweeping Services until Sweeping Corporation of America acquired them. The term of the contract was through June 30, 2020, with up to five additional one-year extensions which expired June 30, 2025. Per Resolution 2025-42, the City Council extended the contract one additional year for a cost not to exceed \$112,716.19.

On February 26, 2026, the Public Works Department released an RFP for qualified street sweeping companies to provide street sweeping service for all the City's curbed flowlines, including all cross gutters, streets, paved median islands, and Class IV bike lanes (bike lanes separated by a curb). A list of each street is included by type (commercial, residential, etc.) in the Scope of Services of the Agreement (Attachment 2) with residential streets being swept once a month and commercial streets being swept every week.

As part of the RFP, in addition to requesting costs to maintain the current sweeping schedule, proposers were asked to submit costs for sweeping odd and even numbered sides of the street on different days of the week. This would allow residents to move

their vehicle to the opposite side of the street. Currently, both sides of the street are swept on the same day, so if residents do not have enough room in their garage or driveway for all their vehicles, they have to move vehicles a few blocks away. Due to this inconvenience, along with the absence of parking regulations, some vehicles remain parked on the street and the street sweeper has to drive around them and cannot remove trash and debris in the gutter area. In residential areas, street sweeping occurs during work hours, so many vehicles are already off the street.

The RFP was posted to the City’s website on February 26, 2026. A notice inviting proposals was also emailed directly to 4 street sweeping companies. The RFP requested proposals for services for an initial agreement term of five years, with five possible annual extensions.

**ANALYSIS**

The City received responsive proposals from Sweeping Corporation of America (current sweeping company) and from Sweeper Solutions by the due date of March 26, 2026. The RFP requested monthly cost proposals for two options: the existing schedule and alternating sides of the street. The proposed annual costs are shown below.

<b>Company</b>	<b>Existing Schedule</b>	<b>Alternating Sides</b>
Sweeping Corporation of America	\$180,459	\$347,162.52
Sweeper Solutions	\$237,600	\$172,800

Following receipt of the proposals, a committee comprised of staff from the Public Works Department evaluated the proposals based on the following criteria: understanding of the scope of services, demonstrated experience in municipal street sweeping services, and cost competitiveness. Based on the proposal evaluation, both companies were invited to an interview to better understand each cost proposal, the company’s staffing levels, and experience.

After evaluating the proposals, completing interviews, and calling references, Sweeping Corporation of America (SCA) emerged as the company that best fits the needs of the City. SCA is cost competitive, and they know the City well as they are the current subcontractor for East Bay Sanitary. SCA has two corporation yards in San Jose and Dublin, but since they have contracts with many of the neighboring cities, they will be able to address sweeper breakdown and replacements efficiently. In addition, their sweepers are equipped with a fleet management software which will provide the City with live updates on the location of the sweeper, speed of travel, whether the water is on or off, and other data that is useful for reporting and compliance purposes. This software will also aid the City in addressing resident concerns more efficiently.

Currently, residents call or are referred to East Bay Sanitary to report issues. With this contract, residents will contact the City, who will work directly with SCA to more efficiently resolve issues.

Sweeper Solutions has no municipal experience with experience limited to construction sites, has few staff and vehicles, and did not demonstrate a full understanding of the scope of services needed.

**Contract Negotiations**

Although SCA's cost for the existing schedule was lower than Sweeper Solutions, their cost for the alternating side scenario was significantly higher, as was their cost for manual sweeping should the City require this service. The City negotiated pricing and the alternating sides' cost was reduced to \$256,403 since their original estimate was based on the map in the RFP, and they determined a more efficient schedule. In addition, the hourly rate for manual sweeping was reduced from \$225 to \$185.

City staff requested a proposal to keep the costs to the current level. The only way to accomplish this would be to reduce the commercial street sweeping substantially, in addition to some reduction to residential sweeping. Since the City's MRP requires that the City meet a 100% trash load reduction and the commercial streets are in medium and high-trash generation areas, the reduced sweeping could put the City at risk of being out of compliance, so this option was not considered further.

Another option that was evaluated (Option 2) is to reduce the low-trash generation residential areas (Map areas 1 and 3 in Attachment 2) from being swept monthly to being swept every other month, sweeping the commercial areas 4 times a month (48 weeks vs 52 as some months have 5 weeks), and reduce the bike lane sweeping from 4 to 2 times a month. The cost for this option is \$143,500.

After evaluating the three options in the table below, City staff is recommending the reduced sweeping option (Option 2) to align with the current City budget, given that there is less trash in the residential hill sections of the City. The cost is still higher than the current contract as bike lane sweeping was added to this contract, labor costs have increased, including recent prevailing wages determinations by the State, and the contractor did not receive a CPI increase every year. If approved by the City Council, staff will work with SCA to update the map and schedule and post it on all the City's media platforms so that the impacted residents are aware.

Although the alternating side option (Option 3) would likely result in more trash and debris being removed from the streets, the cost is significant and cannot be accommodated with the City's proposed biennial budget. However, this may be an option for the future. Option 2 will continue to result in a significant amount of trash and debris removal, as illustrated by the 96 tons of material that have been picked up by the sweeper so far this fiscal year and given that most of the trash and debris is from the commercial areas.

<b>Option 1: Existing Schedule</b>	<b>Option 2: With Reduced Sweeping</b>	<b>Option 3: Alternating Sides</b>
\$180,459	\$143,500	\$256,403

### **Enhancing Street Sweeping Alongside the Parking Management Action Plan**

An option to improve the effectiveness of the street sweeping program is by implementing parking regulations on street sweeping days similar to other cities in the Bay Area. However, implementation of parking regulations for street sweeping would require extensive City resources for development and adoption of a City ordinance for new parking regulations, installation of signs, and enforcement. Development and implementation of the El Cerrito Parking Management Action Plan (PMAP), which is grant funded and currently underway, presents an opportunity for the City to begin implementing parking regulations for street sweeping in a cost-effective manner. The PMAP is being developed to modernize the City's on-street parking program in preparation for the El Cerrito Plaza BART Station Transit Oriented Development (ECP TOD) Project and in support of development throughout the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan area. With added signage and updated parking rules anticipated, there's a unique window of opportunity to enhance the street sweeping program, particularly around the El Cerrito Plaza BART Station.

During negotiations with SCA, City staff discussed potential options for street sweeping enhancements that could be incorporated into the area around the El Cerrito Plaza BART Station. Some potential options for street sweeping could include residential streets around BART either being swept with the commercial route (9 pm to 5 am Sunday/Monday) or on Saturday to avoid daytime hours on weekdays when parking demand in the areas is anticipated to be the highest. As mentioned above, sweeping could also alternate sides of the street and include posted signage to improve street sweeping effectiveness throughout the area. Public outreach around these potential changes to the street sweeping program will be conducted this summer and fall as part of development of the PMAP to see what would be most supported by residents in the area.

Notably, there would be no cost impact of adding these streets as part of the commercial route unless additional sweeping is needed. The cost for sweeping on Saturdays would be at a rate of \$277.50 per hour and SCA anticipates the route taking approximately three hours. These costs are based on preliminary discussions but SCA is willing to accommodate these schedule changes in the future. After presenting potential options to the public for input, findings and recommendations will be included in the PMAP. Any new parking regulations required for implementation of the parking management program would be presented to the City Council for consideration and adoption.

If successfully implemented in the area surrounding the El Cerrito Plaza BART Station, the parking regulations for street sweeping in this area could serve as a pilot and the City may consider extending the parking regulations along high-trash generation areas such as San Pablo Avenue, pending availability of City resources.

### **Recommendation**

Based on the RFP process, City staff recommends that the City Council approve an agreement with SCA to provide Street Sweeping Services for the City's streets effective July 1, 2026, for a period of five years, with an option to annually extend five years thereafter, for a cost not to exceed \$143,500 for Fiscal Year 2026-27. The contract amount is adjustable consistent with the CPI for the San Francisco-Oakland-Hayward region, All Items (Series CUURS49BSA0 or equivalent) a maximum of one time annually starting July 1, 2027, pending City Council approval of annual budgets.

### **STRATEGIC PLAN CONSIDERATIONS**

This action supports the [City's Strategic Plan Goal\(s\)](#) of:

- *Infrastructure and Amenities* by removing trash and debris from City streets and reducing flooding risks; *and*
- *Environmental Sustainability* by preventing trash and debris from entering the storm drain system and the Bay.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This proposed action will not result in a project as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act, (CEQA). No further environmental review is needed.

### **FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The proposed agreement with SCA is for a cost not to exceed \$143,500 in FY 2026-27 subject to an annual CPI increase beginning July 2027. Funding is available in the Proposed FY 2026-27 Budget under the Public Works Infrastructure Maintenance (30-30) for an amount of \$123,500 in the General Fund (101) and for an amount of \$20,000 in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination Fund (202).

### **LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The City Attorney has reviewed the proposed action and found that legal considerations have been addressed. The proposed agreement will conform to the City's standard agreement as approved to form by the City Attorney.

### **Reviewed by:**



Karen Pinkos, City Manager

### **Attachments:**

1. Resolution
2. Draft Street Sweeping Agreement

RESOLUTION 2026-XX

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH SWEEPING CORPORATION OF AMERICA IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$143,500 IN FISCAL YEARS 2026-27, TO PROVIDE LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE SERVICES EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2026, FOR A PERIOD OF FIVE YEARS WITH AN OPTION TO ANNUALLY EXTEND FIVE YEARS THEREAFTER

WHEREAS, street sweeping is an effective tool for removing trash, debris, and other pollutants from the City streets before they can enter the storm drain system; and

WHEREAS, on February 26, 2026, the City issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) for street sweeping services, which was posted to the City's website and directly provided to four qualified street sweeping companies; and

WHEREAS, the RFP included sweeping the streets with the current schedule and an option to sweep opposite sides of the street on different days; and

WHEREAS, the City received two proposals from qualified companies by the March 26, 2026 due date; and

WHEREAS, upon consideration of competitive pricing, understanding of the scope of services, and demonstrated experience in municipal street sweeping services, the selection committee determined that the proposal received from Sweeping Corporation of America (SCA) best fits the needs of the City; and

WHEREAS, the cost for SCA to provide street sweeping services in Fiscal Year 2026-27 (FY) is \$180,459 for the existing schedule and \$256,403 for the alternating side option; and

WHEREAS, an alternate option was evaluated and is recommended which reduces sweeping in the residential hill areas of the City to every other month, reduces commercial sweeping from 52 to 48 weeks a year, and reduces bike lane sweeping from four to two times a month, for a cost of \$143,500; and

WHEREAS, the City may consider changing the schedule in the future to expand or change services to specific areas in the City.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of El Cerrito authorizes the City Manager to execute an agreement with Sweeping Corporation of America in an amount not to exceed \$143,500 in FY 2026-27, to perform street sweeping services for five years with an option to annually extend the agreement for an additional five years thereafter, contingent upon funding being appropriated by the City Council in future City budgets.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the cost for services provided by Sweeping Corporation of America under the agreement may be adjusted consistent with the Consumer Price Index for the San Francisco-Oakland-Hayward region, a maximum of one time annually starting July 1, 2027.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage and adoption.

I CERTIFY that at a regular meeting on June 16, 2026, the City Council of the City of El Cerrito passed this Resolution by the following vote:

AYES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
NOES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSTAIN:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSENT:	COUNCILMEMBERS:

IN WITNESS of this action, I sign this document and affix the corporate seal of the City of El Cerrito on \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabe Quinto, Mayor

**GENERAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN  
THE CITY OF EL CERRITO AND  
SWEEPING CORPORATION OF AMERICA**

This agreement for General Services ("Agreement") is entered into on June 30, 2026 (the "Effective Date") between the CITY OF EL CERRITO, a municipal corporation, with offices located at 10890 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito, California ("City") and SWEEPING CORPORATION OF AMERICA ("Contractor") (together sometimes referred to as the "Parties").

**Section 1. SERVICES.** In accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement, Contractor agrees to perform all services described in the Scope of Services, attached as Exhibit A, which is incorporated herein. In the event of a conflict in or inconsistency between the terms of this Agreement and Exhibit A, this Agreement shall prevail.

- 1.1 **Term of Services.** This Agreement shall begin on the Effective Date and shall end June 30, 2031, unless the term of the Agreement is otherwise terminated or modified, as provided for herein. The term of the agreement shall be extended for up to five (5) additional one (1) year terms with the extensions to commence upon the expiration of the initial term or any extended term, unless the City notifies Contractor in writing at least thirty (30) days before the end of the initial term or any extended term of its intent to terminate the agreement at the conclusion of the initial term or any extension. Funding for services beyond Fiscal Year 2026-27 will be included in future City budgets but is subject to City Council approval of annual appropriations.
- 1.2 **Standard of Performance.** Contractor shall diligently perform all services required in connection with this Agreement in the manner and according to the standards observed by a competent practitioner of the profession in which Contractor is engaged in the geographical area in which Contractor practices its profession.
- 1.3 **Assignment of Personnel.** Contractor shall assign only competent personnel to perform services in connection with this Agreement.
- 1.4 **Termination.** City may cancel this Agreement at any time and without cause upon written notification to Contractor. In the event of termination, Contractor shall be entitled to compensation for services satisfactorily completed as of the date of written notice of termination; City, however, may condition payment of such compensation upon Contractor delivering to City documents and records identified in Section 10.1 of this Agreement.
- 1.5 **Time.** Contractor shall devote such time to the performance of services pursuant to this Agreement as may be reasonably necessary to meet the standard of performance provided in Section 1.1 above and to satisfy Contractor's obligations hereunder.

**Section 2. COMPENSATION.** City hereby agrees to pay Contractor for the Scope of Services, whether by fixed price, hourly rates subject to a fixed rate schedule, pursuant to the fee schedule attached as Exhibit B, which is incorporated herein. Total compensation for work performed under this Agreement, **NOT TO EXCEED \$143,500** from July 1, 2026 to June 30, 2027. The Annual Contract amounts beyond June 30, 2027 are contingent upon future city budgets and are subject to City Council approval of annual

appropriations. For required additional sweeping, the Contractor will provide a proposal for City review per the hourly rate in Exhibit B. For Fiscal Year 2026-27 until the end of the contract term, the on-call sweeping cost is not to exceed \$10,000 per fiscal year for a total contract not-to-exceed amount of \$153,500 contingent on Council-approved budget each fiscal year.

Contractor's compensation may be increased annually starting July 1, 2027 by the prior twelve month average (October to October) for the San Francisco-Oakland-Hayward All Items Consumer Price Index (Series ID CUURS49BSA0 or equivalent). Contractor shall notify City by May 1 of each year of the proposed adjustment for the following fiscal year.

- 2.1 **Invoices.** Contractor shall submit invoices once a month, based on the cost for services performed and reimbursable costs incurred prior to the invoice date. Contractor shall have ninety (90) days after the completion of work to invoice City for all amounts due and outstanding under each governed by this Agreement. In the event, Contractor fails to invoice City for all amounts due within such ninety (90) day period, Contractor shall waive its right to collect payment from City.
- 2.2 **Payment.** City shall make monthly payments, based on invoices received, for services satisfactorily performed, and for authorized reimbursable costs incurred. Contractor and City acknowledge and agree that compensation paid by City to Contractor under this Agreement is based upon Contractor's estimated costs of providing the services required hereunder, including salaries and benefits of employees and subcontractors of Contractor. Consequently, the parties further agree that compensation hereunder is intended to include the costs of contributions to any pensions and/or annuities to which Contractor and its employees, agents, and subcontractors may be eligible. City therefore has no responsibility for such contributions beyond compensation required under this Agreement.
- 2.3 **Reimbursable Expenses.** No expenses, costs, or liabilities of Contractor shall be reimbursable unless the obligation and manner of reimbursement is expressly set forth in the scope of services (Exhibit A) and in the fee schedule (Exhibit B).
- 2.4 **Payment of Taxes.** Contractor is solely responsible for the payment of employment taxes incurred under this Agreement and any similar federal or state taxes.

### **Section 3. CHANGES AND EXTRA SERVICES.**

- 3.1 Provided that City gives reasonable advance notice to Contractor, City may propose in writing changes to Contractor's work within the Scope of Services described. If Contractor is of the opinion that any proposed change causes an increase or decrease in the cost, or a change in the schedule for performance, of the services, Contractor shall notify City in writing of that fact within five (5) days after receipt of written proposal for changes. Contractor may also initiate such notification, upon identifying a condition which may change the Scope of Services as agreed at the time of execution of this Agreement covering such Scope of Services. When and if City and Contractor reach agreement on any such proposed change and its effect on the cost and time for performance, they shall confirm such agreement in writing as an amendment to this Agreement. In the event the Parties cannot reach agreement as to the proposed change, at the City's sole discretion,

Contractor shall perform such work and will be paid for labor, materials, equipment rental, etc., actually used to perform the work.

- 3.2 City shall not be liable for payment of any changes under Section 3.1, nor shall Contractor be obligated to perform any such changes, except upon such written amendment or supplement; provided that if, upon City's written request, Contractor begins work in accordance with a proposed change, City shall be liable to Contractor for the amounts due with respect to Contractor's work pursuant to such change, unless and until City notifies Contractor to stop work on such change.

**Section 4. PROJECT SITE.** Contractor shall perform the Services in such a manner as to cause a minimum of interference with City's operations and the operations of other contractors at each Project site and to protect all persons and property thereon from damage or injury. Upon completion of the Services at a Project site, Contractor shall leave such Project site clean and free of all tools, equipment, waste materials and rubbish. Each Project site may include all buildings, offices, and other locations where Services are to be performed, including any access roads. Contractor shall be solely responsible for the safe transportation and packing in proper containers and storage of any equipment required for performing the Services, whether owned, leased or rented. City will not be responsible for any such equipment which is lost, stolen or damaged or for any additional rental charges for such equipment. Equipment left or stored at a Project site, with or without permission, is at Contractor's sole risk. City may assume that anything left on the work site an unreasonable length of time after said work is completed has been abandoned. Any transportation furnished by City shall be solely as an accommodation and City shall have no liability therefore. Contractor acknowledges and agrees that it shall assume the risk and is solely responsible for its use of any City owned equipment and property provided by City for the performance of Services. City shall have no liability to Contractor therefore. In addition, Contractor further acknowledges and agrees that it shall assume the risk and is solely responsible for its owned, non-owned and hired automobiles, trucks or other motorized vehicles as well as any equipment, tolls, or other property which is utilized by Contractor on each Project site.

**Section 5. INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS.** Before beginning any work under this Agreement, Contractor, at its own cost and expense, shall procure the types and amounts of insurance listed below for the period covered by the Agreement. Contractor shall not allow any subcontractor to commence work on any subcontract until Contractor has obtained all insurance required herein for the subcontractor(s) and provided evidence that such insurance is in effect to City. Contractor shall maintain all required insurance listed herein for the duration of this Agreement.

- 5.1 **Workers' Compensation.** If Contractor employs any person, Contractor shall maintain Statutory Workers' Compensation Insurance and Employer's Liability Insurance for any and all persons employed directly or indirectly by Contractor with limits of not less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00) per accident.
- 5.2 **Commercial General and Automobile Liability Insurance.** Contractor shall maintain commercial general and automobile liability insurance for the term of this Agreement in an amount not less than Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000.00) per occurrence, combined single limit coverage for risks associated with the work contemplated by this Agreement. Such coverage shall include but shall not be limited to, protection against claims arising from

bodily and personal injury, including death resulting therefrom, and damage to property resulting from activities contemplated under this Agreement, including the use of owned and non-owned automobiles. The City shall be named as an additional insured and insurance shall provide primary coverage with respect to the City by written endorsement to the policy.

Commercial general coverage shall be at least as broad as Insurance Services Office Commercial General Liability occurrence form CG 0001 (most recent edition) covering comprehensive General Liability on an "occurrence" basis. Automobile coverage shall be at least as broad as Insurance Services Office Automobile Liability form CA 0001 (most recent edition), Code 1 (any auto). No endorsement shall be attached limiting the coverage. All insurance required by this section is to be placed with insurers with a Bests' rating of no less than A:VII.

5.3 **General Liability/Umbrella Insurance.** The coverage amounts set forth above may be met by a combination of underlying and umbrella policies so long as in combination the limits equal or exceed those stated.

5.4 **[Intentionally deleted.]**

5.5 **All Policies Requirements.**

5.5.1 **Verification of Coverage.** Prior to beginning any work under this Agreement, Contractor shall, at the sole option of the City, provide City with (1) certified Certification of Insurance that demonstrates compliance with all applicable insurance provisions contained herein; and (2) upon request by the City, complete certified copies of all policies and/or complete certified copies of all endorsements that demonstrate compliance with this Section 5.

5.5.2 **Notice of Reduction in or Cancellation of Coverage.** A certified endorsement must be attached to all insurance obtained in accordance with this Agreement stating that coverage shall not be suspended, voided, canceled by either party, or reduced in coverage or in limits, except after thirty (30) days' prior written notice by certified mail, return receipt requested, has been given to the City.

5.6 **Waiver of Subrogation.** Contractor agrees to waive subrogation which any insurer of Contractor may acquire from Contractor by virtue of the payment of any loss. Contractor agrees to obtain any endorsement that may be necessary to effect this waiver of subrogation. The Workers' Compensation policy shall be endorsed with a waiver of subrogation in favor of City for all work performed by Contractor, its employees, agents and subcontractors.

## **Section 6. INDEMNIFICATION AND CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITIES.**

6.1 To the fullest extent permitted by law, Contractor shall indemnify, defend with counsel acceptable to City, and hold harmless City and its officers, officials, employees, agents and volunteers (collectively, "Indemnities") from and against any and all liability, loss, damage, claims, expenses, and costs (including without limitation, attorney's fees and costs and

fees of litigation) (collectively, "Liability") of every nature arising out of or in connection with Contractor's performance of the Services contained in this Agreement, or its failure to comply with any applicable law or regulation, except such Liability caused by the sole negligence or willful misconduct of City.

The Contractor's obligation to defend and indemnify shall not be excused because of the Contractor's inability to evaluate Liability or because the Contractor evaluates Liability and determines that the Contractor is not liable to the claimant. The Contractor must respond within 30 days, to the tender of any claim for defense and indemnity by the City, unless this time has been extended by the City in writing. If the Contractor fails to accept or reject a tender of defense and indemnity within 30 days, in addition to any other remedy authorized by law, so much of the money due the Contractor under and by virtue of this Agreement as shall reasonably be considered necessary by the City, may be retained by the City until disposition has been made of the claim or suit for damages, or until the Contractor accepts or rejects the tender of defense, whichever occurs first.

With respect to third party claims against the Contractor, the Contractor waives any and all rights of any type to express or implied indemnity against the Indemnitees.

Acceptance by City of insurance certificates and endorsements required under this Agreement does not relieve Contractor from liability under this indemnification and hold harmless clause. This indemnification and hold harmless clause shall apply to any damage or claims for damages whether or not such insurance policies shall have been determined to apply.

- 6.2 **PERS Indemnification.** In the event that Contractor or any employee, agent, or subcontractor of Contractor providing services under this Agreement is determined by a court of competent jurisdiction or the California Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) to be eligible for enrollment in PERS as an employee of City, Contractor shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless City for the payment of any employee and/or employer contributions for PERS benefits on behalf of Contractor or its employees, agents, or subcontractors, as well as for the payment of any penalties and interest on such contributions, which would otherwise be the responsibility of City.
- 6.3 **Design Professionals.** Notwithstanding Sections 6.1 and 6.2, to the extent that the services under this Agreement include design professional services subject to California Civil Code Section 2782.8, as may be amended from time to time, Contractor's duty to indemnify shall only be to the maximum extent permitted by California Civil Code Section 2782.8.
- 6.4 **Construction Contracts.** Notwithstanding Sections 6.1 and 6.2, to the extent this Agreement is a "construction contract" as defined by California Civil Code Section 2782, as may be amended from time to time, such duties of Contractor to indemnify shall not apply when to do so would be prohibited by California Civil Code Section 2782.

**Section 7. STATUS OF CONTRACTOR.** At all times during the term of this Agreement, Contractor shall be an independent contractor and shall not be an employee of City. City shall have the right to control Contractor only insofar as the results of Contractor's services rendered pursuant to this Agreement and assignment of personnel pursuant to Subparagraph 1.3; however, otherwise City shall not have the right to control the means by which Contractor accomplishes services rendered pursuant to this Agreement. Notwithstanding any other City, state, or federal policy, rule, regulation, law, or ordinance to the contrary, Contractor and any of its employees, agents, and subcontractors providing services under this Agreement shall not qualify for or become entitled to, and hereby agree to waive any and all claims to, any compensation, benefit, or any incident of employment by City, including but not limited to eligibility to enroll in the California Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) as an employee of City and entitlement to any contribution to be paid by City for employer contributions and/or employee contributions for PERS benefits. Contractor shall have no authority, express or implied, to act on behalf of City in any capacity whatsoever as an agent. Contractor shall have no authority, express or implied, pursuant to this Agreement to bind City to any obligation whatsoever.

**Section 8. LEGAL REQUIREMENTS.**

- 8.1 **Governing Law.** The laws of the State of California shall govern this Agreement.
- 8.2 **Compliance with Applicable Laws.** Contractor and any subcontractors shall comply with all laws applicable to the performance of the work in connection with this Agreement.
- 8.3 **Licenses and Permits.** Contractor represents and warrants to City that Contractor and its employees, agents, and any subcontractors have all licenses, permits, qualifications, and approvals of whatsoever nature that are legally required to practice their respective professions.

**Nondiscrimination and Equal Opportunity.** Contractor shall not discriminate, on the basis of a person's race, sex, gender, religion (including religious dress and grooming practices), national origin, ancestry, physical or mental disability, medical condition (including cancer and genetic characteristics), marital status, age, sexual orientation, color, creed, pregnancy, genetic information, gender identity or expression, political affiliation or belief, military/veteran status, or any other classification protected by applicable local, state, or federal laws (each a "Protected Characteristic"), against any employee, applicant for employment, subcontractor, bidder for a subcontract, or participant in, recipient of, or applicant for any services or programs provided by Contractor under this Agreement.

- 8.4 Contractor shall include the provisions of this Subsection in any subcontract approved by the Contract Administrator or this Agreement.
- 8.5 **Work Requiring Payment of Prevailing Wages.** In accordance with California Labor Code Section 1771, not less than the general prevailing rate of per diem wages for work of a similar character in the locality in which the Work is to be performed, and not less than the general prevailing rate of per diem wages for holiday and overtime work fixed as provided in the California Labor Code must be paid to all workers engaged in performing the Work. Copies of such prevailing rate of per diem wages can be found at the following website: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/OPRL/DPreWageDetermination.htm>.

All contractors and subcontractors who bid or work on a public works project over amounts specified under California Labor Code Section 1725.5(f) must register and pay an annual fee to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) pursuant to California Labor Code section 1725.5. Information regarding DIR registration can be found at the following website: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/public-works/publicworks.html>

Contractor agrees, in accordance with Section 1771.1 of the California Labor Code, that contractor or subcontractor shall not be qualified to bid on, be listed in a bid proposal, subject to the requirements of Section 4104 of the Public Contract Code, or engage in the performance of any contract for public work, as defined in this chapter, unless currently registered and qualified to perform public work pursuant to Section 1725.5. It is not a violation of this section for an unregistered contractor to submit a bid that is authorized by Section 7029.1 of the Business and Professions Code or by Section 10164 or 20103.5 of the Public Contract Code, provided the contractor is registered to perform public work pursuant to Section 1725.5 at the time the contract is awarded. Contractor agrees, in accordance with Section 1771.4 of the California Labor Code, this Project is subject to compliance monitoring and enforcement by the Department of Industrial Relations.

**Section 9. MODIFICATION.**

- 9.1 **Amendments.** The Parties may amend this Agreement only by a writing signed by all the Parties.
  
- 9.2 **Assignment.** Contractor may not assign this Agreement or any interest therein without the prior written approval of the City.
  
- 9.3 **Subcontracting.** Contractor shall not subcontract any portion of the performance contemplated and provided for herein without prior written approval of the City. Where written approval is granted by the City, Contractor shall supervise all work subcontracted by Contractor in performing the Services; shall be responsible for all work performed by a subcontractor as if Contractor itself had performed such work; the subcontracting of any work to subcontractors shall not relieve Contractor from any of its obligations under this Agreement with respect to the Services; and Contractor is obligated to ensure that any and all subcontractors performing any Services shall be fully insured in all respects and to the same extent as set forth under Section 5, to City's satisfaction.
  
- 9.4 **Survival.** All obligations arising prior to the termination of this Agreement and all provisions of this Agreement allocating liability between City and Contractor shall survive the termination of this Agreement.
  
- 9.5 **Options upon Breach by Contractor.** If Contractor materially breaches any of the terms of this Agreement, City's remedies shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
  - 9.5.1 Immediately terminate the Agreement;
  
  - 9.5.2 Retain the plans, specifications, drawings, reports, design documents, and any other work product prepared by Contractor in accordance with this Agreement;

- 9.5.3 Retain a different Contractor to complete the Services not finished by Contractor;  
or
- 9.5.4 Charge Contractor the difference between the costs to complete the work at the time of breach and the amount that City would have paid Contractor in accordance with Section 2 if Contractor had completed the Work.

**Section 10. KEEPING AND STATUS OF RECORDS.**

- 10.1 **Records Created as Part of Contractor's Performance.** All reports, data, maps, models, charts, studies, surveys, photographs, memoranda, plans, studies, specifications, records, files, or any other documents or materials, in electronic or any other form, that Contractor prepares or obtains in accordance with this Agreement and that relate to the matters covered under the terms of this Agreement shall be the property of the City.
- 10.2 **Contractor's Books and Records.** Contractor shall maintain any and all records or documents evidencing or relating to charges for services or expenditures and disbursements charged to the City under this Agreement for a minimum of three (3) years, or for any longer period required by law, from the date of final payment to the Contractor to this Agreement.
- 10.3 **Confidential Information and Disclosure.** During the term of this Agreement, either party (the "Disclosing Party") may disclose confidential, proprietary or trade secret information (the "Information"), to the other party (the "Receiving Party"). The Receiving Party shall hold the Disclosing Party's Information in confidence and shall take all reasonable steps to prevent any unauthorized possession, use, copying, transfer or disclosure of such Information. Contractor understands that City is a public City and is subject to the laws that may compel it to disclose information about Contractor's business.
- 10.4 **Inspection and Audit of Records.** Any records or documents that this Agreement requires Contractor to maintain shall be made available for inspection, audit, and/or copying at any time during regular business hours, upon oral or written request of the City. Under California Government Code Section 8546.7, if the amount of public funds expended under this Agreement exceeds \$10,000.00, the Agreement shall be subject to the examination and audit of the State Auditor, at the request of City or as part of any audit of the City, for a period of 3 years after final payment under the Agreement.

**Section 11. WARRANTY.**

- 11.1 In addition to any and all warranties provided or implied by law or public policy, Contractor warrants that all Services (including but not limited to all equipment and materials supplied in connection therewith) shall be free from defects in design and workmanship, and that Contractor shall perform all Services in accordance with all applicable engineering, construction and other codes and standards, and with the degree of high professional skill normally exercised by or expected from recognized professional firms engaged in the practice of supplying services of a nature similar to the Services in question. Contractor further warrants that, in addition to furnishing all tools, equipment and supplies customarily required for performance of work, Contractor shall furnish personnel with the training,

experience and physical ability, as well as adequate supervision, required to perform the Services in accordance with the preceding standards and the other requirements of this Agreement. In addition to all other rights and remedies which City may have, City shall have the right to require, and Contractor shall be obligated at its own expense to perform, all further services which may be required to correct any deficiencies which result from Contractor's failure to perform any Services in accordance with the standards required by this Agreement. Moreover, if, during the term of this Agreement (or during the one (1) year period following the term hereof), any equipment, goods or other materials or Services used or provided by Contractor under this Agreement fail due to defects in material and/or workmanship or other breach of this Agreement, Contractor shall, upon any reasonable notice from City, replace or repair the same to City's satisfaction. Unless otherwise expressly permitted, all materials and supplies to be used by Contractor in the performance of the Services shall be new and best of kind.

11.2 Contractor hereby assigns to City all additional warranties, extended warranties, or benefits like warranties, such as insurance, provided by or reasonably obtainable from suppliers of equipment and material used in the Services.

**Section 12. HEALTH AND SAFETY PROGRAMS.** The Contractor shall establish, maintain, and enforce safe work practices, and implement an accident/incident prevention program intended to ensure safe and healthful operations under their direction. The program shall include all requisite components of such a program under Federal, State and local regulations and shall comply with all City site programs.

- 12.1 Contractor will be responsible for acquiring job hazard assessments as necessary to safely perform all duties of each Project and provide a copy to City upon request.
- 12.2 Contractor will be responsible for providing all employee health and safety training and personal protective equipment in accordance with potential hazards that may be encountered in performance of Project and provide copies of the certified training records upon request by City. Contractor shall be responsible for proper maintenance and/or disposal of their personal protective equipment and material handling equipment.
- 12.3 Contractor is responsible for ensuring that its lower-tier subcontractors are aware of and will comply with the requirements set forth herein.
- 12.4 City, or their representatives, shall periodically monitor the safety performance of the Contractor working on the Project. All Contractors and their subcontractors shall be required to comply with the safety and health obligations as established in the Agreement. Non-compliance with safety, health, or fire requirements may result in cessation of work activities, until items in non-compliance are corrected. It is also expressly acknowledged, understood and agreed that no payment shall be due from City to Contractor under this Agreement at any time when, or for any Services performed when, Contractor is not in full compliance with this Section 10.
- 12.5 Contractor shall immediately report any injuries to the City site safety representative. Additionally, the Contractor shall investigate and submit to the City site safety

representative copies of all written accident reports, and coordinate with City if further investigation is requested.

- 12.6 Contractor shall take all reasonable steps and precautions to protect the health of their employees and other site personnel with regard to their Scope of Services. Contractor shall conduct occupational health monitoring and/or sampling to determine levels of exposure of its employees to hazardous or toxic substances or environmental conditions. Copies of any sampling results will be forwarded to the City site safety representative upon request.
- 12.7 Contractor shall develop a plan to properly handle and dispose of all hazardous wastes they generate within the Scope of Services.
- 12.8 Contractor shall advise its employees and subcontractors that any employee, who jeopardizes his/her safety and health, or the safety and health of others, may be subject to actions including removal from Project.
- 12.9 Contractor shall, at the sole option of the City develop and provide to the City a Hazardous Material Spill Response Plan that includes provisions for spill containment and clean-up, emergency contact information including regulatory agencies and spill sampling and analysis procedures. Hazardous Materials to include diesel fuel used for trucks owned or leased by the Contractor.

**Section 13. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS.**

- 13.1 **Attorneys' Fees.** If a Party to this Agreement brings any action, including an action for declaratory relief, to enforce or interpret the provision of this Agreement, the prevailing Party shall be entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees in addition to any other relief to which that Party may be entitled. The court may set such fees in the same action or in a separate action brought for that purpose.
- 13.2 **Venue.** In the event that either Party brings any action against the other under this Agreement, the Parties agree that trial of such action shall be vested exclusively in the state courts of California in the County of Contra Costa or in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.
- 13.3 **Severability.** If a court of competent jurisdiction finds or rules that any provision of this Agreement is invalid, void, or unenforceable, the provisions of this Agreement not so adjudged shall remain in full force and effect.
- 13.4 **No Implied Waiver of Breach.** The waiver of any breach of a specific provision of this Agreement does not constitute a waiver of any other breach of that term or any other term of this Agreement.
- 13.5 **Contract Administration.** This Agreement shall be administered by the City Manager or his/her designee, who shall act as the City's representative. All correspondence shall be directed to or through the representative.

13.6 **Notices.** Any written notice to Contractor shall be sent to:

Jesse Alvarado  
General Manager  
390 E Gish Rd.  
San Jose, CA 95112

Any written notice to City shall be sent to:

City Manager  
City of El Cerrito  
10890 San Pablo Avenue  
El Cerrito, CA 94530

13.7 **Professional Seal.** Where applicable in the determination of the City, the first page of a technical report, first page of design specifications, and each page of construction drawings shall be stamped/sealed and signed by the licensed professional responsible for the report/design preparation.

13.8 **Integration; Incorporation.** This Agreement, including all the exhibits attached hereto, represents the entire and integrated agreement between City and Contractor and supersedes all prior negotiations, representations, or agreements, either written or oral. All exhibits attached hereto are incorporated by reference herein.

13.9 **Successors and Assigns.** The provisions of this Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and shall apply to and bind the successors and assigns of the parties. n

13.10 **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be an original and all of which together shall constitute one agreement.

The Parties have executed this Agreement as of the date signed by the City.

CITY OF EL CERRITO

CONTRACTOR

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Karen Pinkos, City Manager

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jesse Alvarado, General Manager

Attest:

\_\_\_\_\_

Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk

Approved as to Form:

---

Sky Woodruff, City Attorney

EXHIBIT A  
SCOPE OF SERVICES

## EXHIBIT A

### SCOPE OF SERVICES

Street sweeping services will be divided into four different services areas as follows: 1. Commercial, including 1.1 Class IV Bike Lanes; 2. Public Parking Lots; 3. Main Residential Thoroughfares 4. All Other Residential Streets. The proposed schedule for each service area and approximate areas and linear feet is listed below. Contractor is responsible for conducting their own measurements.

- 1. Commercial.** On a once per week basis, sweep curbs (and gutters, and median curbs where present) to remove litter and debris. Sweeping in these areas to be performed between the hours of 9:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m., at a frequency of once per week. Commercial areas are defined as:

Street	Limits	Approx. Median Length One-Way [LF]	Approx. Length One-Way [LF]
San Pablo	SCL to NCL	11,900	12,300
Schmidt	San Pablo to Liberty	0	850
Portola	San Pablo to Liberty	0	700
Moeser	San Pablo to Richmond	1,000	1,200
Liberty	Hill to Key	0	400
Key	Liberty to Cutting	0	300
Carlson	San Pablo to NCL	1,600	1,950
Fairmount	Carlson to Colusa	700	3,500
Central	Carlson to WCL	0	1,200
Stockton	San Pablo to Ashbury	0	1,900
Potrero	S. 55th to San Pablo	460	800
Eastshore Blvd.	Potrero to San Pablo	0	750
Hill	San Pablo to Liberty	0	1,000
Cutting	Key to WCL	0	950
Kearney	Knott to Cutting	0	400

Kearney	Potrero to Madison	0	900
Kearney	Conlon to Wall	0	700
Conlon	San Pablo to Kearney	0	150
Knott	I-80 to Key	0	650
Wall	San Pablo to Kearney	0	200
NCL = North City Limit SCL= South City Limit WCL = West City Limit			
<b>Commercial Approximate Totals</b>		<b>= 15,800 LF</b>	<b>30,700 LF</b>

**1.1 Class IV/Separated Bike Lanes.** On a once per week basis, sweep curbs (and gutters) to remove litter and debris. Sweeping in these areas to be performed between the hours of 9:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m., at a frequency of once per week. Class IV bike lanes in Commercial Areas are defined as:

<b>Street (With Direction)</b>	<b>Limits</b>	<b>Approx. Length One-Way [LF]</b>
Cutting Blvd W	WCL To Key Blvd.	780
Cutting Blvd E	WCL To Key Blvd.	660
San Pablo Ave N	Moeser Ln south mid-block	190
San Pablo Ave N	Knott Ave. to Potrero Ave..	1940
San Pablo Ave S	Knott Ave. to Potrero Ave.	1160
Eastshore Blvd N	San Pablo Ave. to Potrero Ave.	524
Eastshore Blvd S	San Pablo Ave. to Potrero Ave.	744
Hill St E	San Pablo Ave. to Lexington Ave.	125
NCL - North City Limit SCL= South City Limit WCL = West City Limit		
<b>Class IV/Separated Bike Lanes Approximate Totals</b>		<b>= 6,123 LF</b>

2. **Public Parking Lots. (Not identified on attached map)** On a once per month basis, sweep parking lots, to remove litter and debris. Sweeping in these areas to be performed between the hours of 9:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m., at a frequency of once per month. Public Parking lot areas are defined as:

Parking Lot	Location	Approx. Area
Civic Center	Pomona Ave near Moeser Ln.	14,500 SF
Community Center	Moeser at Pomona	26,000 SF
Recycling Center	At East End of Schmidt	11,000 SF
Corporation Yard	At East End of Schmidt	28,000 SF
<b>Parking Lot Approximate Totals =</b>		<b>79,500 SF</b>

3. **Main Residential Thoroughfares.** On a once per month basis, sweeping curbs (and gutters, and median curbs where present) to remove litter and debris. Sweeping in these areas to be performed between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., at a frequency of once per month. Document weight or volume of debris collected, and provide report of work performed to City. Main residential thoroughfares areas are defined as:

Street	Limits	Median Length One-Way [LF]	Approx. Length One-Way [LF]
Richmond	Fairmount to Potrero	0	6,200
Ashbury	SCL to Fairmount	1,800	1,800
Ashbury	Fairmount to Moeser	0	5,000
Arlington	ECL to NCL	0	12,500
Cutting	Pebble Beach to Key	0	5,000
Colusa	SCL to Eureka	0	2,100
Kearney	Manila to Schmidt	0	650
Manila	San Pablo to Schmidt	0	200
Moeser	Richmond to Arlington	850	5,600
Barrett	Arlington to Carlston	0	4,400
NCL = North City Limit SCL= South City Limit WCL = West City Limit			
<b>Main Thoroughfares Approximate Totals =</b>		<b>2,650LF</b>	<b>43,450 LF</b>

- 4. All Other Residential Streets.** On a once per month basis, sweep curbs (and gutters, and median curbs where present) to remove litter and debris. Sweeping in these areas to be performed between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., at a frequency of once per month. Other Residential areas are defined as:

Street	Limits		Median Length One-Way [LF]	Approx. Length One-Way [LF]
	From	To		
Tuller	Silva	Barrett		600
Brooks	Silva	Barrett		300
Yuba	NCL	Barrett		900
Sonoma	NCL	Barrett		600
Edwards	Charles	Barrett		1,300
Ellerhorst	Charles	Barrett		350
Tulare	Charles	Barrett		2,300
Mira Vista	NCL	Canyon Trail		2,300
Francisco	NCL	Carquinez		600
Carquinez	NCL	Hagen		600
Tassajara	NCL	Lagunitas		1,800
Monta Vista	Alta Vista	Arlington		600
La Honda	Alta Vista	Arlington		500
Tamalpais Dr.	Arlington	Ganges		3,500
Tamalpais Ct.	All			150
Del Monte	NCL	Arlington		600
Nason	Edwards	Jordan		300

Alva	Lagunitas	Tassajara		700
Humboldt	Poinsett	Key		900
Mono	Poinsett	Conlon		950
Macdonald	Ludwig	ECL		1,150
Cedar	Alta Punta	Fern		450
Edith	Alta Punta	Fern		340
Gloria	Alta Punta	Fern		330
Edna	Jordan	Fern		100
Jordan	Nason	Tamalpais		2,850
Harvard	All			150
Scenic	Cutting	Arlington		480
Pebble Beach Drive	Cutting	Cutting		1,300
Pebble Beach Way	All			400
Pebble Beach Court	All			150
Junction	Conlon	Cutting		420
Hagen	Junction	Canyon Trail	570	2,500
Harper	Hagen	Hagen		1,100
Tapscott	Gatto	Hagen		1,400
Wilson	Ganges	Cutting		1,800
Fairview	Cutting	Ganges		700
Ganges Ave	Fairview	Blake		1,650
Ganges Ct	All			100

Wesley	Walnut	Blake		1,100
Walnut	All			825
Peerless	All			150
S. 53rd	Potrero	Cypress		400
S. 55th	Potrero	Cypress		600
S. 56th	Potrero	Cypress		600
F St.	All			200
Fall	WCL	End		200
Ernest	WCL	End		400
Victor	WCL	End		500
Gordon	WCL	End		400
School	WCL	S. 55th		650
Cypress	WCL	S. 55th		650
Cypress	S. 56th	San Pablo		600
Lexington	Hill	Gladys		1,900
Lexington	Moeser	Stockton		1,400
Lexington	Eureka	Fairmount		2,450
Liberty St	Key	Stockton		7,800
Liberty St	Eureka	Fairmount		2,000
Liberty Ct	All			150
Manor Cir	All			1,000
Elm St	Cutting	Schmidt		4,600
Elm St	Moeser	Willow		3,400
Elm Ct	All			200
Everett	Blake	Schmidt		3,100
Everett	Portola	Fairmount		5,100

Norvell St	Everett	Schmidt		3,200
Norvell St	Moeser	Fairmount		4,350
Norvell Ct	All			200
Lawrence Ct	All			150
Scott	Navellier	Moeser		1,800
Navellier	Blake	Moeser		4,500
Contra Costa	Devonshire	SCL		5,800
Brewster Dr.	Arlington	Arlington		2,800
Brewster Ct.	All			100
Buckingham	Arlington	End		1,500
Madera Dr.	Arlington	Madera Cir		500
Madera Cir	All			1,400
Madera Ct	All			300
Douglas	All			900
Devonshire Dr	All			1,200
Linda Vista	All			300
Rifle Range	Arlington	NCL		2,750
Wildcat	All			1,200
Bel View	All			500
Betty	Buckingham	Buckingham		1,100
James	All			350
Regency	All			800

Gayle	All		250
Noble	All		250
Cabrillo	Manila	Schmidt	600
Rivera	Manila	Schmidt	600
Ranchito	All		150
Park Vista	All		450
Shevlin Dr	King	Terrace	2,600
Shevlin Pl	All		150
King Dr	Contra Costa Terrace		2,700
King Ct	All		150
Havens	Arlington	Terrace	900
Villa Nueva	Terrace	Don Carol	800
Don Carol	All		1,600
Edgehill	All		700
Ivy	All		300
Leneve	Ivy	Terrace	1,500
Kensington Rd	All		800
Clark	All		200
Roberta	All		400
Terrace	Kensington	Stockton	9,500
Park	All		400
Midcrest	All		250
Hancock	All		250
Avila Pl.	All		400
Craft	All		500
Bates	Moeser	End	1,200

Gelston	All			1,100
Bay Tree	All			400
Seaview Dr	Moeser	Terrace		1,900
Seaview Dr	Terrace	Colusa		3,100
Seaview Pl	All			700
Galvin	Moeser	Terrace		1,600
Balra	North end	Sea View		4,700
Avis	Moeser	Stockton		1,000
Pomona Ave	Moeser	Eureka		2,600
Pomona Ct	All			100
Albermarle	Ashbury	Fairmount		3,000
Colusa	Terrace	Fairmount		3,600
Colusa (west curb only)	Fairmount	Santa Fe		2,700
Clayton	Ashbury	Fairmount		2,400
Village	Errol	Sea View		1,000
Bonnie	Errol	Sea View		1,900
Susan	All			150
Santa Fe (west curb only)	Colusa	SCL		800
San Carlos	Fairmount	SCL		2,200
Carmel	Fairmount	SCL		2,300
Ramona	Fairmount	SCL		2,250
Pomona Ave	Fairmount	SCL		2,100
Ashbury	Moeser	SCL	1,700	6,700
Behrens	Fairmount	SCL		1,600
Victoria	All			450
Tahoe	All			250

Coronado	All		500
Adams	All		100
Yosemite	Avila St	SCL	1,600
Santa Clara	Central	SCL	1,250
Belmont	Central	SCL	1,250
Yolo	El Dorado	SCL	300
San Mateo	NCL	SCL	500
Lassen	Belmont	Carlson	700
San Diego	WCL	San Pablo	1,250
Avila St	Santa Clara	San Pablo	950
El Dorado	San Mateo	San Pablo	1,550
Ward	Pomona	Santa Fe	950
Lynn	Ashbury	Colusa	1,400
Curry	Pomona	Colusa	1,000
A St	All		250
B St	Behrens	Ashbury	400
C St	Behrens	Ashbury	425
Lincoln	San Pablo	Ashbury	2,800
Rockway	Ashbury	Colusa	750
Eureka	San Pablo	Ashbury	2,650
Eureka	Ashbury	Colusa	700
Eureka	Balra	ECL	1,200

Errol	Colusa	Sea View		1,150
Ricardo	All			100
Leviston	Balra	Colusa		600
Hotchkiss	Pomona	Colusa		750
Stockton	Ashbury	Shevlin		3,350
Waldo Ave	San Pablo	Pomona		2,350
Waldo Ln	Pomona	Avis		400
Earl	All			300
Duke	All			150
Baron	All			150
Burns	All			300
Willow	Liberty	Oak		150
Willow	Elm	Richmond		200
Oak	All			450
Portola	Liberty	Navellier		2,100
Glenwood	All			150
Schmidt	Liberty	Navellier		2,050
Manila	Kearney	Navellier		2,750
Donal	Liberty	Navellier		2,200
Gladys	Lexington	East end		2,600
Potrero	WCL	Arlington		7,600
Mound	All			450

Plank Ave	All		600
Plank Ct	All		250
Roger	All		650
Julian Dr	All		800
Julian Ct	All		900
Carol Ct	All		250
Blake	San Pablo	Navellier	2,550
Key	Cutting	Liberty	300
Morris	Junction	Hagen	250
Knott	West end	Hagen	1,350
Gatto	Harper	Canyon Trail	1,750
Canyon Trail	All		250
Kenilworth	Cutting	Ganges	1,000
Snowdon	Cutting	Ganges	1,100
Glen Mawr	Cutting	Ganges	1,150
Downey	Wilson	Kenilworth	500
Hudson	Wilson	Glen Mawr	850
Irma	Carquinez	Alma	250
Fern	Cedar	East end	950
Conlon	Key	Macdonald	1,200
View	Harvard	Scenic	450
Ludwig	Poinsett	Jordan	700

Alta Punta	Macdonald	Tulare		1,150
Lagunitas	Carquinez	Cutting		1,600
Jordan	Poinsett	Tamalpais		3,300
Poinsett	Tulare	WCL		1,500
Rosalind	Edwards	WCL		1,800
Harris	Barrett	Rosalind		250
Hillside	Harris	Rosalind		600
Alvarado	All			300
Silva	WCL	Zara		350
Zara	WCL	Yuba		600
Charles	Sonoma	Tulare		650
Ray	Ralston	Tulare		250
Alta Vista	NCL	Arlington		650
	NCL = North City Limit SCL= South City Limit WCL = West City Limit			
	<b>Monthly Residential Approx. Totals =</b>		<b>2,270 LF</b>	<b>274,820 LF</b>

**5. Street Sweeping Performance Standards**

Street sweeping shall be performed in accordance with accepted standards for routine and emergency municipal street cleaning. Additional performance standards include:


- a. Sweeping in all areas shall occur per the schedule described in the maps below.
- b. Contractor shall maintain the sweeping schedule as approved by the City and shall maintain standby equipment to be used in the event of equipment breakdown or an emergency.
- c. When necessary for proper and thorough street cleaning, more than one pass will be made on the street without additional charge.
- d. Additional sweeps requested by the City for unsatisfactory performance shall be responded to within 24hrs of request.

- e. Sweepers shall operate between 3 to 5 mph.
  - f. The City reserves the right to add additional streets and/or other right-of-way to the street schedule. The Contractor shall provide any additional sweeping services at costs agreed to by the City and the Contractor.
  - g. Contractor shall be responsible for disposal of all street sweeping spoils collected by hauling the same to the City of El Cerrito Solid Waste Transfer Station location at 7501 Schmidt Lane, El Cerrito. Contractor shall be responsible for disposing of all street sweeping spoils into bins designated by the City and shall be responsible for immediate clean-up of any materials spilled out of trucks or designated bins.
  - h. When weather conditions (e.g. rain) prevent effective sweeping, street sweeping shall be suspended. Sweeping shall resume as soon as weather permits. Contractor shall contact the City of El Cerrito Maintenance Supervisor for City approval of sweeping cancellation due to inclement weather. For days when no sweeping occurs, the Contractor shall not charge the City. For the day(s) when sweeping is suspended mid-day, the Contractor shall bill the City for only the number of hours completed that day. Immediate communication to the City of El Cerrito's Maintenance and Operations Superintendent is required, if sweeping is suspended.
  - i. Contractor shall not sweep on City recognized holidays unless otherwise directed by the City.
  - j. Contractor will submit a list of the type of equipment to be utilized, including the year, make and model of each sweeper proposed for providing these services to the City for approval. At a minimum the Contractor shall provide equipment with regenerative air vacuum sweepers that comply with South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 1186.1 Less Polluting Sweepers.
  - k. Contractor shall spray street with water during street sweeping operations to the extent required to minimize blown dust/dirt in compliance with AQMD - PM10 requirements.
6. **CORRECTIVE WORK.** The Contractor shall perform the work herein specified under the direction, and to the satisfaction, of the Public Works Maintenance and Operations Supervisor or designated representative. Inspections may be made by the City at any time and as well as request the Contractor for corrective work. If corrective work is required, the City will provide a written list of items, the Contractor shall correct the deficiencies within 48 hours. If deficiencies are not corrected, the City will deduct from the Contractor's payment, pro rata, those applicable portions of the monthly contract sum. In the event uncorrected workmanship continues for the same cause after three (3) written notices to the Contractor from the Public Works Maintenance and Operations Supervisor or their designee, such continued failure to correct shall constitute grounds for termination of the contract.
7. **CUSTOMER SERVICE.** Once the City deems a resident request, complaint, or inquiry as within the contract scope, the Contractor will respond to it in a timely manner.
8. **CITY'S RIGHT TO DO THE WORK.** In the event that the work is not completed within the

time specified by this contract, or any extension thereof, the City, after written notice to the Contractor, and in addition to any other remedy at law or in equity, may complete the work by its own forces or by contract. The City shall endeavor to provide the Contractor with seven days written notice of the City's intention to complete the work. However, the City retains the sole and total discretion to provide a shorter notice period, or to dispense with written notice altogether, should the City determine that conditions require the immediate completion of the work. If the City dispenses with written notice prior to the completion of the work, the City shall provide such notice as soon as practicable after the work has been completed.

In the event that the City completes the work, the Contractor shall be responsible for the entire cost incurred by the City for the completion of the work, including but not limited to, fees and charges for engineers, attorneys and other professionals. If the cost of completion exceeds the contract price provided by this contract, the Contractor shall be responsible for the difference and shall pay this amount to the City upon seven days written notice.

## Area of Service: Current Street Sweeping Map and Schedule



**THIS FORM MUST BE USED WHEN SUBMITTING PROPOSAL**

**Bid Form for Current Street Sweeping Areas and Schedule**

Item No.	Item Description	Monthly Number of Hours	Proposal Unit Price
1.	Sweep Commercial Areas		\$_____ Per Month
1.1.	Sweep Class 4 Bike Lanes in Commercial Area.		\$_____ Per Month
2.	Sweep Public Parking Lots		\$_____ Per Month
3.	Sweep Residential Main Thoroughfares		\$_____ Per Month
4.	Sweep All other Residential Streets		\$_____ Per Month
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>ALL SERVICES</b>		<b>\$_____ Per Month</b>

**Hourly rates for labor to perform work not included in Scope of Services**

During the agreement term, City may request Contractor to perform work not listed in the Scope of Services. The Contractor offers the specified labor categories at the indicated hourly rate for these additional services. Hourly rates shall include all direct and indirect costs. For labor not listed below which is needed to perform additional work, the hourly rate shall be agreed upon between the City and Contractor before additional services are performed.

The City specifically requests an hourly rate for manual sweeping of hard-to-reach areas such as angled street parking spaces, bulb-outs, or other areas that cannot be fully swept via mechanical means. Please list an hourly rate for manual sweeping of these areas in the table below, along with any other hourly rates that may apply to work not included in the Scope of Services.

**THIS FORM MUST BE USED WHEN SUBMITTING PROPOSAL**

LABOR CLASSIFICATION	HOURLY RATES		
	STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME	SUNDAY/HOLIDAY
1. MANUAL SWEEPING	\$	\$	\$
2.	\$	\$	\$
3.	\$	\$	\$
4.	\$	\$	\$
5.	\$	\$	\$

**Hourly rates for equipment to perform work not included in Scope of Services**

Hourly rates shall include all costs necessary to provide equipment in good working order, including cost of fuel, maintenance, direct and indirect cost, but excluding operating labor costs.

For equipment not listed below which is needed to perform additional work, the hourly rate shall be agreed upon between the City and the Contractor before such additional equipment is used.

The equipment listed below will not be paid for if required in the performance of the work called out in the Scope of Services. It will only be paid for if specifically requested, required and previously approved by the City.


CLASSIFICATION OF EQUIPMENT	DESCRIPTION (MODEL, SIZE, ETC.)	HOURLY RATES		
		PER HOUR	PER DAY	PER WEEK
1.		\$	\$	\$
2.		\$	\$	\$
3.		\$	\$	\$
4.		\$	\$	\$

### **Adjustments in Scope or Quantity of Work**

The City reserves the right to increase or decrease quantities of work included by the Scope of Services or to delete entire proposal items from the proposal schedule, either before execution of the agreement or during the agreement term.

If related services are desired by City which are not covered by the Scope of Work or by the hourly rate schedule, the fee shall be negotiated and a written work order issued accordingly by the City. Contractor shall not be entitled to compensation for additional services unless a prior written work order has been executed describing the work and specifying the compensation, therefore.

**Optional Future Street Sweeping Map and Schedule that may be implemented during contract term.**



**THIS FORM MUST BE USED WHEN SUBMITTING PROPOSAL**

**Bid Form for Potential Future Street Sweeping Areas and Schedule**

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Item Description</b>	<b>Monthly Number of Hours</b>	<b>Proposal Unit Price</b>
1.	Sweep Commercial Areas		\$_____ Per Month
1.1	Sweep Class IV Bike Lanes in Commercial Areas		\$_____ Per Month
2.	West Residential Area and Main Thoroughfares		\$_____ Per Month
3.	East Residential Area and Main Thoroughfares		\$_____ Per Month
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>ALL SERVICES</b>		\$_____ <b>Per Month</b>

**EXHIBIT B**  
**FEE SCHEDULE**



## d. ALL COST PROPOSAL FORMS

### ATTACHMENT 4

**THIS FORM MUST BE USED WHEN SUBMITTING PROPOSAL**

#### Exhibit B1 - Bid Form for Current Street Sweeping Areas and Schedule

Item No.	Item Description	Monthly Number of Hours	Proposal Unit Price
1.	Sweep Commercial Areas	17.04	\$ 1,278.00 Per Month
1.1.	Sweep Class 4 Bike Lanes in Commercial Area.	1.15	\$ 86.25 Per Month
2.	Sweep Public Parking Lots	1.50	\$ 112.50 Per Month
3.	Sweep Residential Main Thoroughfares	24.68	\$ 1,851.00 Per Month
4.	Sweep All other Residential Streets	156.14	\$ 11,710.50 Per Month
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>ALL SERVICES</b>	204.51	\$ 15,038.25 Per Month

#### Hourly rates for labor to perform work not included in Scope of Services

During the agreement term, City may request Contractor to perform work not listed in the Scope of Services. The Contractor offers the specified labor categories at the indicated hourly rate for these additional services. Hourly rates shall include all direct and indirect costs. For labor not listed below which is needed to perform additional work, the hourly rate shall be agreed upon between the City and Contractor before additional services are performed.

The City specifically requests an hourly rate for manual sweeping of hard-to-reach areas such as angled street parking spaces, bulb-outs, or other areas that cannot be fully swept via mechanical means. Please list an hourly rate for manual sweeping of these areas in the table below, along with any other hourly rates that may apply to work not included in the Scope of Services.



**THIS FORM MUST BE USED WHEN SUBMITTING PROPOSAL**

LABOR CLASSIFICATION	HOURLY RATES		
	STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME	SUNDAY/HOLIDAY
1. MANUAL SWEEPING	\$ 225.00	\$ 275.00	\$ 300.00
2. Angled Street Parking Spaces	\$ 225.00	\$ 275.00	\$ 300.00
3. Bulb-Outs	\$ 225.00	\$ 275.00	\$ 300.00
4.	\$	\$	\$
5.	\$	\$	\$

**Hourly rates for equipment to perform work not included in Scope of Services**

Hourly rates shall include all costs necessary to provide equipment in good working order, including cost of fuel, maintenance, direct and indirect cost, but excluding operating labor costs.

For equipment not listed below which is needed to perform additional work, the hourly rate shall be agreed upon between the City and the Contractor before such additional equipment is used.

The equipment listed below will not be paid for if required in the performance of the work called out in the Scope of Services. It will only be paid for if specifically requested, required and previously approved by the City.

CLASSIFICATION OF EQUIPMENT	DESCRIPTION (MODEL, SIZE, ETC.)	HOURLY RATES		
		PER HOUR	PER DAY	PER WEEK
1. N/A	This cost is embedded within our proposed rates	\$	\$	\$
2. N/A		\$	\$	\$
3. N/A		\$	\$	\$
4. N/A		\$	\$	\$

**THIS FORM MUST BE USED WHEN SUBMITTING PROPOSAL**

**Exhibit B2 - Bid Form for Potential Future Street Sweeping Areas and Schedule**

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Item Description</b>	<b>Monthly Number of Hours</b>	<b>Proposal Unit Price</b>
1.	Sweep Commercial Areas	17.04	\$ <u>2,215.20</u> Per Month
1.1	Sweep Class IV Bike Lanes in Commercial Areas	24.68	\$ <u>3,208.40</u> Per Month
2.	West Residential Area and Main Thoroughfares	90.41	\$ <u>11,753.30</u> Per Month
3.	East Residential Area and Main Thoroughfares	90.41	\$ <u>11,753.30</u> Per Month
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>ALL SERVICES</b>	222.54	\$ <u>28,930.21</u> <b>Per Month</b>

# SWEEPING CORPORATION OF AMERICA

Street Sweeping • Parking Lot Sweeping • Municipal Services  
*Professional Street Sweeping Solutions*

June 3, 2026

## CITY OF EL CERRITO STREET SWEEPING SERVICE OPTIONS

- For any of the options manual sweeping will be at the rate of \$185.00 per hr.
- \*All options subject to annual CPI increase.

### Option 1 – Full City Service

**Annual Cost: Approximately \$180,459**

This option provides sweeping coverage throughout the entire City, including residential neighborhoods, arterial streets, commercial areas, bike lanes, public parking lots, angled parking areas, bulb-outs, and the additional service area discussed with staff. This option represents the highest level of citywide service while maintaining consistent sweeping frequencies throughout all service areas.

### Option 2 – Budget-Constrained Service Level Residential

Scope of services includes services in option 1

**Annual Cost: Approximately \$143,500**

- The current scope includes five residential areas to align services within the budget; we developed a plan that focuses on high-impact areas while still maintaining consistent citywide coverage. Angled parking areas and bulb-outs remain included within the base service.

#### **Residential Sweeping:**

- Sections 5, 2, and 4: Swept once per month to maintain baseline cleanliness
- Sections 1 and 3: Swept every other month as part of a balanced coverage approach

**Commercial Sweeping:**

Adjusted to 4x times per month sweeping with a **targeted focus on high-traffic corridors**

This approach allows us to **maximize service efficiency and visibility in key areas**, ensuring the community’s highest-use locations receive the attention they require—while staying within budget.

**Bike lane sweeping**

Adjusted to semimonthly sweeping with a **targeted focus on high-traffic corridors**

This approach allows us to **maximize service efficiency and visibility in key areas**, ensuring the community’s highest-use locations receive the attention they require—while staying within budget.

**Calendar Example Depending on trash day.**

<b>Month</b>	<b>Sections 5, 2, 4</b>	<b>Sections 1, 3</b>
January	✓ Monthly service	✓ Service
February	✓ Monthly service	—
March	✓ Monthly service	✓ Service
April	✓ Monthly service	—
May	✓ Monthly service	✓ Service
June	✓ Monthly service	—
July	✓ Monthly service	✓ Service
August	✓ Monthly service	—
September	✓ Monthly service	✓ Service
October	✓ Monthly service	—
November	✓ Monthly service	✓ Service
December	✓ Monthly service	—

## Option 3 – Opposite-Side Residential Sweeping Program

**Annual Cost: Approximately \$256,403**

This option reflects the operational requirements associated with an opposite-side residential sweeping program, including separate monthly sweeping cycles for odd-side and even-side parking, increased labor deployment, additional equipment utilization, increased routing complexity, and continued weekly commercial sweeping obligations.

### **Future Bart Area Options:**

- Included in weekly commercial sweeping for consistent upkeep
  - Additional **Bart** manual broom sweeping service beyond the standard scope is available at **\$185.00 per hour manual labor. 3hr. minimum per day.**
  - **Sweeper hourly rate 225.00 Per hr. additional sweeping as needed 3hr. minimum per day.**
- **Weekend Service Rate:**
  - **\$277.50** per hour weekend rates

Respectfully Submitted,

Terry Roberts  
District Account Manager  
Sweeping Corporation of America



## EXHIBIT C

### PROVISIONS REQUIRED FOR PUBLIC WORKS CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA LABOR CODE SECTION 1720 *ET SEQ.*

#### HOURS OF WORK:

- A. In accordance with California Labor Code Section 1810, 8 hours of labor in performance of the services described in Exhibit A shall constitute a legal day's work under this contract.
- B. In accordance with California Labor Code Section 1811, the time of service of any worker employed in performance of the services described in Exhibit A is limited to 8 hours during any one calendar day, and 40 hours during any one calendar week, except in accordance with California Labor Code Section 1815, which provides that work in excess of 8 hours during any one calendar day and 40 hours during any one calendar week is permitted upon compensation for all hours worked in excess of 8 hours during any one calendar day and 40 hours during any one calendar week at not less than one-and-one-half times the basic rate of pay.
- C. The Contractor and its subcontractors shall forfeit as a penalty to the City \$25 for each worker employed in the performance of the services described in Exhibit A for each calendar day during which the worker is required or permitted to work more than 8 hours in any one calendar day, or more than 40 hours in any one calendar week, in violation of the provisions of California Labor Code Section 1810 and following.

#### WAGES:

- A. In accordance with California Labor Code Section 1773.2, the City has determined the general prevailing wages in the locality in which the services described in Exhibit A are to be performed for each craft or type of work needed to be as published by the State of California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Labor Statistics and Research, a copy of which is on file in the City Public Works Office and shall be made available on request. The Contractor and subcontractors engaged in the performance of the services described in Exhibit A shall pay no less than these rates to all persons engaged in performance of the services or work.
- B. In accordance with California Labor Code Section 1775, the Contractor and any subcontractors engaged in performance of the services described in Exhibit A shall comply with California Labor Code Section 1775, which establishes penalties per day for each worker engaged in the performance of the services described in Exhibit A that the Contractor or any subcontractor pays less than the specified prevailing wage. The amount of such penalty shall be determined by the Labor Commissioner and shall be based on consideration of the mistake, inadvertence, or neglect of the Contractor or subcontractor in failing to pay the correct rate of prevailing wages, or the previous record of the Contractor or subcontractor in meeting applicable prevailing wage

obligations, or the willful failure by the Contractor or subcontractor to pay the correct rates of prevailing wages. A mistake, inadvertence, or neglect in failing to pay the correct rate of prevailing wages is not excusable if the Contractor or subcontractor had knowledge of their obligations under the California Labor Code. The Contractor or subcontractor shall pay the difference between the prevailing wage rates and the amount paid to each worker for each calendar day or portion thereof for which each worker was paid less than the prevailing wage rate. If a subcontractor worker engaged in performance of the services described in Exhibit A is not paid the general prevailing per diem wages by the subcontractor, the Contractor is not liable for any penalties therefore unless the Contractor had knowledge of that failure or unless the Contractor fails to comply with all of the following requirements:

1. The contract executed between the Contractor and the subcontractor for the performance of part of the services described in Exhibit A shall include a copy of the provisions of California Labor Code Sections 1771, 1775, 1776, 1777.5, 1813, and 1815.
  2. The Contractor shall monitor payment of the specified general prevailing rate of per diem wages by the subcontractor by periodic review of the subcontractor's certified payroll records.
  3. Upon becoming aware of a subcontractor's failure to pay the specified prevailing rate of wages, the Contractor shall diligently take corrective action to halt or rectify the failure, including, but not limited to, retaining sufficient funds due the subcontractor for performance of the services described in Exhibit A.
  4. Prior to making final payment to the subcontractor, the Contractor shall obtain an affidavit signed under penalty of perjury from the subcontractor that the subcontractor has paid the specified general prevailing rate of per diem wages for employees engaged in the performance of the services described in Exhibit A and any amounts due pursuant to California Labor Code Section 1813.
- C. In accordance with California Labor Code Section 1776, the Contractor and each subcontractor engaged in performance of the services described in Exhibit A shall keep accurate payroll records showing the name, address, social security number, work, straight time and overtime hours worked each day and week, and the actual per diem wages paid to each journeyman, apprentice, worker, or other employee employed in performance of the services described in Exhibit A. Each payroll record shall contain or be verified by a written declaration that it is made under penalty of perjury, stating both of the following:
1. The information contained in the payroll record is true and correct.
  2. The employer has complied with the requirements of California Labor Code Sections 1771, 1811, and 1815 for any work performed by the employer's employees on the public works project.

The payroll records required pursuant to California Labor Code Section 1776 shall be certified and sent directly to the Labor Commissioner, and available for inspection by the Owner and its

authorized representatives, the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement, the Division of Apprenticeship Standards of the Department of Industrial Relations and shall otherwise be available for inspection in accordance with California Labor Code Section 1776.

- D. In accordance with California Labor Code Section 1777.5, the Contractor, on behalf of the Contractor and any subcontractors engaged in performance of the services described in Exhibit A, shall be responsible for ensuring compliance with California Labor Code Section 1777.5 governing employment and payment of apprentices on public works contracts.
  
- E. In case it becomes necessary for the Contractor or any subcontractor engaged in performance of the services described in Exhibit A to employ for the services described in Exhibit A any person in a trade or occupation (except executive, supervisory, administrative, clerical, or other non manual workers as such) for which no minimum wage rate has been determined by the Director of the Department of Industrial Relations, the Contractor or subcontractor shall pay the minimum rate of wages specified therein for the classification which most nearly corresponds to services described in Exhibit A to be performed by that person. The minimum rate thus furnished shall be applicable as a minimum for such trade or occupation from the time of the initial employment of the person affected and during the continuance of such employment.

5129784.1



# AGENDA BILL

Agenda Item No. 7.I.

**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** Roland Lambert, Associate Engineer; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department  
**Subject:** Construction Contract Award for 2026 Surface Seal Project, City Project No. C3027.26

## ACTION PROPOSED

Adopt a resolution acknowledging the sole bidder, Pavement Coating Co. (Pavement Coatings), as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, for the 2026 Surface Seal Project, City Project No. C3027.26 (Project); accepting the negotiated price from Pavement Coatings; and authorizing the City Manager to execute a contract with Pavement Coatings in the amount of \$1,816,415.91 and to approve potential change orders in an amount not to exceed \$182,000.

## BACKGROUND

The 2026 Surface Seal Project, City Project No. C3027.26 is a component of the Measure A funded Street Improvement Program. The Project implements a portion of the second year of the five-year pavement maintenance plan that groups El Cerrito streets into five geographical zones, as shown in Attachment 2. The first year focused on the northernmost zone with some modifications. This second year focuses on the northern-central zone with several changes. The work generally consists of patch paving, crack sealing, the application of a slurry seal treatment, installation of updated traffic striping and pavement markings, and related work. Changes from the five-year plan include: use of more cost-effective treatments, such as a slurry seal; installation of quick-build pedestrian and bikeway improvements; red curb markings within 20 feet of an approach to a crosswalk on collectors and arterials to formalize the California Daylighting law (AB 413) prohibiting parking in these areas; and traffic signing and striping enhancements at specific intersections throughout the City in response to requests from community members and City staff evaluations. Additionally, due to increased bid prices as described in the [June 17, 2025, Agenda Bill](#) for last year's project, the number of street segments had to be reduced, as shown in Attachment 3.

Below is the list of the 16 street segments and 16 intersections that are part of the base Project.

Street	Begin	End
Blake St	San Pablo Ave	Elm St
Blake St	Norvell St	Ganges Ave
Cutting Blvd	Key Blvd	Fairview Ave
Elm St	Blake St	Potrero Ave

Elm St	Key Blvd	Cutting Blvd
Everett St	Blake St	Potrero Ave
Ganges Ave	Fairview Ave	Blake St
Ganges Ct	Ganges Ave	End
Hill St	Liberty St	Elm St
Kearney St	Blake St	Potrero Ave
Key Blvd	Knott St	Elm St
Liberty St	Blake St	Potrero Ave
Navellier St	Blake St	Potrero Ave
Norvel St	Blake St	Potrero Ave
Potrero Ave	San Pablo Ave	Arlington Blvd
Willson Way	Cutting Blvd	Ganges Ave
<b>Intersection</b>		
San Pablo Ave/Knott St		
San Pablo Ave/Jefferson St		
San Pablo Ave/Schmidt Ln		
San Pablo Ave/Waldo Ave		
San Pablo Ave/Stockton Ave		
San Pablo Ave/San Diego St		
Arlington Blvd/Madera Cir		
Ashbury Ave/Eureka Ave		
Ashbury Ave/Fairmount Ave		
Ashbury Ave/Lincoln Ave		
Ashbury Ave/ EC High School		
Eureka Ave/Kearney St		
Eureka Ave/Liberty St		
Fairmount Ave/Behrens St		
Stockton Ave/Kearney St		
Stockton Ave/Lexington Ave		

Consistent with the City’s Active Transportation Plan and Complete Streets Policy, the Project will implement many quick-build pedestrian and bikeway improvements. Routine pavement resurfacing offers a cost-effective opportunity to implement these types of improvements. Because the pavement resurfacing operations remove existing traffic striping and pavement marking, the City can readily add features such as enhanced traffic striping, pavement markings, flexible posts, and low-profile speed bumps to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists. Quick-build pedestrian improvements will be implemented at various intersections of collector and arterial roadways and include painted curb bulb-outs and medians to narrow travel lanes and calm traffic, in addition to typical high-visibility crosswalk signs and markings. Bikeway improvements will be implemented on the following street segments:

- Potrero Ave between San Pablo Ave and Ohlone Greenway – Bike Lanes
- Potrero Ave between Ohlone Greenway and Navellier St – Shared Bike Route
- Blake St between San Pablo Ave and Navellier St - Bike Boulevard
- Cutting Blvd between Jordan Ave and Wilson Way, Wilson Way, Ganges Ave between Wilson Way to Blake St and Navellier St between Blake St and Potrero Ave – Shared Bike Route

City staff incorporated these features into the Project in time to advertise for bids in early spring and maximize bidder interest. Because this is a routine resurfacing project, not a full street improvement project, parking removal is limited to specific locations beyond those already restricted under California’s Daylighting Law (AB 413) to improve pedestrian safety. Staff will continue refining the quick-build improvements after providing 300-foot notifications to residents and property owners before the contractor begins this portion of the work.

In order to maintain flexibility within the bid and award process to account for available funding, the following street segments were identified as Additive Alternates to the Base Bid:

- Additive Alternate Bid No. 1 — Arlington Blvd from Cutting Blvd to Madera Dr
- Additive Alternate Bid No. 2 — Glen Mawr Ave, Downey Pl, Hudson St, Kenilworth Ave, and Snowdon Ave.
- Additive Alternate Bid No. 3 — Carol Ct, Julian Ct, Julian Dr, Liberty, and Walnut St.

The Notice Inviting Bids for the Project was advertised in the West County/East Bay Times on April 9, 13, and 20, 2026. Additionally, the notice along with a complete set of Contract Documents was sent to Builder and Construction Exchanges in the Bay Area and Northern California and made available for purchase through an online planroom used by the City. The notice was also posted on the City’s website. A non-mandatory pre-bid conference was held on April 21, 2026. One addendum was issued.

**ANALYSIS**

One bid was received on the advertised bid opening date and time of April 30, 2026, at 2 p.m. The bid was publicly opened and read by the City Clerk. The bid result is summarized below.

<b>Bidder</b>	<b>Base Bid</b>	<b>Additive Alternate Bid No. 1</b>	<b>Additive. Alternate Bid No. 2</b>	<b>Additive Alternate Bid No. 3</b>
Pavement Coatings Co., Woodland	\$1,672,968.72	\$2,708,079.36*	\$152,587.95	\$111,263.49
<i>Engineer’s Estimate</i>	<i>\$1,387,276.36</i>	<i>\$134,487.50</i>	<i>\$136,885.00</i>	<i>\$81,605.00</i>

\*Per the Contract Documents, in case of a variation between the unit price and the totals shown by the bidder, the unit price will be considered to be the bid price. City staff conformed the bid total accordingly, thus significantly increasing the total from \$168,729.36 to \$2,708,079.36.

Pavement Coatings submitted a Base Bid Total of \$1,672,968.72, which is approximately 21% above the Engineer's Estimate. Under the Contract Documents, the Base Bid Total determines the low bidder. This is the second City project subject to the [Project Stabilization Agreement \(PSA\)](#), also known as a Project Labor Agreement, approved by the City Council on [August 16, 2022 \(Resolution 2022-66\)](#). The PSA is an agreement with the Contra Costa Building and Construction Trades Council and its affiliated Unions to establish the terms and conditions of employment for work on public projects with an Engineer's Estimate exceeding \$1 million. The City Council approved the agreement in the interest of facilitating the delivery of City construction projects with minimal labor-related disruptions which would adversely impact the public and/or delay the project, being proactive regarding assuring quality wages on such projects, supporting and procuring from local businesses, and protecting and increasing quality jobs for local residents.

However, at the time of the PSA's approval, it was acknowledged that its implementation could adversely affect the City's bidding process by limiting the number of contractors that would submit bids, thereby potentially increasing the overall cost of projects. Recent bidding processes in other jurisdictions with PSAs have resulted in higher bid pricing as well. City staff have considered two options:

1. Reject all bids, value-engineer the Project, and go out to bid again; or
2. Following consultation with the City Attorney, initiate negotiations with Pavement Coatings to reduce the total cost of the Project, given that they were the sole responsible and responsive bidder. This approach is permissible since the City has completed the competitive bidding process and received only one bid from a responsible and responsive bidder.

Considering the implementation of the PSA can be projected to increase costs by 30%, along with other uncertainties in the bidding climate, limited time window for construction within the current year, and the need to resurface these streets before they continue to deteriorate, and the scope and cost of pavement repair work significantly increases, City staff initiated negotiations with Pavement Coatings with the goal of keeping the project cost near \$1.8 million within the available project funding. The negotiations resulted in a slight reduction in unit pricing for the Base Bid reducing the total cost by \$27,847.17 to \$1,645,121.55 and a significant adjustment in the unit pricing for Additive Alternate No. 1 bringing it to \$171,294.36.

City staff proposes that the City Council award the construction contract in the amount of \$1,816,415.91 for the Base Bid and Additive Alternate No. 1 to Pavement Coatings as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. City staff also recommends that the City Council approve potential change orders in an amount not to exceed \$182,000 for the construction of the Project.

## **STRATEGIC PLAN CONSIDERATIONS**

This action supports the [City's Strategic Plan Goal\(s\)](#) of:

- *Community Safety; and*
- *Infrastructure and Amenities.*

## **ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The Project is categorically exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) because the Project is a repair to an existing facility involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that presently existing. More specifically, restoration or rehabilitation of deteriorated or damaged facilities to meet current standards of public health and safety, unless it is determined that the damage was substantial and resulted from an environmental hazard such as an earthquake, landslide, or flood, is exempt from CEQA.

## **FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Funding for construction of the Project is included in the Proposed Fiscal Year 2026-27 Budget and Capital Improvement Program under the Street Improvement Program in the Measure A Street Improvement Fund (211).

## **LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The City Attorney has reviewed the proposed actions and found that legal considerations have been addressed.

### **Reviewed by:**



Karen Pinkos, City Manager

### **Attachments:**

1. Resolution
2. 5-Year Plan
3. Project Locations

## RESOLUTION 2026-XX

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO ACKNOWLEDGING THE SOLE BIDDER, PAVEMENT COATING CO. (PAVEMENT COATINGS), AS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER, FOR THE 2026 SURFACE SEAL PROJECT, CITY PROJECT NO. C3027.26 (PROJECT); ACCEPTING THE NEGOTIATED PRICE FROM PAVEMENT COATINGS; AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,816,415.91 WITH PAVEMENT COATINGS AND TO APPROVE POTENTIAL CHANGE ORDERS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$182,300

WHEREAS, the 2026 Surface Seal Project, City Project No. C3027.26 is a component of the Measure A funded Street Improvement Program; and

WHEREAS, the work generally consists of patch paving, crack sealing, the application of a slurry seal treatment, installation of enhanced traffic striping, markings, and delineators, and other related work on 16 street segments and 16 intersections; and

WHEREAS, the Notice Inviting Bids for the Project was advertised in the West County/East Bay Times on April 9, 13, and 20, 2026 and posted on the City's website; and

WHEREAS, the notice and complete set of Contract Documents were sent to Builder and Construction Exchanges and the Contract Documents were made available to contractors through an online planroom; and

WHEREAS, a non-mandatory pre-bid meeting was held on April 21, 2026, and one addendum was issued; and

WHEREAS, one bid was received by the advertised bid opening date and time of April 30, 2026 at 2 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, Pavement Coatings submitted the sole, responsible and responsive bid, with a Base Bid Total, which the Contract Documents indicated would determine the low bidder, in the amount of \$1,672,968.72, and it was significantly above the Engineer's Estimate (21%); and

WHEREAS, the Additive Alternative No. 1 Bid Total contained a variation between the unit price and the total shown by Pavement Coatings; in these cases, the Contract Documents specify that the unit price will be considered to be the bid price and the bid total was conformed accordingly thus significantly increasing the total from \$168,729.36 to \$2,708,079.36; and

WHEREAS, City staff in consultation with the City Attorney determined that negotiating with Pavement Coatings to reduce the cost of the Project was in the best interest of the City and permissible under the Public Contract Code because the City had completed the competitive bidding process and received only one bid from a responsive and responsible bidder; and

WHEREAS, the negotiations resulted in unit pricing reductions and adjustments to lower the total cost of the Base Bid by \$27,847.17 for a total cost of \$1,645,121.55 and Additive Alternative No. 1 to \$171,294.36; and

WHEREAS, funding for construction of the Project is included in the Fiscal 2026-27 Budget and Capital Improvement Program, under the Street Improvement Program in the Measure A Street Improvement Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Project is categorically exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) because the project is a repair to an existing facility involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that presently existing.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of El Cerrito that it hereby:

- 1) Acknowledges the sole bidder, Pavement Coating Co. (Pavement Coatings), as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder for the 2026 Surface Seal Project, City Project No. C3027.26 (Project);
- 2) Accepts the negotiated price from Pavement Coatings; and
- 3) Authorizes the City Manager to execute a contract with Pavement Coating in the amount of \$1,816,415.91 and to approve potential change orders in an amount not to exceed \$182,000 for the construction of the Project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage and adoption.

I CERTIFY that at a regular meeting on June 16, 2026 the City Council of the City of El Cerrito passed this Resolution by the following vote:

AYES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
NOES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSTAIN:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSENT:	COUNCILMEMBERS:

IN WITNESS of this action, I sign this document and affix the corporate seal of the City of El Cerrito on\_\_\_\_\_.


\_\_\_\_\_  
Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabe Quinto, Mayor


# ATTACHMENT 2






## 5-Year Workplan



ATTACHMENT 3

# 2026 SLURRY SEAL PROJECT CITY OF EL CERRITO CITY PROJECT NO. C3027.26



-  BASE BID
-  ADDITIVE ALTERNATE 1
-  ADDITIVE ALTERNATE 2
-  ADDITIVE ALTERNATE 3
-  BASE BID - MISC STRIPING LOCATIONS



## AGENDA BILL

Agenda Item No. 7.J.

**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** Paul Keith, Chief of Police, El Cerrito Police Department  
**Subject:** Spending Authorization for Contra Costa County Forensic Services Division

---

### **ACTION PROPOSED**

Authorize the City Manager or designee to spend up to \$70,000 on forensic services completed by the Contra Costa County Forensic Services Division in the current fiscal year, ending June 30, 2026.

### **BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS**

The City has a contract with Contra Costa County Forensic Services Division to process evidence for most El Cerrito Police Department Cases. These services include DNA analysis, blood analysis, fingerprint analysis, ballistics, and other specialized services. The current budget allocated \$70,000 for forensic analysis of El Cerrito Police evidence. The ECPD has already incurred over \$44,000 in evidence services, with additional evidence work needed in the current fiscal year. The additional evidence work is expected to exceed the City Manager's spending authority of \$45,000.

The Contra Costa County Forensic Services Division is the primary crime laboratory used by law enforcement agencies in Contra Costa County, and provides services based on the set schedule of fees. Staff believes all remaining evidence work in the current fiscal year will be accomplished within the existing budget of \$70,000. Staff is requesting spending authority in an amount not to exceed the amount of \$70,000 in the existing Police Department budget, for crime laboratory services with the Contra Costa County Forensic Services Division.

### **STRATEGIC PLAN CONSIDERATIONS**

This action supports the City's Strategic Plan Goal(s) of:

- *Community Safety* by ensuring evidence in El Cerrito crimes is examined by professional and experienced criminalists.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This section is not applicable to this agenda item.

### **FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Funding is allocated for evidence processing in the Police Department Investigations Division budget for the 2025/2026 Fiscal Year.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This item has been reviewed and approved by the City Attorney.

**Reviewed by:**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Karen Pinkos".

Karen Pinkos, City Manager

**Attachments:**

1. Resolution

RESOLUTION 2026-XX

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER OR DESIGNEE TO SPEND UP TO \$70,000 ON FORENSIC SERVICES COMPLETED BY THE CONTRA COSTA COUNTY FORENSIC SERVICES DIVISION IN THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR, ENDING JUNE 30, 2026

WHEREAS, the City of El Cerrito relies on the Contra Costa County Forensic Services Division for crime laboratory services; and

WHEREAS, the City of El Cerrito established an annual budget for forensic services; and

WHEREAS, the costs of evidence processing in the current fiscal year exceed the spending authority of the City Manager; and

WHEREAS, additional evidence processing this fiscal year will remain within the established budget for evidence services.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of El Cerrito that it hereby authorizes the City Manager or designee to spend up to \$70,000 on forensic services completed by the Contra Costa County Forensic Services Division in the current fiscal year, ending June 30, 2026.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage and adoption.

I CERTIFY that at a regular meeting on June 16, 2026 the City Council of the City of El Cerrito passed this Resolution by the following vote:

AYES: COUNCILMEMBERS:  
NOES: COUNCILMEMBERS:  
ABSTAIN: COUNCILMEMBERS:  
ABSENT: COUNCILMEMBERS:

IN WITNESS of this action, I sign this document and affix the corporate seal of the City of El Cerrito on\_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabe Quinto, Mayor



## AGENDA BILL

Agenda Item No. 7.K.

**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** Roland Lambert, Associate Engineer; Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department  
**Subject:** Urgent Repair of Storm Drain Pipe at Cerrito Vista Park Tennis Courts

---

### **ACTION PROPOSED**

Adopt a resolution determining the existence of an emergency and the need to perform emergency repairs to a storm drain pipe at the Cerrito Vista Park tennis courts and authorizing the City Manager to negotiate and execute an agreement with FJ&I Engineering in the amount not to exceed \$97,500 and to approve potential change orders up to \$10,000 for such emergency repair work.

### **BACKGROUND**

In March 2026, a 10-foot-deep sinkhole formed at the Cerrito Vista Park tennis courts. City staff engaged the City's on-call geotechnical engineering consultant to investigate the issue due to the historic landslide in this area. Based on its recommendation, staff arranged for an exploratory spot excavation. In April 2026, City staff requested cost proposals for this work from two contractors: FJ&I Engineering (\$10,000) and A3 Pipeline (\$12,445). The Uniform Public Construction Cost Accounting Act (Act), as set forth in the California Public Contract Code and implemented through Chapter 16.35 of the El Cerrito Municipal Code, allows local agencies to streamline procurement for smaller public projects. As of January 2025, public projects under \$75,000 may be completed through a negotiated contract without a formal bidding process. Accordingly, staff entered into a contract with FJ&I for this work in compliance with the Act.

The exploratory work revealed that a storm drain pipe approximately 17 feet below the tennis courts had ruptured, allowing surrounding soil to enter the pipe and create the sinkhole. To determine the full scope of repairs, City staff directed FJ&I to perform a video inspection of the storm drain system in the area and expose additional storm drain facilities (at an additional cost of \$16,750). This additional work showed that an existing 15-inch storm drain pipe is failing and filled with silt and that the full length of the pipe—approximately 325 feet—would need to be repaired.

City staff consulted with an on-call civil engineering consultant regarding repair options for the 325-foot pipe segment. Staff determined that pipe bursting and installation of a new 12-inch pipe would be the most cost-effective solution because the system's capacity in this area is constrained by the downstream pipe. In May 2026, City staff requested cost proposals for this work from two contractors: FJ&I Engineering and A3 Pipeline, which submitted proposals in the amount of \$97,500 and \$93,830, respectively.

## ANALYSIS

Although A3 Pipeline's proposal is slightly lower than FJ&I's proposal (4%), FJ&I's proposal is competitively priced and staff believe that it is in the City's best interest to continue working with FJ&I because they are already mobilized and familiar with the current condition of the affected storm drain system. Because the cost of the repair work is above \$75,000, a competitive bidding process in accordance with the Act would need to be undertaken. This process is estimated to take about 10 to 12 weeks. Leaving the storm drain pipe with significant depth in open trench and in an unrepaired state for a prolonged period of time would constitute a public safety, health and welfare hazard. Waiving the competitive bidding process and continuing on the current course to negotiate and execute an agreement could be done within about two weeks. Public Contract Code Sections 20168 and 22050 permit a city to forego formal competitive bidding requirements if its city council passes a resolution by a four-fifths vote declaring that the public interest and necessity demand immediate expenditures of city funds to safeguard life, health, or property, without giving notice for bids to let contracts.

Due to the emergency nature of the storm drain repair work, because of the potential health, safety, and welfare impacts on the public and further damage to City property, staff recommends that the City Council find that substantial evidence establishes that the emergency conditions at Cerrito Vista Park do not permit delay resulting from competitive solicitation for bids for the repair work and that immediate repair is necessary to respond to the emergency. Staff requests that the City Council authorize the City Manager to negotiate and enter into an agreement with FJ&I in an amount not to exceed \$97,500 and to approve potential change orders up to \$10,000.

As required by Public Contract Code section 22050(c)(1), this emergency repair work will be placed back on the future regular City Council meeting for the City Council to review this emergency action and determine, by a four-fifths vote, whether there is a need to continue the action, until such emergency repairs have been completed and the project terminated.

## STRATEGIC PLAN CONSIDERATIONS

This action supports the [City's Strategic Plan Goal\(s\)](#) of:

- *Community Safety; and*
- *Infrastructure and Amenities*

## ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

The project is categorically exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15301 because the project involves a repair to an existing facility involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that presently existing. More specifically, restoration or rehabilitation of deteriorated or damaged facilities to meet current standards of public health and safety, unless it is determined

that the damage was substantial and resulted from an environmental hazard such as an earthquake, landslide, or flood, is exempt from CEQA. Furthermore, the Cerrito Vista Park storm drain repair is statutorily exempt pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15269 as an emergency project.

**FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Funding for the emergency work to repair a storm drain pipe at the Cerrito Vista Park tennis courts is available in the Fiscal Year 2025-26 Budget in the Storm Drain Fund (205), under the Public Works Maintenance Budget (30-30) in the amount of \$35,000 and Capital Outlay-Storm Drain Repair Program (90-50) in the amount of \$72,500.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The City Attorney has reviewed the proposed actions and found that legal considerations have been addressed. As noted above, a four-fifths vote of the City Council is required to pass the attached resolution.

**Reviewed by:**



Karen Pinkos, City Manager

**Attachments:**

1. Resolution

## RESOLUTION 2026-XX

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO ADOPTING FINDINGS DETERMINING THE EXISTENCE OF AN EMERGENCY AND THE NEED TO PERFORM EMERGENCY REPAIRS FOR A STORM DRAIN PIPE AT THE CERRITO VISTA PARK TENNIS COURTS AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH FJ&I ENGINEERING IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$97,500 AND TO APPROVE POTENTIAL CHANGE ORDERS UP TO \$10,000 FOR SAID EMERGENCY WORK

WHEREAS, in March 2026, a 10-foot-deep sinkhole formed at the Cerrito Vista Park tennis courts; and

WHEREAS, in April 2026, City staff requested cost proposals for exploratory work in accordance with the Uniform Public Construction Cost Accounting Act of the California Public Contract Code for projects under \$75,000, as called for in Chapter 16.35 of the El Cerrito Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the exploratory work showed that an existing storm drain pipe is failing and filled with silt and that the full length of the pipe—approximately 325 feet—would need to be repaired, and

WHEREAS, in May 2026, City staff requested cost proposals for repair work from two contractors: FJ&I Engineering (FJ&I) and A3 Pipeline, who submitted proposals in the amount of \$97,500 and \$93,830, respectively; and

WHEREAS, although A3 Pipeline's proposal is slightly lower (4%), it demonstrates FJ&I's proposal is competitively priced and City staff believe it is in the City's best interest to continue working with FJ&I because they are already mobilized and familiar with the current condition of the affected storm drain system; and

WHEREAS, there is an urgent concern for the potential health, safety, and welfare impacts of the sinkhole and failing storm drain pipe on the public and damage to City property; and

WHEREAS, the California Public Contract Code generally requires contracts for public works in excess of the statutory amount to be competitively bid pursuant to a formal, noticed bid process; and

WHEREAS, Public Contract Code Section 20168 permits bidding to be dispensed with and an emergency expenditure to be made when the City Council determines, by passing a resolution by four-fifths vote, that the public interest and necessity demand the immediate expenditure of public money to safeguard life, health, or property; and

WHEREAS, Public Contract Code Section 22050 authorizes in the case of an emergency a public agency, pursuant to a four-fifths vote of its governing body, to repair or replace a public facility, take any directly related and immediate action required by that

emergency, and procure the necessary equipment, services, and supplies for those purposes without giving notice for bids to let contracts; and

WHEREAS, the above projects are categorically exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) because the projects involve a repair to an existing facility involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that presently existing.

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of El Cerrito hereby finds as follows:

- A. Pursuant to California Public Contract Code Section 20168, the public interest and necessity demand the immediate commencement of the above-described work for the storm drain pipe at the Cerrito Vista Park tennis courts and the expenditure of public money for such work to safeguard life, health, and property; and
- B. The time required for a competitive bidding process is estimated to take about 10 to 12 weeks; while waiving the competitive bidding process and continuing on the current course to negotiate and execute an agreement is estimated to take approximately two weeks; and
- C. Pursuant to California Public Contract Code Section 22050, substantial evidence as set forth in the record concerning this resolution establishes that the emergency conditions at the Cerrito Vista Park do not permit delay resulting from competitive solicitation for bids for the storm drain repair work and that the repair work is necessary to respond to the emergency; and
- D. Competitive bidding of the emergency work at Cerrito Vista Park would create delays leaving the storm drain pipe with significant depth in unrepaired and open trench state, jeopardize the health, safety and welfare of the public, and risk further damage to City property, and result in the public incurring additional expense, including, but not limited to, additional expense due to delay and further damage, and therefore competitive bidding of such work would not produce an advantage for the public; and
- E. The emergency work at the storm drain pipe at Cerrito Vista Park in the City is also statutorily exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15301.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of El Cerrito, by at least a fourth-fifths vote, that it hereby finds as follows:

- 1. The above recitals are true and correct and hereby declared to be findings of the City Council of the City of El Cerrito.
- 2. The award of a contract for the emergency repair work as ordered and proposed at Cerrito Vista Park in the City is exempt from California Public Contract Code competitive bidding requirements pursuant to California Public Contract Code Sections 20168 and 22050 and the established common-law doctrine articulated in the case of *Graydon v. Pasadena Redevelopment Agency* (1980) 104 Cal.App.3d 631.

3. The City Manager is authorized to negotiate and execute an agreement with FJ&I necessary to repair the storm drain pipe at Cerrito Vista Park and address the emergency conditions described herein, in an amount not to exceed \$97,500 and to approve change orders not to exceed \$10,000, as approved to form by the City Attorney, and to take any other related action necessary to further the intent of this Resolution.
4. City staff is directed, in accordance with California Public Contract Code Section 22050(c)(1), to place on future regular agendas of the City Council an item concerning the agreement authorized pursuant to this resolution so that the City Council may determine, by at least a four-fifths vote, whether there is a need to continue the emergency work described above or whether such work may be terminated.
5. Each portion of this resolution is severable. Should any portion of this resolution be adjudged to be invalid and unenforceable by a body of competent jurisdiction, then the remaining resolution portions shall be and continue in full force and effect, except as to those resolution portions that have been adjudged invalid. The City Council hereby declares that it would have adopted this resolution and each section, subsection, clause, sentence, phrase, and other portion thereof, irrespective of the fact that one or more section, subsection, clause sentence, phrase, or other portion may be held invalid or unconstitutional.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage and adoption.

I CERTIFY that at a regular meeting on June 16, 2026 the City Council of the City of El Cerrito passed this Resolution by the following 4/5 vote:

AYES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
NOES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSTAIN:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSENT:	COUNCILMEMBERS:

IN WITNESS of this action, I sign this document and affix the corporate seal of the City of El Cerrito on \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabe Quinto, Mayor



## AGENDA BILL

Agenda Item No. 7.L.

**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** Yvetteh Ortiz, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Public Works Department  
**Subject:** SB 1 - The Road Repair and Accountability Act, List of Projects for Fiscal Year 2026-27

---

### **ACTION PROPOSED**

Approve a resolution adopting a list of projects for Fiscal Year 2026-27 to receive funding from Senate Bill 1 – The Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 through the Local Streets and Roads Program.

### **BACKGROUND**

Senate Bill 1 (SB 1) – The Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, was passed by the California Legislature and signed into law by the Governor in April 2017 to address the significant multi-modal transportation funding shortfalls statewide. SB 1 provided the first significant, stable, and ongoing increase in state transportation funding in more than two decades by increasing per gallon fuel excise taxes, increasing diesel fuel sales taxes and vehicle registration fees, stabilizing the problematic price-based fuel tax rates, and providing for inflationary adjustments to tax rates in future years. Beginning in November 2017, the new funding was deposited into the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA), and a percentage of this new funding is apportioned monthly by formula by the State Controller pursuant to eligible cities and counties for basic road maintenance, rehabilitation, and critical safety projects on local streets and roads. This funding, along with associated requirements described below, is known as the Local Streets and Roads Program (LSRP).

SB 1 emphasizes the importance of accountability and transparency in the delivery of California's transportation programs. Therefore, to be eligible for SB 1 LSRP funding, the statute requires cities to provide basic annual project reporting to the California Transportation Commission (Commission) to ensure that El Cerrito residents are aware of the projects proposed for funding and those completed each fiscal year. Prior to receiving an apportionment of LSRP funds from the Controller in a fiscal year, a city must adopt by resolution a list of projects to be funded with RMRA revenues and submit it to the Commission. LSRP funds must be prioritized for expenditure on basic road maintenance and rehabilitation projects, and on critical safety projects. More specifically, LSRP allocations must be used for projects that include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Road maintenance and rehabilitation
- Safety projects

- Railroad grade separations
- Traffic control devices
- Complete street components (including active transportation purposes, pedestrian and bicycle safety projects, transit facilities, and drainage and storm-water capture projects in conjunction with any other allowable project).
- LSRP funds may also be used to satisfy a match requirement to obtain state or federal funds for eligible projects.

SB 1 requires a maintenance of effort (MOE) for funds allocated through the LSRP to ensure that these new funds do not supplant existing levels of General Fund (discretionary) spending on streets and roads. The Controller computed the official MOE requirements for all local agencies based on a review of General Fund spending for the period of July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2012. In February 2018, the Controller determined the average annual expenditure from the General Fund for street purposes to be \$279,446 for the City of El Cerrito. This amount is a portion of Public Works Department expenditures within the General Fund and will be the City's MOE for SB 1 moving forward.

### **ANALYSIS**

As indicated above, prior to receiving an apportionment of LSRP funds from the Controller in each fiscal year, a city must submit to the Commission a list of projects proposed to be funded with these funds pursuant to an adopted resolution. The list must include for each project: description, location, schedule, and estimated useful life. The project list does not limit the flexibility of a city to spend on projects in accordance with local needs and priorities, so long as the projects are consistent with LSRP priorities and expenditures are reported on an annual basis.

The City has, through various efforts, undergone a robust public process to ensure public input into our community's transportation priorities, including, as part of the development of the City's Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan adopted by the City Council in 2009, the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan adopted by the City Council in 2014 and updated in 2022, the Active Transportation Plan adopted by the City Council in 2016, and the five-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) adopted by the City Council on a biennial basis. The City has significant needs for maintaining, repairing, rehabilitating, and improving pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicle infrastructure, which includes sidewalks, street pavement, traffic signal and street light equipment, and traffic signs. City staff reviewed the numerous transportation infrastructure needs and priorities identified in these plans, the CIP, and ongoing maintenance services and repairs to develop the proposed project list.

SB 1 transportation funding is limited relative to the City's substantial transportation needs, especially considering that a portion of SB 1 funding was needed to backfill previous declines in the Highway Users Tax Account (also known as Gas Tax) revenues in relation to increasing expenses.

Gas Tax revenues have been sensitive to the price and volume of motor fuel purchases and were unstable and began declining in Fiscal Year 2013-14 as fuel prices were decreasing and drivers migrated to alternative fuel vehicles. Detailed information regarding Gas Tax and SB 1 revenues is provided in the California Local Government Finance Almanac, principal fiscal policy advisor for the League of California Cities, provided as an attachment to this report.

The RMRA revenues are now enabling the City to continue providing essential street maintenance services, to address deferred transportation infrastructure repair and safety projects and to implement active transportation (pedestrian and bicycle) improvement projects that would not have been possible without SB 1. Two newly proposed projects are ongoing City collaborations with Caltrans to improve safety and access for pedestrians and bicyclists on facilities controlled by Caltrans including State Route 123 (San Pablo Avenue) and the Central Avenue undercrossing at I-80. Seven projects adopted by the City Council in the prior fiscal years will continue to use LSRP funds. These include three annual maintenance programs for sidewalks, traffic signals, signs, and street lights, along with capital improvement projects for access modifications, active transportation, and complete streets projects. City staff propose the following projects be partially or solely funded by SB 1:

#### Proposed Projects

- SR-123 Pavement Rehabilitation Project
- I-80 / Central Avenue Undercrossing Bikeway

#### Previously Adopted Projects

- Active Transportation Program
- Richmond Street Complete Streets Improvements
- Del Norte Transit Oriented Development (TOD) Complete Streets Improvements
- Access Modifications – Streets & Sidewalk
- Street Light, Traffic Signal & Street Sign Replacement and Upgrades
- Street Light & Traffic Signal Maintenance
- Sidewalk Infrastructure Maintenance

Detailed information about each project is contained in Exhibits A and B to the Resolution. To be eligible for LSRP funding in Fiscal Year 2026-27, the City must submit a resolution adopting the list of projects to the Commission by July 1, 2026.

### **STRATEGIC PLAN CONSIDERATIONS**

This action supports the [City's Strategic Plan Goal\(s\)](#) of:

- *High Performing Organization; and*
- *Infrastructure and Amenities; and*
- *Environmental Sustainability.*

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The proposed action does not require review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) because it is not a project. Adoption of the resolution designates projects for funding with SB 1 funds but does not commit the City to those projects. The resolution is a form of government funding mechanism that does not involve any commitment to any specific project that may result in a potentially significant physical impact on the environment. (CEQA Guidelines Section 15378(b)(4).) If SB 1 funds were used for a purpose that would have such effect, the City would undertake the required CEQA review for that particular project at the earliest feasible time prior to the approval of the project. Some of the projects for which the resolution designates funding are themselves exempt from CEQA because they involve maintenance of existing facilities. (CEQA Guidelines Section 15301). Other projects designated to receive funding have already been reviewed under CEQA.

### **FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The California Local Government Finance Almanac has used California Department of Finance (DOF) estimates to develop city-level estimates of RMRA revenues. These were updated on May 15, 2026, based on the Governor's May Revision to the Proposed 2026-27 Budget (released May 14, 2026). For FY 2026-27, El Cerrito's SB 1 funding is estimated to be \$730,952. The Controller will continuously apportion these SB 1 funds monthly to eligible local agencies using a process and system like that of the Gas Tax.

The SB 1 fund revenues and expenditure appropriations for the projects are being considered by the City Council as part of the FY 2026-27 budget process in separate policy items at the City Council's regular meetings on June 2 and 16, 2026.

### **LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The City Attorney has reviewed the proposed action and found that legal considerations have been addressed.

### **Reviewed by:**



Karen Pinkos, City Manager

### **Attachments:**

1. Resolution
2. Exhibit A to Resolution
3. Exhibit B to the Resolution
4. California Local Government Finance Almanac

RESOLUTION 2026-XX

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO ADOPTING A LIST OF PROJECTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2026-27 TO RECEIVE FUNDING FROM SENATE BILL 1 – THE ROAD REPAIR AND ACCOUNTABILITY ACT OF 2017, THROUGH THE LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS PROGRAM

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 1 (SB 1), the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2017) was passed by the Legislature and signed into law by the Governor in April 2017 to address the significant multi-modal transportation funding shortfalls statewide; and

WHEREAS, SB 1 includes accountability and transparency provisions that will ensure the residents of the City of El Cerrito are aware of the projects proposed for funding in our community and which projects have been completed each fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, the City must adopt by resolution a list of projects proposed to receive fiscal year funding from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA), created by SB 1, specifically through the Local Streets and Roads Program (LSRP), which must include a description and the location of each proposed project, a proposed schedule for the project's completion, and the estimated useful life of the improvement; and

WHEREAS, as of May 15, 2026, the City is anticipated to receive an estimated \$730,952 in RMRA, LSRP funding in Fiscal Year 2026-27 from SB 1; and

WHEREAS, this is the tenth year in which the City is receiving SB 1 funding and it will enable the City to continue essential road maintenance and rehabilitation projects, safety improvements, and increasing access and mobility options for the traveling public that would not have otherwise been possible without SB 1; and

WHEREAS, the City has through various efforts undergone a robust public process to ensure public input into our community's transportation priorities including as part of the development of the City's American with Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan adopted by the City Council in 2009, the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan adopted by the City Council in 2014 and updated in 2022, the Active Transportation Plan adopted by the City Council in 2016, and the five-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) adopted by the City Council on a biennial basis; and

WHEREAS, the City has significant needs in maintaining, repairing, rehabilitating, and improving pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicle infrastructure, which include sidewalks, street pavement, traffic signal and street light equipment, and traffic signs; and

WHEREAS, the City reviewed adopted plans, the CIP, and on-going maintenance services and repairs to develop the SB 1 project list to ensure revenues are being used on the most high-priority and cost-effective projects that also meet the community's priorities for transportation investment; and

WHEREAS, the 2023 California Statewide Local Streets and Roads Needs Assessment found that the City’s street pavement was in “At Lower Risk” condition and this revenue will help us increase the overall quality of our road system and over the next decade will bring our streets and roads into a “Good” condition; and

WHEREAS, the SB 1 project list and overall investment in our local streets and roads infrastructure with a focus on basic maintenance and safety, investing in complete streets infrastructure, and using innovative technology, materials, and practices, will have significant positive co-benefits statewide; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the proposed action does not require review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) because it is not a project. Adoption of the resolution designates projects for funding with SB 1 funds but does not commit the City to those projects. The resolution is a form of government funding mechanism that does not involve any commitment to any specific project that may result in a potentially significant physical impact on the environment. (CEQA Guidelines Section 15378(b)(4).) If SB 1 funds were used for a purpose that would have such effect, the City would undertake the required CEQA review for that particular project at the earliest feasible time prior to the approval of the project. Some of the projects for which the resolution designates funding are themselves exempt from CEQA because they involve maintenance of existing facilities. (CEQA Guidelines Section 15301). Other projects designated to receive funding have already been reviewed under CEQA.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of El Cerrito that:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct and made a part of this resolution.
2. The list of newly proposed projects attached as Exhibit A will be funded in-part or solely with Fiscal Year 2026-27 Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account revenues.
3. The list of previously proposed and adopted projects attached as Exhibit B will be funded in-part or solely with Fiscal Year 2026-27 Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account revenues. With the relisting of these projects in the adopted Fiscal Year 2026-27 resolution, the City is reaffirming to the public and the State our intent to fund these projects with Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account revenues.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage and adoption.

I CERTIFY that at a regular meeting on June 16, 2026 the City Council of the City of El Cerrito passed this Resolution by the following vote:

AYES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
NOES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSTAIN:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSENT:	COUNCILMEMBERS:

IN WITNESS of this action, I sign this document and affix the corporate seal of the City of El Cerrito on\_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabe Quinto, Mayor

**Exhibit A**

**City of El Cerrito**

**Senate Bill (SB) 1 - Local Streets and Roads Program  
Fiscal Year 2026-27 Submission**

**Proposed Project List**

Title	Description	Location	Component	Estimated Project Schedule		Useful Life (years)	
				Start	Complete	Min	Max
SR-123 Pavement Rehabilitation Project	This project will include: Sidewalk and/or Crosswalk Rehab/Repair (Existing)   Sidewalk repair and replacement within City ROW to be completed as part of the Caltrans led project. The City has entered into a Cooperative Agreement for this purpose. Pre-construction work was previously completed under the Access Modifications project.	San Pablo Avenue (SR123) from south to north city limits	Construction	12/2026	12/2027	10	25
I-80 / Central Avenue Undercrossing Bikeway	This project will include: ADA Curb Ramp Installation (New), ADA Curb Ramp Rehab/Repair (Existing), Bicycle Lane (New), Paving and/or Striping Installation (New Safety), Sidewalk and/or Crosswalk Rehab/Repair (Existing)   New off-street separated bicycle and pedestrian path alongside Central Avenue beneath the I-80 overpass, a segment one of the highest priority bikeways in the El Cerrito Active Transportation Plan (ATP). City to provide local share for State Active Transportation Program-funded project being led by Caltrans.	Central Avenue between Pierce St and Jacuzzi St.	Construction	10/2026	12/2027	10	25

**Exhibit B**

**City of El Cerrito**

**Senate Bill (SB) 1 - Local Streets and Roads Program  
Fiscal Year 2026-27 Submission**

**Previously Proposed and Adopted Project List**

Title	Description	Location	Component	Estimated Project Schedule		Useful Life (years)	
				Start	Complete	Min	Max
Active Transportation Program	This project will include: Cost Estimating, Preliminary Design, Project Scope Development   Implement the Active Transportation Plan, adopted by the City Council in April 2016, and includes access, safety and other enhancements to pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure.   Funding is for preliminary engineering and design needed to help us apply for and leverage various grant and external funding sources.	On major Pedestrian & Bicycle Routes as identified in the City's Active Transportation Plan (ATP) including San Pablo Ave, BART to Bay Trail connection along Fairmount Ave-Carlson Blvd-Cerrito Creek path-Lassen St-San Diego St-Belmont Ave-Central Ave, Richmond St, Fairmount Ave, Key Blvd, Arlington Blvd, Lincoln Ave, Cutting Bl, and Potrero Ave. Locations will be selected based on grant funding criteria and prioritization criteria in the ATP.	Pre-Construction	07/2022	06/2030	7	25
Richmond Street Complete Streets Improvements	This project will include: ADA Curb Ramp Rehab/Repair (Existing), Bicycle Lane (New), Cost Estimating, Document Preparation, Landscape Safety Installation (New), Pavement Rehab/Repair (Existing), Paving and/or Striping Installation (New Safety), Paving and/or Striping Rehab/Repair (Existing Safety), Preliminary Design, Project Scope Development, Sidewalk and/or Crosswalk Rehab/Repair (Existing), Storm Drain and/or Culvert Rehab/Repair (Existing), Traffic Signals, Lights, and/or Signage Installation (New Safety), Traffic Signals, Lights, and/or Signage Rehab/Repair (Existing Safety)   Intersection safety and accessibility improvements including curb ramp upgrades, corner bulb-outs, ped-activated flashing beacons, safety lighting, high-visibility signing and striping, and/or raised intersections; bike facility improvements; mid-block traffic calming; rehab and resurface pavement; sidewalk repair; street trees and bioretention facilities; traffic signal upgrades at Elm/Key/Hill; and minor utility adjustments and repairs.	Richmond-Elm Street between Fairmount Avenue and Hill Street/Key Blvd.	Pre-Construction and Construction	10/2021 10/2026	10/2025 12/2027	7	25

Title	Description	Location	Component	Estimated Project Schedule		Useful Life (years)	
				Start	Complete	Min	Max
Del Norte TOD Complete Streets Improvements	This project will include: ADA Curb Ramp Installation (New), ADA Curb Ramp Rehab/Repair (Existing), Bicycle Lane (New), Bicycle Lane Rehab/Repair (Existing), Landscape Safety Installation (New), Landscape Safety Rehab/Repair (Existing), Pavement Rehab/Repair (Existing), Paving and/or Striping Installation (New Safety), Paving and/or Striping Rehab/Repair (Existing Safety), Sidewalk and/or Crosswalk Installation (New), Sidewalk and/or Crosswalk Rehab/Repair (Existing), Storm Drain and/or Culvert Rehab/Repair (Existing), Traffic Signals, Lights, and/or Signage Installation (New Safety), Traffic Signals, Lights, and/or Signage Rehab/Repair (Existing Safety)   Access, circulation & safety improvements for bicyclists, pedestrians, transit users & motorists to support BART and TOD development; accessibility improvements; and lighting, street tree and landscaping improvements. Major elements include new signalized crosswalks, new bikeways, reduction in turning/auxiliary lanes, conversion of one-way to two-way streets, signalization changes on San Pablo Av at Hill Street & Cutting Bl, and stormwater treatment facilities.	San Pablo Avenue from Ohlone Greenway to Potrero Avenue, Eastshore Boulevard from Potrero Avenue to San Pablo Avenue, Hill Street from San Pablo Avenue to Liberty Street, Cutting Boulevard from I-80 to Key Boulevard, and Knott Avenue from San Pablo Avenue to Key Boulevard.	Construction	03/2024	09/2026	7	25
Street Light, Traffic Signal & Street Sign Replacement and Upgrades	This project will include: Procurement of Public Works Equipment, Repair and Servicing of Public Works Equipment   Replacement, repair and upgrades of street light equipment, traffic signal equipment and street signs includes procurement of supplies and materials.	Arterials: Carlson Bl, Central Av, Fairmount Av, San Pablo Av, Ashbury Av, Arlington Bl, Barrett Av, Colusa Av, Cutting Bl, Elm St, Hill St, Key Bl, Moeser Ln, Potrero Av, Richmond St; & Collectors: Alta Punta, Ashbury Av, Avis Dr, Buckingham Dr, Colusa Av, Edwards Av, Eureka Av, Fairview Dr, Ganges Av, Jordan Av, King Dr, Macdonald Av, Manila Av, Navellier St, San Mateo St, SeaView Dr, Shevlin Dr, South 55thSt, Stockton-Terrace Dr, Tulare Av. Locations are to be finalized on an annual basis.	Procurement/ Operational Needs	07/2026	06/2027	10	25
Street Light & Traffic Signal Maintenance	This project will include: Repair and Servicing of Public Works Equipment   Street light and traffic signal on-going maintenance service and response	Citywide for on-going maintenance of 12 traffic signalized intersections on San Pablo Ave, Central Ave, Moeser Ln, Fairmount Ave, Richmond St, and Key Blvd; 526 City-owned street and pedestrian lights on all city streets, and 24 flashing beacons/in-roadway lights/radar speed feedback signs locations on Moeser Ln, Cutting Blvd, Arlington Blvd, San Pablo Ave, Central Ave, Stockton Ave, Potrero Ave, Barrett Ave, Hill St, and Fairmount Ave.	Procurement/ Operational Needs	07/2026	06/2027	10	20

Title	Description	Location	Component	Estimated Project Schedule		Useful Life (years)	
				Start	Complete	Min	Max
Sidewalk Infrastructure Maintenance	This project will include: Project Scope Development, Sidewalk and/or Crosswalk Rehab/Repair (Existing)   Repair sidewalk displacement to remove tripping hazards by concrete cutting and other methods that not requiring sidewalk removal and replacement.	Locations are on major pedestrian routes & as requested: San Pablo Av, Arlington Bl, Ashbury Av, Barrett Av, Central Av, Colusa Av, Cutting Bl, Navellier St, Moeser Ln, Potrero Av, Schmidt Ln. Locations will be finalized based on a methodology that prioritizes locations on major Pedestrian Routes designated in the City's Active Transportation Plan, and based on their proximity to schools, the severity of the sidewalk damage and repair method, and other factors (e.g. requests received).	Pre-Construction and Construction	07/2026 01/2027	12/2026 06/2027	20	25
Access Modifications - Streets & Sidewalks	This project will include: Cost Estimating, Document Preparation, Preliminary Design, Project Scope Development   Remove physical barriers to accessibility along the street right-of-way including at intersections and mid-block. Work includes installation and reconstruction of curb ramps, sidewalk removal and replacement including street tree removal and replacement, and related access modifications.	On major Pedestrian Routes or serving public/transportation facilities and Title III entities such as: Arlington Blvd, Ashbury Ave, Barrett Ave, Central Ave, Colusa Ave, Cutting Blvd, Navellier St, Moeser Ln, Potrero Ave, San Pablo Ave, Schmidt Ln, and Stockton Ave. Locations have yet to be finalized and will be selected based on methodology contained in the City's ADA Transition Plan.	Pre-Construction	07/2026	06/2027	10	25

**Shared Revenue Estimates: State Revenue Allocations to Cities and Counties  
Local Streets and Roads Estimates: 2025-26, 2026-27  
Including Highway Users Tax Account (HUTA) and  
Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA)**

The state of California imposes per-gallon excise taxes on gasoline and diesel fuel, sales taxes on gasoline and diesel fuel and registration taxes on motor vehicles with allocations dedicated to transportation purposes.<sup>1</sup> The local (city and county) portions of these allocations flow through the Highway Users Tax Account (HUTA), the familiar gasoline tax revenues that have been in place for decades, and the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA) which allocates much of the revenue from the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (SB1 Beall).

State taxes transferred to and allocated through the state Highway Users Tax Account (HUTA) include:

- The per gallon “gasoline tax” and “diesel fuel tax” imposed on the use of vehicle fuels.
- The “use fuel tax,” imposed on vendors and users of motor vehicle fuels that are not taxed under either the gasoline or diesel fuel tax, such as liquefied petroleum gas, ethanol, methanol and natural gas (both liquid and gaseous) for use on state highways. Use Fuel Tax rates vary depending on the type of fuel.
- Variable rate per gallon gasoline diesel fuel excise taxes imposed in 2010-11 in a complicated arrangement known as the “fuel tax swap.” This rate replaced the previous Proposition 42 sales tax on gasoline.

Transportation taxes allocated to cities and counties through the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA) established by the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (SB1 Beall) include:

- An additional 12 cent per gallon increase to the gasoline excise tax effective November 1, 2017 with annual inflation adjustments beginning July 1, 2020.
- An additional 20 cent per gallon increase to the diesel fuel excise tax effective November 1, 2017 with half of the revenues going to the state Trade Corridor Enhancement Account (TCEA) and half to the RMRA. Annual inflation adjustments beginning July 1, 2020.
- An additional vehicle registration tax called the “Transportation Improvement Fee” with rates based on the value of the motor vehicle effective January 1, 2018 with annual inflation adjustments beginning July 1, 2020.
- An additional \$100 vehicle registration tax on zero emissions vehicles of model year 2020 or later effective July 1, 2020 with annual inflation adjustments beginning July 1, 2021.

The Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (SB1 Beall) also adopted annual inflationary adjustments beginning July 1, 2020 to all per-gallon motor vehicle fuel excise taxes including the rates allocated through the Highway Users Tax Account (HUTA).

---

<sup>1</sup> Taxes on aircraft jet fuel are transferred to the state Aeronautics Account.

# Highway Users Tax Account (HUTA)

## Revenue Allocations – Streets & Highways Code Sec 2103-2108 “HUTA”

Cities and counties receive revenue from the motor vehicle fuel taxes imposed pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code Section 7360(a) and (b) through the Highway User Tax Account under the following formulas outlined in the Streets and Highways code and illustrated in Figure 1.


**Section 2104.** Section 2104 allocates funds to counties with designated allotments for engineering and administration, snow removal, heavy rainfall / storm damage as well as county streets, roads and public mass transit guideways and facilities.

**Section 2105.** Section 2105(a) allocates 11.5 percent of the tax revenues in excess of 9 cents per gallon (i.e. the Proposition 111 rate) monthly among counties based on population.

Section 2105(b) allocates 11.5 percent of the tax revenues in excess of 9 cents per gallon (i.e. the Proposition 111 rate) monthly among cities based on population.

**Section 2106.** Revenues equal to 1.04 cents per gallon are allocated as follows:

- a. \$7.2 million per year to the State Bicycle Transportation Account.
- b. \$400 per month to each city (\$2,313,600 per year among the 482 eligible cities<sup>2</sup>)
- c. \$800 per month to each county (\$556,800 per year among the 58 counties)
- d. The residual amount to each county and the cities in that county based on registered vehicles. In each county, from this amount, the county receives an allotment based on the share of assessed value of the county which is in the unincorporated area. The remainder is allocated to the cities within the county based on population.



<sup>2</sup> The city of Rolling Hills has only private streets and is therefore not eligible to receive these allocations.

**Section 2107.** This section provides monthly allocations to cities of 1.315 cents per gallon of gasoline, 1.8 cents per gallon of diesel, and 2.59 cents per liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), as follows.

- a. Each city with snow removal costs in excess of \$5,000 is allocated 50 percent of the cost exceeding \$5,000.
- b. The remainder is allocated to cities based on population.

**Section 2107.5.** These funds (about \$2.7 million per year) are allocated to cities annually in July based on population as follows:

<b>Streets &amp; Highway Code §2107.5</b>	
<b>City Population</b>	<b>Annual Allocation</b>
over 500,000	\$ 20,000
100,000 to 500,000	\$ 10,000
50,000 to 99,999	\$ 7,500
25,000 to 49,999	\$ 6,000
20,000 to 24,999	\$ 5,000
15,000 to 19,999	\$ 4,000
10,000 to 14,999	\$ 3,000
5,000 to 9,999	\$ 2,000
less than 5,000	\$ 1,000

Section 2107.5 funds must be used for engineering costs and administrative expenses related to city streets. Cities with populations under 10,000 may also expend the moneys for street construction or acquisition of street rights-of-way.

### **Section 2103 HUTA and the 2010 Gasoline Sales Tax – Excise Tax Swap**

In March 2010 as a part of a special budget session called by Governor Schwarzenegger, the Legislature enacted a swap of state sales taxes on gasoline for a gasoline excise tax. Intended to be “revenue neutral,” the fuel tax swap provided the Legislature with greater flexibility in the use of funds, in particular relieving the general fund from the cost of state transportation debt service payments. The fuel tax swap:

- 1. Repealed the state sales tax on gasoline (local rates including the Bradley Burns are NOT affected);
- 2. Increased the excise tax on gasoline by 17.322 cents and added an annual adjustment mechanism intended to ensure the new excise tax provides, over time, the same amount of revenues expected from the sales tax on gas (no more, no less);
- 3. Increased the sales tax on diesel by 1.75 percent and allocated 75 percent to local transit agencies and 25 percent to state transit programs. The excise tax on diesel was reduced from 18 cents to 13.6 cents. Sales tax revenues from diesel must go to transit funding.
- 4. Provided for a specific allocation of the funds among state and local transportation needs.

Revenues from the new Section 2103 excise tax rate are now allocated as follows:

- 1. State transportation debt service;
- 2. Remainder allocated:
  - a. 44 percent to the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP);
  - b. 12 percent State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP);
  - c. 44 percent evenly split between cities and counties using current HUTA formulas.

Section 2103 funds are allocated to cities on a per capita basis and to counties 75 percent based on the proportion of registered vehicles and 25 percent based on the proportion of maintained county road miles.

The law included expressed legislative intent to fully replace the local streets and road funds cities and counties would have received under Proposition 42 state sales tax on gasoline with allocations from the new higher motor vehicle excise tax (HUTA) rate.

However, the swap created certain revenue effects related to the timing and receipt of revenues. In particular, the law provided that the new excise tax rate be adjusted annually by the BOE to garner an amount of revenues equal to what Prop42 would have provided in the prior year. Thus, the annual Sec 2103 funds were always “looking backward.” If the Section 2103 amounts generated less than Prop42 would have, the difference was made up until the following year.

In the years following the swap, there were a number of snafus and changes in interpretation of the Section 2103 allocation. In FY2011-12 SCO allocated more money to cities and counties and did not fully backfill state transportation programs for weight fees that were used for debt service and loans that those funds would have otherwise received. This was contrary to the Legislature’s intention, but the statute was not clear. The statute was clarified in the 2013 budget to clarify the original intent of the weight fee swap to fully backfill state transportation funds. [Streets & Highways Code 2103 (a)(1)(D)].


### Changes to HUTA Allocated Rates, Including the Variable Rate by the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (SB1 Beall)

In addition to increasing various fuel and motor vehicle registration taxes, the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (SB1 Beall) removed BOE’s discretionary rate setting role over the Section 2103 variable rate and reset the variable rate at 17.3 cents per gallon on July 1, 2019, up from the current 11.7 cents. This adjustment more than doubled Section 2103 revenue allocations for FY2019-20 over the prior year.

With regard to diesel fuel taxes, SB1 also eliminated the price-based adjustment mechanism applied to the diesel fuel tax rate.

Since 2020, gasoline and diesel fuel excise tax rates are increased on July 1 by the change in the California Consumer Price Index from the prior year. These include the 18 cent per gallon base rate, the 17.3 percent per gallon rate, the diesel fuel excise tax rate and vehicle registration taxes.

#### Streets & Highways Code Section 2103 Variable Gas Tax Allocations to Cities and Counties



<b>California Gasoline Excise Tax Rates - Per Gallon</b>				
<b>Effective Date</b>	<b>Base Rate</b>	<b>Sec2103</b>	<b>SB1 Rate</b>	<b>Total</b>
July 1, 2015	\$ 0.180	\$ 0.120		\$ 0.300
July 1, 2016	\$ 0.180	\$ 0.098		\$ 0.278
July 1, 2017	\$ 0.180	\$ 0.117		\$ 0.297
Nov 1, 2017*	\$ 0.180	\$ 0.117	\$ 0.120	\$ 0.417
July 1, 2019	\$ 0.180	\$ 0.173	\$ 0.120	\$ 0.473
July 1, 2020	\$ 0.192	\$ 0.185	\$ 0.128	\$ 0.505
July 1, 2021	\$ 0.194	\$ 0.187	\$ 0.130	\$ 0.511
July 1, 2022	\$ 0.205	\$ 0.197	\$ 0.137	\$ 0.539
July 1, 2023	\$ 0.220	\$ 0.212	\$ 0.147	\$ 0.579
July 1, 2024	\$ 0.227	\$ 0.218	\$ 0.151	\$ 0.596
July 1, 2025	\$ 0.233	\$ 0.224	\$ 0.155	\$ 0.612
July 1, 2026e	\$ 0.241	\$ 0.232	\$ 0.161	\$ 0.634

<b>California Diesel Excise Tax Rates</b>			
<b>Effective Date</b>	<b>Base Rate</b>	<b>SB1 Rate</b>	<b>Total</b>
July 1, 2017	\$ 0.160		\$ 0.160
Nov 1, 2017*	\$ 0.160	\$ 0.200	\$ 0.360
July 1, 2019	\$ 0.160	\$ 0.200	\$ 0.360
July 1, 2020	\$ 0.171	\$ 0.214	\$ 0.385
July 1, 2021	\$ 0.173	\$ 0.216	\$ 0.389
July 1, 2022	\$ 0.182	\$ 0.228	\$ 0.410
July 1, 2023	\$ 0.196	\$ 0.245	\$ 0.441
July 1, 2024	\$ 0.202	\$ 0.252	\$ 0.454
July 1, 2025	\$ 0.207	\$ 0.258	\$ 0.465
July 1, 2026e	\$ 0.214	\$ 0.268	\$ 0.482


**Use of Funds: HUTA**

The use of local Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax funds is restricted by Article XIX of the California State Constitution and by Streets and Highways Code Section 2101. All Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax funds allocated from the Highway Users Tax Account must be expended for the following:

- (a) The research, planning, construction, improvement, maintenance, and operation of public streets and highways (and their related public facilities for nonmotorized traffic), including the mitigation of their environmental effects, the payment for property taken or damaged for such purposes, and the administrative costs necessarily incurred in the foregoing purposes.
- (b) The research and planning for exclusive public mass transit guideways (and their related fixed facilities), the payment for property taken or damaged for such purposes, and the administrative costs necessarily incurred in the foregoing purposes.
- (c) The construction and improvement of exclusive public mass transit guideways (and their related fixed facilities), including the mitigation of their environmental effects, the payment for property taken or damaged for such purposes, the administrative costs necessarily incurred in the foregoing purposes, and the maintenance of the structures and the immediate right-of-way for the public mass transit guideways.
- (d) The payment of principal and interest on voter-approved bonds issued for the purposes specified above.

# Allocation of Gasoline Excise Tax Revenues

rates effective July 1, 2026



(i) The 4.39¢ local share of diesel fuel tax is allocated 1.8¢ to counties and 2.59¢ to cities.  
 (ii) Str&Hwy Code §2106 funds are distributed based on registered vehicles, assessed property valuation, and population.  
 (iii) A portion of funds in State Highway Account is allocated among counties and cities for Regional Transportation Improvement Programs.  
 (iv) County apportionments are based on numbers of registered vehicles and county road mileage.  
 (v) City apportionments are based on population.  
 (vi) Beginning July 1, 2020 and annually thereafter, these rates are subject to an annual cost-of-living adjustment.

## Three Year State General Fund TCRF Loan Repayment Funds

SB1 stipulated the repayment of \$706 million by the state General Fund to transportation funds over three fiscal years: 2017-18, 2018-19, and 2019-20. Under SB1, \$75 million was allocated to local streets and roads from repayments of Transportation Congestion Relief Funds (TCRF) in each of these three years. These funds were allocated half to cities and half to counties with the city funds allocated among cities on a per capita basis, the county funds allocated among counties based on numbers of registered vehicles and county road mileage.

These TCRF revenues may be deposited in local HUTA funds.<sup>3</sup> However, these TCRF funds carried slightly different use requirements than HUTA funds. TCRF funds could be expended only for street and highway maintenance, rehabilitation, reconstruction or storm damage repair. For these purposes,

- “Maintenance” means patching and/or overlay and sealing.
- “Reconstruction” includes any overlay, sealing or widening of the roadway, if the widening is necessary to bring the roadway width to the state minimum standards, but does not include widening for the purpose of traffic capacity.
- “Storm damage repair” is repair or reconstruction of local streets and highways and related drainage improvements that have been damaged due to winter storms and flooding, and construction of drainage improvements to mitigate future roadway flooding and damage problems, in those jurisdictions that have been declared disaster areas by the President of the United States.

## Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA)

### Revenue Allocations – Streets & Highways Code Sec 2031 “RMRA”

The Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (SB1 Beall) represented a significant additional investment in California’s transportation systems of over \$5 billion per year. The Act increased per gallon fuel excise taxes, diesel fuel sales taxes and vehicle registration taxes, stabilized the problematic price-based fuel tax rates and provided for inflationary adjustments to rates in future years. The Act is more than doubling local streets and road funds allocated through the Highway Users Tax Account (HUTA) and through the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA) which it established.

The RMRA receives funds<sup>4</sup> from the following new taxes imposed under the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017:

- A 12 cent per gallon increase to the gasoline excise tax effective November 1, 2017.
- A 20 cent per gallon increase to the diesel fuel excise tax effective November 1, 2017, half of which will be allocated to Trade Corridors Enhancement Account (TCEA) with the remaining half to the RMRA.
- A new vehicle registration tax called the “transportation improvement fee,” effective January 1, 2018, based on the market value of the vehicle.
- An additional new \$100 vehicle registration tax on zero emission vehicles model year 2020 and later effective July 1, 2020.

<sup>3</sup> Note that the “loan repayment” is between the state general fund and state transportation funds. From an accounting standpoint this is NOT a debt repayment to local agencies, it is simply revenue.

<sup>4</sup> In addition, funds from a 4 percent increase in the diesel sales tax to 5.75 percent from the prior 1.75 percent effective November 1, 2017 are allocated to the Public Transportation Account.


- Annual rate increases to these taxes every July 1 (January 1 for the registration fees) for the change in the California Consumer Price Index. The first adjustment made on July 1, 2020 covered CPI change for two years: November 1, 2017 through November 12, 2019.

<b>SB1 Transportation Improvement Fee</b>								
Vehicle Value	Jan 2018	Jan 2020	Jan 2021	Jan 2022	Jan 2023	Jan 2024	Jan 2025	Jan 2026
0 - \$4,999	\$ 25	\$ 27	\$ 27	\$ 28	\$ 29	\$ 32	\$ 32	\$ 33
\$5,000 - \$24,999	\$ 52	\$ 54	\$ 55	\$ 56	\$ 59	\$ 65	\$ 65	\$ 66
\$25,000 - \$34,999	\$ 105	\$ 107	\$ 110	\$ 112	\$ 118	\$ 129	\$ 129	\$ 132
\$35,000 - \$59,999	\$ 157	\$ 161	\$ 165	\$ 168	\$ 177	\$ 194	\$ 194	\$ 198
\$60,000 & over	\$ 183	\$ 188	\$ 192	\$ 196	\$ 206	\$ 227	\$ 227	\$ 231

<b>SB1 Road Improvement Fee (RIF)</b>								
	Jan 2020	Jan 2021	Jan 2022	Jan 2023	Jan 2024	Jan 2025	Jan 2026	
ZEV vehicle*	\$ 100	\$ 101	\$ 103	\$ 108	\$ 118	\$ 118	\$ 121	

\*Zero Emission Vehicles, 2020 or later models.

### Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account Revenues




\* adjusted for inflation annually beginning 7/1/2020  
 \*\* effective 7/1/2020 adjusted for inflation annually beginning 7/1/2021

The Road Repair and Accountability Act directs the State Controller to allocate, on a monthly basis, fifty percent of the revenues in the RMRA to the State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOP) in the State Highway Account and half to cities and counties. But there are a series of specific program allocations, subject to appropriation in the annual state budget, to be taken out first:

1. Administrative costs.
2. \$200 million for the State-Local-Partnership Program for existing and aspiring self-help jurisdictions.
3. \$100 million annually for the Active Transportation Program for the purpose of encouraging increased use of active modes of transportation, such as biking and walking.
4. \$400 million for state bridge and culvert maintenance and rehabilitation.
5. \$25 million for the state’s Freeway Service Patrol program.
6. \$5 million for five years through FY 2021-22 for pre-apprenticeship training programs of the California Workforce Development Board.
7. \$25 million for local planning grants to encourage local and regional planning.
8. \$7 million for transportation research and transportation-related workforce education, training, and development including \$5 million to the University of California and \$2 million to the California State University.

### Allocation of Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account Revenues



(i) County apportionments are based on numbers of registered vehicles and county road mileage.  
 (ii) City apportionments are based on population.

## **Use of Funds: RMRA** [Streets and Highways Code Section 2030]

The use of RMRA local streets and roads funds is similar but, not identical, to HUTA use rules. Pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 2030, RMRA local streets and roads allocations must be used for projects “that include, but are not limited to,” the following

- Road maintenance and rehabilitation
- Safety projects
- Railroad grade separations
- Traffic control devices
- Complete street components, “including active transportation purposes, pedestrian and bicycle safety projects, transit facilities, and drainage and storm-water capture projects in conjunction with any other allowable project.”

RMRA funds may also be used to satisfy a match requirement for projects eligible for state or federal funds.

SB1 also contains non-obligatory intent language regarding the use of funds. “To the extent possible and cost effective,” cities and counties are to use a use:

- advanced recycling techniques that reduce greenhouse gas emissions;
- automotive technologies, ZEV fueling, infrastructure-to-vehicle;
- communications autonomous vehicle systems;
- resiliency re climate change, fires, floods, sea level rise; and
- complete street elements, access for bicycles, pedestrians.

If a city or county has an average Pavement Condition Index that meets or exceeds 80, the city or county may spend its RMRA funds on transportation priorities other than these. [Streets and Highways Code Sec 2037]

## **Maintenance of Effort: RMRA** [Streets and Highways Code Sec 2036]

The Road Repair and Accountability Act contains a local agency maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement that applies to funds allocated through the RMRA. The Act states that the MOE requirement is to ensure that these new roads funds do not supplant existing levels of city and county general revenue spending on streets and roads. The MOE for the receipt of RMRA funds state that a city or county must maintain general fund spending for street, road, and highway purposes at no less than average of 2009–10, 2010–11, and 2011–12 years. In making this calculation an agency may exclude one-time funds. A city or county that fails to comply in a particular year may make it up with in additional expenditures in the following year.

The Act provides that the State Controller may perform audits to ensure compliance with these MOE rules. If the State Controller determines that a city or county has not met its MOE, the agency will be required to reimburse the state for the funds it received during that fiscal year. However, a city or county that fails to comply in a particular fiscal year may expend during that fiscal year and the following fiscal year a total amount that is sufficient to comply. Any funds withheld or returned as a result of a failure to comply will be reapportioned to the other counties and cities whose expenditures are in compliance.

For further guidance, see the State Controller’s “*Guidelines Relating to Gas Tax Expenditures for Cities and Counties.*”

## Spending Plans and Reports: RMRA [Streets and Highways Code Sec 2034]

The Road Repair and Accountability Act stipulates that, prior to receiving RMRA funds in a fiscal year, a city or county must submit to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) a project list pursuant to an adopted budget. The list must include for each project: description, location, schedule, useful life. [Streets and Highways Code Sec 2034(a)]

The Road Repair and Accountability Act also requires that a city or county submit to the CTC an annual report of project completion in order to receive RMRA funds. The report must include descriptions of all projects for which RMRA funds were expended including: description, location, funds expended, completion date, estimated useful life of the project.

## Budgeting and Accounting for RMRA Funds: The ~60 Day Delay

The allocation of Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA) revenues to cities and counties takes many weeks. It takes the State Controller's Office roughly 30 to 60 days from the time of revenue collection by the state, through the various statutory set asides to distribute the funds to cities and counties in monthly allocations. Consequently, the estimates shown in this report are for revenues collected for July through June are not received on a cash basis by local agencies until September through August. That is, for example, the FY2022-23 figure for your agency is revenues collected in and for July 2022 through June 2023 but distributed in monthly allocations September 2022 through August 2023. Cities and counties thus have two choices in the budgeting and accounting of RMRA revenues:

- 1) apply a 60 day accrual policy to RMRA funds (*recommended*); or
- 2) adjust RMRA budgets (especially in FY2017-18) to reflect a distributed cash basis.

## Local Streets and Roads (HUTA and RMRA) Revenue Estimates for FY2025-26 and FY2026-27

We have computed revenue estimates for each city and county using a model reflecting the local allocation formulas, latest population figures used by the State Controller for allocations and estimates by the state Department of Finance (DOF) of statewide HUTA and RMRA tax revenues provided with the **Governor's May Revision of his Proposed 2026-27 Budget (released May 14, 2026)** and analyses of SB1(Beall), The Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017. Compared to their January 2026 projections for 2025-26, DOF statewide revenue estimates show a slight (0.5 percent) downward revision in their RMRA estimate and a slight (0.8 percent) downward revision in HUTA estimate for a 0.7 percent lower total LSR estimate for this current 2025-26 fiscal year.


DOF's May 2026 revised 2026—27 budget year local RMRA estimate is 0.3 percent higher than in January, it's HUTA estimate 0.3 percent lower for an insignificant total LSR change.

DOF now estimates overall LSR allocations to cities and counties for the current 2025-26 year will increase 1.2 percent from prior year. For budget year FY2026-27, DOF estimates total statewide local streets and roads allocations will grow by 2.0 percent.

Fuel consumption was sharply down during the height of the COVID-19 pandemic but has largely recovered to its prior, slightly declining trajectory. Recently, fuel prices have increased steeply but the price of fuel no longer has a direct effect on local streets and roads revenues because all rates are per gallon, regardless of price.

Despite lower fuel consumption, scheduled rate increases stipulated in SB1 have kept local allocations of revenue growing at a modest pace but this growth is expected to continue to flatten. Gas tax revenue collections are forecast to increase 2 percent in 2025-26 and again in 2026-27 but to zero growth by FY 2030-31.

On the other hand, vehicle registration tax revenues from the SB1 vehicle registration tax (“Transportation Improvement Fee”) and the zero emission vehicle registration tax (“Road Improvement Fee”) are forecast to increase by about 6 percent in 2025-26 and again in 2026-27 and sustain this pace of growth over the five year forecast period.



### HUTA (Highway Users Tax Account)

HUTA revenues are allocated to cities and counties pursuant to Sections 2103-2107 of the Streets and Highways Code. The per gallon excise tax rates that provide HUTA revenues are adjusted annually on July 1 as described above. Revenue changes depend on the combined effects of 1) these rate adjustments, 2) taxable gallonage, and 3) the relative change of statutory transfers including the “weight fee offset” that pays state transportation bond debt.

Sec2103-2108 HUTA allocations (not including RMRA) grew 5.5 percent in FY2024-25. But HUTA revenue growth is now flattening and will continue to decline. DOF estimates 1.1 percent HUTA growth in current year 2025-26 and 2.4 percent growth in budget year 2026-27.

### RMRA (Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account)<sup>5</sup>


The Road Repair and Accountability Act (SB1) taxes that provide funding to cities and counties through the RMRA began on November 1, 2017 when the new per-gallon fuel excise taxes took effect. It also includes allocations from the SB1 vehicle registration tax (“Transportation Improvement Fee”) and the zero emission vehicle registration tax (“Road Improvement Fee”) which are expected to grow more robustly and are not

<sup>5</sup> Note that RMRA revenues are delayed 60 days from collection to allocation. That is, the revenues for a July 1 to June 30 fiscal year are allocated September 1 through August 31. These are spendable in the fiscal year because your accounting staff “accrues” them back into your fiscal year.

included in the HUTA allocations. Additionally, various fixed-amount statutory allocations are made from the SB1 tax rates prior to the city and county allocations.

Because of this, city and county RMRA revenues are expected to grow more robustly. DOF estimates 2025-26 revenue will be 1.4 percent higher than 2024-25 actuals and that 2026-27 revenues will grow by 6.9 percent over the current year.

### California Local Streets and Roads Program - State Funds to Cities and Counties



### Looking Ahead: Long Term Estimates of Local Streets and Roads Funding

Since the recovery following the COVID-19 pandemic of 2020, we have seen modest year over year growth in local streets and roads revenues. In future years, as alternative fuel vehicles come more into use, fuel consumption will continue to decline. The SB1 annual cost of living adjustments will help to maintain revenues but we will see decline in revenue growth to below the rate of inflation.

Due to complicated statutory transfers from these revenues before the city and county allocations are made (including the \$1.31 billion transfer for state transportation debt service), actual growth in funding allocated to cities and counties varies somewhat among the various categories of local streets and roads allocations and will not exactly mirror total tax collections. Taking all these factors into account, for the purposes of long-term transportation program planning, we estimate transportation allocations to cities and counties will increase in outyears at the following growth rates:

	<u>2025-26</u>	<u>2026-27</u>	<u>2027-28</u>	<u>2028-29</u>	<u>2029-30</u>	<u>2030-31</u>
HUTA 2103	2.1%	2.8%	1.7%	-0.6%	-0.4%	-0.9%
HUTA 2104	2.0%	2.2%	2.3%	0.9%	0.8%	0.4%
HUTA 2105	-0.7%	2.2%	2.3%	0.9%	0.8%	0.4%
HUTA 2106	-0.1%	2.2%	2.3%	0.9%	0.8%	0.4%
HUTA 2107	-2.6%	2.2%	2.3%	0.9%	0.8%	0.4%
RMRA	1.4%	6.9%	5.9%	4.7%	5.3%	5.3%



- A) Local Streets and Roads - Projected Individual City Revenues – FY2025-26
- B) Local Streets and Roads - Projected Individual City Revenues – FY2026-27
- C) Local Streets and Roads - Projected Individual County Revenues – FY2025-26 (from CSAC)
- D) Local Streets and Roads - Projected Individual County Revenues – FY2026-27 (from CSAC)

# Local Streets and Roads - Projected FY2025-26 Revenues

Based on State Dept of Finance statewide revenue projections

Estimated May 2026

	Highway Users Tax Acct (HUTA) <sup>(1)</sup> Streets & Highways Code					TOTAL HUTA	Road Mntnc Rehab Acct	TOTAL
	Sec2103 <sup>(3)</sup>	Sec2105 <sup>(3)</sup>	Sec2106 <sup>(3)</sup>	Sec2107 <sup>(3)</sup>	Sec2107.5 <sup>(4)</sup>			
<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>								
ANTIOCH	1,124,937	739,351	442,065	987,491	10,000	3,303,844	3,074,813	6,378,657
BRENTWOOD	633,333	416,250	250,978	555,951	7,500	1,864,012	1,731,101	3,595,113
CLAYTON	106,578	70,047	46,227	93,556	3,000	319,409	291,312	610,721
CONCORD	1,209,118	794,679	474,787	1,061,387	10,000	3,549,971	3,304,908	6,854,879
DANVILLE	420,639	276,460	168,303	369,245	6,000	1,240,646	1,149,741	2,390,387
EL CERRITO	250,252	164,475	102,074	219,676	6,000	742,478	684,020	1,426,498
HERCULES	252,622	166,033	102,995	221,756	6,000	749,406	690,497	1,439,904
LAFAYETTE	244,916	160,968	99,999	214,992	6,000	726,875	669,434	1,396,308
MARTINEZ	361,608	237,663	145,358	317,427	6,000	1,068,056	988,392	2,056,448
MORAGA	166,678	109,547	69,588	146,313	4,000	496,125	455,583	951,709
OAKLEY	451,069	296,460	180,131	395,957	6,000	1,329,617	1,232,916	2,562,533
ORINDA	188,043	123,589	77,893	165,068	4,000	558,593	513,983	1,072,576
PINOLE	182,398	119,879	75,699	160,113	4,000	542,089	498,554	1,040,643
PITTSBURG	735,701	483,531	290,768	645,812	7,500	2,163,313	2,010,907	4,174,220
PLEASANT HILL	332,450	218,499	134,024	291,831	6,000	982,803	908,692	1,891,495
RICHMOND	1,116,450	733,774	438,766	980,041	10,000	3,279,031	3,051,616	6,330,647
SAN PABLO	310,227	203,893	125,386	272,323	6,000	917,828	847,949	1,765,777
SAN RAMON	814,931	535,604	321,565	715,362	7,500	2,394,963	2,227,469	4,622,433
WALNUT CREEK	677,249	445,114	268,048	594,502	7,500	1,992,412	1,851,138	3,843,551
<b>DEL NORTE COUNTY</b>								
CRESCENT CITY	64,849	42,621	24,402	56,925	2,000	190,796	177,252	368,048
<b>EL DORADO COUNTY</b>								
PLACERVILLE	104,228	68,502	70,632	91,493	3,000	337,855	284,888	622,743
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	206,375	135,637	135,149	1,981,445	5,000	2,463,606	564,088	3,027,694

# Local Streets and Roads - Projected FY2025-26 Revenues

Based on State Dept of Finance statewide revenue projections

Estimated May 2026

	Highway Users Tax Acct (HUTA) <sup>(1)</sup> Streets & Highways Code					TOTAL HUTA	Road Mntnc Rehab Acct	TOTAL
	Sec2103 <sup>(3)</sup>	Sec2105 <sup>(3)</sup>	Sec2106 <sup>(3)</sup>	Sec2107 <sup>(3)</sup>	Sec2107.5 <sup>(4)</sup>			
<b>MADERA COUNTY</b>								
CHOWCHILLA	185,625	122,000	51,743	162,946	4,000	526,314	507,374	1,033,688
MADERA	640,037	420,657	166,660	561,837	7,500	1,796,690	1,749,427	3,546,117
<b>MARIN COUNTY</b>								
BELVEDERE	20,460	13,447	13,240	17,960	1,000	66,108	55,924	122,032
CORTE MADERA	98,361	64,647	45,375	86,343	3,000	297,726	268,853	566,579
FAIRFAX	73,162	48,085	34,980	64,223	2,000	222,449	199,974	422,423
LARKSPUR	125,998	82,811	56,775	110,603	3,000	379,187	344,393	723,580
MILL VALLEY	136,122	89,465	60,951	119,491	3,000	409,029	372,065	781,094
NOVATO	512,141	336,599	216,062	449,567	7,500	1,521,870	1,399,847	2,921,717
ROSS	22,502	14,789	14,082	19,753	1,000	72,127	61,506	133,634
SAN ANSELMO	123,156	80,943	55,603	108,109	3,000	370,811	336,626	707,437
SAN RAFAEL	593,356	389,976	249,564	520,859	7,500	1,761,256	1,621,833	3,383,089
SAUSALITO	68,576	45,071	33,088	60,198	2,000	208,934	187,441	396,375
TIBURON	87,977	57,822	41,091	77,228	2,000	266,118	240,469	506,588
<b>MARIPOSA COUNTY</b>								
<b>MENDOCINO COUNTY</b>								
FORT BRAGG	69,231	45,502	33,012	60,773	2,000	210,517	189,232	399,749
POINT ARENA	4,431	2,912	6,606	3,890	1,000	18,839	12,112	30,950
UKIAH	159,925	105,109	69,969	140,385	4,000	479,388	437,126	916,514
WILLITS	47,654	31,320	24,219	41,831	1,000	146,024	130,253	276,277
<b>MERCED COUNTY</b>								
ATWATER	306,923	201,721	94,290	269,423	6,000	878,357	838,918	1,717,275
DOS PALOS	55,823	36,689	21,076	49,002	2,000	164,590	152,581	317,170
GUSTINE	58,558	38,487	21,874	51,404	2,000	172,323	160,058	332,381
LIVINGSTON	139,108	91,427	45,360	122,112	3,000	401,008	380,228	781,236
LOS BANOS	471,009	309,565	142,134	413,461	6,000	1,342,168	1,287,419	2,629,587
MERCED	944,397	620,694	280,161	829,010	7,500	2,681,762	2,581,341	5,263,103
<b>MODOC COUNTY</b>								
ALTURAS	26,201	17,221	14,155	26,735	1,000	85,312	71,617	156,929
<b>MONO COUNTY</b>								
MAMMOTH LAKES	69,549	45,710	64,734	1,677,985	2,000	1,859,979	190,101	2,050,079



## AGENDA BILL

Agenda Item No. 9.A.

**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** Claire Coleman, Budget/Financial Services Manager; Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer; Finance Department  
**Subject:** CITY COUNCIL/PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY  
Approval of the City's Fiscal Year 2026-27 and 2027-28 Proposed Budget and Spending Authority by Fund for the City and Public Financing Authority, and Approval of the FY 2026-27 Annual Gann Appropriation Limit.

---

### **ACTION PROPOSED**

1) Adopt a City Council resolution authorizing Fiscal Year 2026-27 spending authority by fund for the City of El Cerrito; 2) Adopt an El Cerrito Public Financing Authority resolution authorizing Fiscal Year 2026-27 spending authority by fund; and 3) Adopt a City Council resolution approving the calculation and establishing the FY 2026-27 Gann Appropriation Limit.

### **BACKGROUND**

The City of El Cerrito's Fiscal Year (FY) 2026-27 and FY 2027-28 Proposed Biennial Budget presents the City's projection of revenues and expenditures for the next two fiscal years. It describes in detail the various components of the City, each of its departments and divisions, and the City's separate legal entity, the El Cerrito Public Financing Authority. The Budget also describes the City's mission, vision, and values, outlines overall goals and strategies developed through the Strategic Plan, and indicates how resources are allocated to fulfill these objectives. On April 1, 2025, the City Council adopted [Ordinance 2025-01](#) dissolving the Employee Pension Board. As all funds have been disbursed and there remains no further obligations, there is no longer a need to include this entity in the budget, and a resolution is no longer required.

The FY 2026-27 and FY 2027-28 Biennial Budget was developed as a result of significant staff time, public input, and seven separate City Council workshops and study sessions. The proposed budget represents the City Council, Financial Advisory Board, and public's guidance and priorities, particularly relating to the balance between maintaining services and a significant reserve balance. It is grounded in the City's adopted Strategic Plan and aims to translate the Council and community's values into the services provided directly to the community. The City Council continues to closely monitor the budget and the City's financial position through quarterly reporting, regular adjustments and updates, and long-range financial forecasting.

The Proposed Biennial Budget document was first provided to the City Council, the Financial Advisory Board, and the public on May 22, 2026, and can be found on the City's website at [www.elcerrito.gov/BiennialBudgetFY27FY28](http://www.elcerrito.gov/BiennialBudgetFY27FY28).

The City Council has held seven separate workshops, study sessions, and meetings, including:

- January 10 – City Council Retreat and Priority Setting
- March 17 – Department Workplan and Priority Presentation to the City Council
- April 21 – Budget Study Session
- May 5 – Budget Study Session
- May 19 – Budget Study Session
- June 2 – Budget Study Session
- June 16 – Consideration of Budget Adoption

Staff also held two public workshops on March 7 (in-person) and on March 25 (virtual) to get more public input and share information about the budget conditions and process. The public budget survey was available from January 16 through March 31st.

In addition, the Financial Advisory Board (FAB) met to review and discuss the Biennial Budget three times: May 7, May 26, and June 4. The FAB did not provide a recommendation to send to the City Council regarding adoption of the FY 2026-27 budget.

At the June 2, 2026, City Council meeting, the City Council received a presentation from City staff that introduced the full Proposed FY 2026-27 and FY 2027-28 Proposed Biennial Budget and provided an overview of all funds and departments for the City Council's review and discussion. Following the original publication of the draft budget book on May 22, staff made adjustments to the budget book including adding additional detail and charts for citywide funds and fund balances, and correcting various errors and typos. An updated version was published along with the City Council agenda on May 28, which was the version reviewed and discussed at the June 2 City Council meeting. The version presented for the June 16 City Council meeting and for final adoption contains minor adjustments and changes to narratives to improve clarity, and fixes to small typos and inconsistencies in the document. None of the budget totals by fund have changed since the original budget book publication on May 22.

## **ANALYSIS**

### **Proposed FY 2026-27 and FY 2025-26 Biennial Budget**

City staff has prepared a budget document that will span two fiscal years, July 1, 2026 to June 30, 2027 and July 1, 2027 to June 30, 2028. By creating a two-year budget plan, the City enhances its ability to plan for expenses and revenues over a longer time horizon and take a more strategic approach. While the budget document covers two fiscal years, the budget must be formally adopted on an annual basis per the City's municipal code and state requirements for an annual appropriations limit.

With all funds combined, the Proposed Biennial Budget recommends total expenditures of \$97.4 million in FY 2026-27 and \$86.4 million in FY 2027-28 that provide funding for all City services, including Police, Fire, Recreation, Community Development, Public

Works, and City Management. Revenue and expenses were analyzed against prior year trends and expectations for the next two fiscal years, and the City used a Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) recommended Target Based Budgeting approach to match expenses to available revenues. This approach ensures that expenses reflect what the City can afford, rather than a status quo of prior year expenditures. The Proposed Budget includes all personnel expenses as a result of approved Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) with various bargaining units and estimates for one MOU currently under negotiations.

The Proposed FY 2026-27 and FY 2027-28 General Fund operating budget was balanced through an extensive target-based budgeting process through which departments identified budget decreases across a variety of non-personnel expenditure lines, in addition to updates to the City's Cost Allocation Plan and budget solutions reviewed and approved by the City Council. For FY 2026-27, staff is proposing a General Fund budget with projected revenues of \$58.4 million and expenditures amounting to \$57.6 million. While the ongoing operating budget does not utilize any fund balance and has a significant surplus in both fiscal years, two major one-time expenditures are proposed for the Fire Department, to replace core safety equipment including a fire engine and the department's Self-Contained Breathing Apparatuses (SCBAs). This one-time expenditure results in an annual planned use of fund balance of \$250,376 in FY 2026-27 and \$261,217 in FY 2027-28. The City anticipates maintaining unrestricted General fund reserves above 31% in FY 2026-27 and above 29% in FY 2027-28, which includes 13% of General Fund expenditures in the Emergency Disaster Relief Fund (EDRF) and unassigned General Fund reserves of 18% and 16%, respectively. Staff will closely monitor revenue and expenditure trends and will continue to update the City Council throughout the fiscal year and in quarterly reports. Staff will recommend adjustments for the City Council's consideration if necessary.

The Proposed FY 2026-27 and FY 2027-28 Budget seeks to maintain the current programs and services provided to the community at the highest level possible given the revenue constraints facing the City. Attachment 1 provides a detailed overview of the strategies presented to the City Council that are included in the proposed budget. It also includes items that are not in the proposed budget that had previously been considered by the City Council during the budget development process.

### **Gann Appropriations Limit**

Included in the recommended actions for adopting the FY 2026-27 Budget is the approval of the calculation and establishing the City's FY 2026-27 Gann Appropriation Limit as \$205,024,075. Approved in 1979 and amended in 1990, the Gann Initiative sets an annual appropriation limit on City expenditures based on the amount of tax proceeds received. This amount is adjusted each year based on changes in population and inflation. The California Government Code requires that the City adopt the Gann appropriations limit by resolution on an annual basis. In FY 2026-27, the respective State and County offices have provided the City with the following factors to establish the Gann limit computation:

### Cost of Living

- California Per Capita Personal Income = 4.95%

### Population

- Population Change, City of El Cerrito = -0.43%
- Population Change, County of Contra Costa = -0.31%

Based on the California Per Capita Personal Income factor 4.95% and the percentage of Population Change in the County of Contra Costa, the City's appropriations limit will increase from \$195,961,530 to \$205,024,075. The Gann Limit is calculated annually and will be updated for FY 2027-28 in spring 2027 when the budget is formally adopted by Council.

### Next Steps

The resolutions for the City's spending authority and Gann Limit are presented for the City Council's consideration, and staff recommends approval of the Proposed FY 2026-27 and FY 2027-28 Budget as presented. The City Council may choose to adopt the FY 2026-27 and FY 2027-28 Budget as proposed or provide further direction to staff. If further direction is provided, staff recommends that the Council consider scheduling additional special meeting(s) for review and discussion to allow for budget approval no later than June 30, 2024. Staff will bring the second year of the Biennial Budget to the City Council for formal adoption, along with any recommended adjustments, in 2027.

### STRATEGIC PLAN CONSIDERATIONS

This action supports the [City's Strategic Plan Goal\(s\)](#) of:

- *High Performing Organization; and*
- *Community Safety; and*
- *Livability and Belonging; and*
- *Infrastructure and Amenities; and*
- *Environmental Sustainability.*

### ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

This section is not applicable to this agenda item.

### FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

With all funds combined, the Proposed FY 2026-27 Budget recommends total expenditures of \$97,390,524 and provides funding for all City services, including Police, Fire, Recreation, Community Development, Public Works, and City Management. At this time, total revenues are projected to be \$93,529,790. These funds will continue to be monitored throughout the fiscal year. For FY 2026-27, staff propose a General Fund budget with revenues of \$58,429,622 and expenditures of \$58,679,998, resulting in a

planned use of fund balance of \$250,376. Staff will bring the second year of the biennial budget to the City Council for formal adoption, along with any recommended adjustments, in spring 2027.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Approval of the attached resolutions is required to adopt the City’s spending authority for Fiscal Year 2026-27. In addition to the Gann limit appropriation, there is a separate resolution for the Public Financing Authority, which is a component unit of the City. The City Council is obligated to adopt a budget, including spending authority and Gann limit appropriation, by June 30, 2026.

**Reviewed by:**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Karen Pinkos', is written over a light blue circular stamp.

Karen Pinkos, City Manager

**Attachments:**

1. Resolution (Spending Authority)
2. PFA Resolution (Spending Authority)
3. Resolution (Gann)
4. Final Budget Scenario
5. Presentation

RESOLUTION 2026-XX

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO ADOPTING THE ANNUAL BUDGET FOR FY 2026-27 AND SPENDING AUTHORITY FROM ALL FUNDS OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO FOR FISCAL YEAR 2026-27

WHEREAS, City staff have prepared, transmitted, and presented the proposed FY 2026-27 Budget to the City Council of the City of El Cerrito for its consideration, and it has been reviewed and analyzed in public review session; and

WHEREAS, the City prepares and adopts a budget with the intent of providing a planned program for City services and a financial system to carry out the program of services; and

WHEREAS, the proposed budget represents anticipated revenues and proposed expenditures, including interfund transfers, from all funds of the City of El Cerrito; and

WHEREAS, proposed spending authority from tax proceeds are within the City's Fiscal Year 2026-27 Gann Appropriations Limit, as defined by the California State Constitution Article XIII B.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of El Cerrito hereby adopts the FY 2026-27 Budget spending limits across funds as follows:

<b>Fund Name</b>	<b>Fund Number</b>	<b>FY 2026-27 Total Expenditures</b>
General Fund	101	\$57,654,998
Gas Tax Fund	201	\$888,893
National Pollution Distribution Elimination System Fund	202	\$436,231
Landscape & Lighting Assessment District	203	\$861,277
Measure J-Return to Source Fund	204	\$818,599
Measure J Storm Drain Fund	205	\$755,507
Measure H Park & Recreation Facilities Fund	207	\$671,486
Asset Seizure Fund	208	\$100,000
Vehicle Abatement Fund	209	\$70,000
Street Improvement & Maintenance Fund	211	\$4,305,722
SB1-Road Repair & Accountability Fund	212	\$1,046,209
Public Art Fund	213	\$50,500
Measure J-Paratransit Fund	214	\$365,316
C.O.P.S. Grant Fund	222	\$256,250
4th of July Donations Fund	225	\$175,000
Grants - Community Development Fund	228	\$8,600,000
City Housing Trust Fund	231	\$6,486,507
City LMI Housing Fund	232	\$389
Capital Improvement Fund Master	304	\$5,384,465

Integrated Waste Management Fund	501	\$5,525,510
Vehicle/Equip Replacement Fund	601	\$497,652

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of El Cerrito hereby authorizes the City Manager or designee to:

1. Create such appropriations into such new accounts as may be appropriate for proper accounting in the City's financial system and to make any necessary non-material changes to finalize the budget document.
2. Apply correct accounting rules for the proper classification of interfund transactions, including transfers between funds, or other financial transactions, as may be necessary to address bond or loan covenants, or any other requirements imposed by formal, legal agreements between the City any other parties, as previously entered into by the City.
3. Approve payment of goods and services received by the City in accordance with the City's approved budgets, programs, and policies, subject to a limitation of \$45,000 for any single vendor in any one fiscal year, beyond which amount the City Council retains authority to approve payment with the exception of those items falling under other statutory authority (e.g., Public Works, State purchasing).
4. Shift expenditure authority within funds among departments, as may be necessary to meet the City's operational needs.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage and adoption.

I CERTIFY that at a regular meeting on June 16, 2026 the City Council of the City of El Cerrito passed this Resolution by the following vote:

AYES:           COUNCILMEMBERS:  
 NOES:           COUNCILMEMBERS:  
 ABSTAIN:       COUNCILMEMBERS:  
 ABSENT:        COUNCILMEMBERS:

IN WITNESS of this action, I sign this document and affix the corporate seal of the City of El Cerrito on \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Gabe Quinto, Mayor

PFA RESOLUTION 2026-XX

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY  
ADOPTING THE FISCAL YEAR 2026-27 BUDGET WITH SPENDING LIMITS FOR FY  
2026-27

WHEREAS, the El Cerrito Public Financing Authority (Authority) provides for payment of long-term debt obligations; and

WHEREAS, the Authority Board wishes to maintain funding levels to support payments of principal and interest on those long-term obligations; and

WHEREAS, the Board wishes to adopt the Authority’s budget for Fiscal Year 2026-27.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the El Cerrito Public Financing Authority adopts the Fiscal Year 2026-27 Budget with spending limits in FY 2026-27 across funds as follows:

Financing Authority City Hall Debt Service	\$578,813
Financing Authority Street Improv Debt Service	\$707,500
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,274,063</b>

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage and adoption.

I CERTIFY that at a special meeting on June 16, 2026 the Public Financing Authority of the City of El Cerrito passed this Resolution by the following vote:

AYES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
NOES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSTAIN:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSENT:	COUNCILMEMBERS:

IN WITNESS of this action, I sign this document on \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Holly M. Charléty, Authority Clerk

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabe Quinto, Mayor

RESOLUTION 2026-XX

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO SETTING THE APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2026-27 AND SELECTING THE CALIFORNIA PER CAPITA PERSONAL INCOME AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTY POPULATION ADJUSTMENT FACTORS

WHEREAS, Article XIII B of the California Constitution establishes a limitation on spending by cities of funds from proceeds of taxes; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Government Code Section 7910, the City Council must establish an annual appropriations limit; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Government Code Section 7910, the City Council must select annually the per capita change in the cost of living for purposes of calculating appropriations limit; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Government Code Section 7910, the City Council must select annually the population growth factor for purposes of calculating the appropriations limit; and

WHEREAS, an appropriations limit has been calculated in accordance with applicable law.

NOW THEREFORE the City Council of the City of El Cerrito does hereby find, determine, and resolve as follows:

SECTION 1. In accordance with Article XIII B of the Constitution and Government Code Section 7900 et seq., the adjustment factors to be applied to the appropriations limit for the 2026-27 fiscal year shall be the California Per Capita Personal Income adjustment factor and the Contra Costa County population adjustment factor.

SECTION 2. In accordance with Article XIII B of the Constitution and Government Code Section 7902, the appropriations limit for the 2026-27 fiscal year shall be \$205,024,075 which exceeds the City's projected applicable appropriation amount by \$161,942,876.

SECTION 3. The City Council reserves the right to change or revise any adjustment factors associated with the calculation of the appropriations limit if such changes or revisions would result in a more advantageous appropriations limit in the present or future.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage and adoption.

I CERTIFY that at a regular meeting on June 16, 2026 the City Council of the City of El Cerrito passed this Resolution by the following vote:

AYES: COUNCILMEMBERS:  
NOES: COUNCILMEMBERS:  
ABSTAIN: COUNCILMEMBERS:  
ABSENT: COUNCILMEMBERS:

IN WITNESS of this action, I sign this document and affix the corporate seal of the City of El Cerrito on\_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabe Quinto, Mayor

**Proposed Budget**

***Operating Budget Additions***

- Invest in cybersecurity, technology infrastructure, and work order management software (\$140k)

***Operating Budget Reductions***

- Reduce non-personnel budgets citywide, including supplies, equipment, professional services, and maintenance
- Update overhead cost allocation to special funds, reducing General Fund subsidy
- Utilize special revenue funds for allowable Police Department costs, including software, equipment, and administrative overhead, and decrease software and equipment budget (\$168k FY27, \$143k FY28)
- Reduce Police Officer recruitment incentives and recruitment support (\$48k)
- Decrease professional communications support, including managing social media in-house and eliminating the News and Views newsletter (\$58k)
- Eliminate or reduce Recreation programs and events, including some special events and most contract janitorial services (\$82k)
- Reduce select administrative support and supplies costs (\$45k)
- Cancel Advisory Board Member recognition dinner and holiday light installation on San Pablo Avenue (\$10k)
- Decrease City Council travel and training allocation by \$1k per member (\$5k total)
- Decrease General Fund contribution for 4th of July event by 50% (\$25k)
- Decrease City Council Retreat facilitator budget (\$10k)
- Permanently continue to utilize consultant services in lieu of filling vacant positions in the Building Division (\$210k)

***Internal Service Fund and Long-Term Planning Allocations***

- Restructure vehicle and equipment replacement contributions through internal service fund, citywide (\$440k)
- Annual contribution to internal service fund for deferred maintenance and capital infrastructure (\$300k)
- Annual contribution to Fire Department vehicle and equipment replacement reserve (\$50k)
- Add annual Fire Department small vehicle replacement funding (\$100k)
- One-time contributions to ISF for Fire Engine (\$925k FY27, \$259k FY28)
- One-time Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA) replacement (\$330k FY28 only)

# Fiscal Year 2026-27 & Fiscal Year 2027-28 Proposed Biennial Budget


*City of El Cerrito  
El Cerrito Public Financing Authority  
El Cerrito Employee Pension Trust Board*



June 16, 2026

# Agenda

- Budget Overview
- Review of the Proposed Budget - Emphasis on FY 2026-27
- Long-Range General Fund Forecast
- Capital Improvement Program
- Next Steps



# About the Budget



# Target-Based Budgeting



- Target-Based Budgeting (TBB) is a budget methodology that focuses on available revenue rather than prior year spending
  - Endorsed by the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA)
  - Central budget authority sets spending targets for departments; departments develop proposals within those targets
  - Reduces "budget games" and encourages departments to identify efficiencies
- How El Cerrito used TBB:
  - Finance developed status quo projection incl. deficit of \$1.7M in FY 2026-27 and \$2.2M in FY 2027-28
  - Spending targets assigned to each department based on expenditure share, staffing levels, and cost recovery ratios
  - Departments submitted proposals; Finance and CMO evaluated feasibility and impacts
  - Solutions assessed against criteria including health and safety, community impacts, legal mandates, labor implications, revenue impacts, and alignment with Council priorities

# Strategic Plan

- Reaffirmed by City Council at January Workshop
- Budget process and recommendations guided by Strategic Plan
- Department workplans aligned to Strategic Plan goals
  - Detailed strategic alignment information for each department has been included in budget document



# Public Engagement



## Budget Survey

- Open from January 16 through March 31, 2026
- 173 respondents
- 96% of respondents live in El Cerrito
- 4 questions:
  - Investment levels of 16 City services
  - Top 3 Budget Priorities
  - Allocate Points across Infrastructure categories
  - Open-ended Comments

## Budget Workshops

- In-person workshop on March 7, 2026, and virtual workshop on March 25, 2026
  - Recording available on City Website
- Included a review of city finances and city services
- Two activities:
  - Survey to consider tradeoffs
  - Prioritization of core service areas


***Detailed survey and workshop data presented to the City Council on April 21, 2026***

# Major Factors Influencing the Budget



- Economy uncertain:
  - International conflict and tariff uncertainty
  - Complex interest rate environment
  - Consumer confidence shaky
  - Inflation stabilizing but still impacting costs
  - Volatile and costly insurance markets
- Insurance markets – rapidly increasing costs
- Pension costs – rising but approaching peak
- Unfunded mandates and increasing state requirements

Projected City Pension Costs



# Major Budget Changes



## ***Structural Changes***

- Increased investment in Internal Service Funds
  - Vehicles & Equipment
  - Capital and deferred maintenance
- Updated cost allocations to special funds
- Updated budget methodology for insurance, worker's compensation, and one-time payouts

## ***Discussed but Kept in Budget***

- Additional library hours
- Landscape Maintenance
- EC Stars Internship program

## ***Budget Cuts***

- Reductions to non-personnel budgets citywide, including supplies, equipment, professional services, and maintenance
  - Decrease in professional communications and facilitation support
  - Decrease City Council travel and training
- Reduction in some programs and events
  - Reduced City contribution to 4th of July event
  - Cancel Advisory Board Member recognition dinner, holiday light installation on San Pablo Avenue, some Recreation special events


# Citywide Budget – All Funds



# Revenues – All Funds by Source



Citywide Revenue by Source – FY 2026-27




- **Property Tax:** \$19.2M annually, 5% increase
- **Sales Tax:** \$12.4M annually, 2% increase
- **Property Transfer Tax:** \$3.4M annually, 6% increase
- **Utility Users Tax:** \$4.9M, 32% increase
  - FY26 budget significantly lower than actuals in both FY25 and FY26
  - New court case with expected positive impacts on the City's UUT
- **Fees and Other:** \$48M, 60% increase
  - Increase primarily from grants (181% increase over FY26 budget)

# Expenditures – By Fund



Citywide Expenditures by Fund Type – FY 2026-27




- General Fund is largest part of City operations
- Special revenue funds include:
  - Gas Tax funds and Street Improvement Fund (Measure A)
  - Measure H Parcel Tax for Parks
  - Landscape and Lighting District
- Enterprise Fund is the Solid Waste & Recycling Program (Integrated Waste Management Fund)
- Expenditures vary widely based on type of revenue (source) and allowable uses

# Proposed Staffing



Department	FY 2023 -24	FY 2024 -25	FY 2025 -26	FY 2026 -27	FY 2027 -28
City Council	5	5	5	5	5
City Management	10.5	10	10	10	10
Finance	6.5	6	6	6	6
Community Development	15	15	15	15	15
Police	48	48	48	48	48
Fire	37.5	37.5	38	38	38
Public Works	27.3	27.3	27.3	27.44	27.44
Recreation	20	20	20	20	20
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>169.8</b>	<b>168.8</b>	<b>169.3</b>	<b>169.44</b>	<b>169.44</b>

# Citywide Expenditures by Department



*Includes significant new grant funding in FY 2026-27 in the Community Development Department*

# Citywide Fund Balances



- Detailed table of all fund balances in budget book
- General Fund to remain above 29% unrestricted reserves in both years (13% EDRF and 16% unassigned)

Fund No	Fund Description	Projected at 6/30/2026	FY 27 Rev	FY 27 Exp	Net	Est. Balance 6/30/2027	FY 28 Rev	FY 28 Exp	Net	Est. Balance 6/30/2028
101	General Fund	\$ 21,118,878	\$ 58,429,822	\$ 57,654,998	\$ (250,376)	\$ 20,888,501	\$ 60,405,305	\$ 60,177,922	\$ (261,217)	\$ 20,607,284
103	Section 115	2,960,245	90,000	627	89,373	3,049,618	90,000	646	89,354	3,138,972
<b>Special</b>										
201	Gas Tax Fund	\$ 386,157	\$ 760,117	\$ 888,893	\$ (128,776)	\$ 257,381	\$ 765,243	\$ 874,920	\$ (109,677)	\$ 147,704
202	Narl Pollut Dis Elim Sys	373,169	288,500	436,231	(147,731)	225,438	282,900	456,094	(173,194)	52,244
203	Land & Light Assess Distr	92,699	801,150	861,277	(60,127)	32,572	801,150	833,721	(32,571)	1
204	Measure J-Return to Source Fund	473,374	633,238	818,599	(185,361)	288,013	649,069	790,494	(141,425)	146,588
205	Measure J Storm Drain	374,733	711,816	755,507	(43,691)	331,042	711,816	1,042,337	(330,521)	521
207	Measure H Park & Rec Facilities	288,783	640,000	671,486	(31,486)	257,297	640,000	666,156	(26,156)	231,141
208	Asset Seizure Fund	229,474	-	100,000	(100,000)	129,474	-	100,000	(100,000)	29,474
209	Vehicle Abatement Fund	152,326	8,000	70,000	(62,000)	90,326	8,000	35,000	(27,000)	63,326
210	Open Space In Lieu Fund	187,287	-	-	-	187,287	-	-	-	187,287
211	Street Improvemnt & Maint	2,665,314	2,451,000	4,305,722	(1,854,722)	810,592	2,510,000	3,225,791	(715,791)	94,801
212	SBI-Road Repair & Accountability	506,878	730,952	1,046,209	(315,257)	191,621	750,800	854,169	(103,369)	88,252
213	Public Art Fund	125,248	-	50,500	(50,500)	74,748	-	50,500	(50,500)	24,248
214	Measure J-Paratransit Fund	680,611	255,000	365,316	(110,316)	570,295	255,000	246,515	8,485	578,780
222	C.O.P.S. Grant Fund	140,326	175,000	256,250	(81,250)	59,076	175,000	226,250	(51,250)	7,826
225	Donations	-	175,000	175,000	-	-	125,000	125,000	-	-
226	Donations - Recreation	25,550	-	-	-	25,550	-	-	-	25,550
228	Grants - Community Development	-	8,600,000	8,600,000	-	-	5,108,715	5,108,715	-	-
230	Municipal Services Corp	83,661	100,000	128,073	(28,073)	55,588	100,000	133,226	(33,226)	22,362
231	City Housing Trust Fund	3,955,000	6,836,507	6,486,507	350,000	4,305,000	2,300,000	2,300,000	-	4,305,000
232	City LMI Housing Fund	4,908,458	-	389	(389)	4,908,069	-	400	(400)	4,907,669
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ 15,640,047</b>	<b>\$ 23,166,280</b>	<b>\$ 26,015,959</b>	<b>\$ (2,849,679)</b>	<b>\$ 12,790,368</b>	<b>\$ 15,182,693</b>	<b>\$ 17,060,288</b>	<b>\$ (1,886,595)</b>	<b>\$ 10,912,773</b>
<b>Debt</b>										
835	City Hall Bond D/S	\$ 127,169	\$ 610,131	\$ 578,813	\$ 31,318	\$ 158,487	\$ 606,631	\$ 575,313	\$ 31,318	\$ 189,805
836	Street Imp Bond D/S	6,020	707,500	707,500	-	6,020	705,750	705,750	-	6,020
		<b>\$ 133,190</b>	<b>\$ 1,317,631</b>	<b>\$ 1,286,313</b>	<b>\$ 31,318</b>	<b>\$ 164,507</b>	<b>\$ 1,312,381</b>	<b>\$ 1,281,063</b>	<b>\$ 31,318</b>	<b>\$ 195,825</b>
<b>Capital</b>										
3	Capital Improvement Funds	\$ 2,408,127	\$ 4,133,865	\$ 5,384,405	\$ (1,250,600)	\$ 1,247,527	\$ 1,251,300	\$ 1,306,992	\$ (145,692)	\$ 1,101,835
<b>Internal</b>										
601	Vehicle/Equip Replacement	\$ 1,202,471	\$ 950,998	\$ 497,652	\$ 462,346	\$ 1,064,817	\$ 1,264,096	\$ 497,651	\$ 767,345	\$ 2,432,162
<b>Enterprise</b>										
501	Integrated Waste Mgmt	\$ 2,020,198	\$ 5,432,394	\$ 5,825,510	\$ (93,116)	\$ 2,827,082	\$ 5,755,239	\$ 6,007,770	\$ (252,531)	\$ 2,574,551
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ 46,482,156</b>	<b>\$ 93,529,790</b>	<b>\$ 96,395,524</b>	<b>\$ (3,860,734)</b>	<b>\$ 42,021,421</b>	<b>\$ 85,261,914</b>	<b>\$ 86,431,332</b>	<b>\$ (1,658,018)</b>	<b>\$ 40,003,403</b>


# General Fund



# Operating Budget Overview




### Revenues vs Expenditures Summary



# General Fund Revenue



FY27 Revenues by Type




Property and Other Taxes	<b>\$40,283,414</b>	68.94%
Charges for Services	<b>\$7,440,227</b>	12.73%
Intergovernmental Revenue	<b>\$6,043,597</b>	10.34%
Non-Departmental Revenue	<b>\$2,048,587</b>	3.51%
Licenses & Permits	<b>\$1,172,980</b>	2.01%
Other Revenue	<b>\$827,816</b>	1.42%
Use of Money and Property	<b>\$418,000</b>	0.72%
Fines and Forfeitures	<b>\$195,000</b>	0.33%

- General Fund revenues remain stable
- Most revenues received from general purpose taxes, including:
  - Property Tax
  - Sales and Transactions Taxes
  - Utility Users Taxes
  - Property Transfer Tax
  - Business License Taxes
- Council adopted updates to Master Fee Schedule on May 19, 2026

# General Fund Expenditures




FY27 Expenditures by Department



● Fire	<b>\$17,339,840</b>	30.08%
● Police	<b>\$16,633,894</b>	28.85%
● Recreation	<b>\$6,941,819</b>	12.04%
● Administration	<b>\$6,530,969</b>	11.33%
● Community Development	<b>\$3,960,368</b>	6.87%
● Non-Departmental	<b>\$3,465,676</b>	6.01%
● Public Works	<b>\$2,782,433</b>	4.83%

FY27 Expenditures by Type



● Personnel	<b>\$42,894,617</b>	74.40%
● Purchased Professional & Technical Services	<b>\$4,950,279</b>	8.59%
● Other Purchased Services	<b>\$4,742,660</b>	8.23%
● Purchased Property Services	<b>\$2,667,047</b>	4.63%
● Other Financing Uses	<b>\$935,131</b>	1.62%
● Supplies	<b>\$812,364</b>	1.41%
● Financing Costs	<b>\$461,931</b>	0.80%
● Property & Capital	<b>\$190,969</b>	0.33%



# Major One-Time Expenditures



- 2 major needs recommended for use of one-time fund balance
  - Fire Engine approaching 15-year end-of-life
  - Self-Contained Breathing Apparatuses (SCBAs): approaching federally-mandated maximum service life of 15 years
- Cost impacts frontloaded through ISF contributions to smooth budgetary impact

Item	FY 2026-27	FY 2027-28	Total
Fire Engine	\$1,025,000	\$158,600	\$1,183,600
SCBAs	-	330,000	330,000
<b>Total General Fund Contribution</b>	<b>\$1,025,000</b>	<b>\$488,600</b>	<b>\$1,513,600</b>

# Long-Range General Fund Forecast



- Reserves remain above 31% in FY 2026-27 and above 29% (\$20.8M) in all subsequent forecasted years
- Use of Section 115 modeled to smooth highest UAL costs from FY29 to FY35

# Gann Limit




- Included in the recommended actions for adopting the FY 2026-27 Budget is the approval of the calculation and establishing the City's FY 2026-27 Gann Appropriation Limit
- California Government Code requires that the City adopt the Gann appropriations limit by resolution on an annual basis
- Calculated Gann Limit for FY 2026-27 is **\$205,024,075**

# CIP Budget - Summary



FY27 Total Funding Requested by Source



State Grant (secured)	\$3,540,600	35.36%
Measure A Street Improvement Fund	\$3,449,730	34.45%
Capital Improvement Fund	\$1,230,600	12.29%
SBI-Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account	\$788,000	7.87%
General Fund	\$300,000	3.00%
Local Grant (secured)	\$240,000	2.40%
Measure J Return to Source Fund	\$192,000	1.92%
Measure J Storm Drain Fund	\$100,000	1.00%
Gas Tax Fund	\$50,000	0.50%
Integrated Waste Management Fund	\$50,000	0.50%
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$44,400	0.44%
Donations	\$28,865	0.29%

- \$10M of projects planned for FY 2026-27, largely grant-funded
- Over 30 active projects
  - Primarily Transportation projects in FY 2026-27
  - Variety of smaller parks and facilities projects

# Proposed Budget Summary




- Reflects City Council priorities, including feedback during the budget development process
- Aligns with the City's Strategic Plan
- Has revenues exceeding expenditures for the operating budget
- Maintains reserves above a combined 29%, including 13% EDRF and 16% unassigned
- Enables the City to continue providing key services with some changes to programs



# Next Steps

- Questions, Comments, Direction
- Additional Special Meetings as needed
- Adoption of Budget by June 30, 2026
- After budget adoption:
  - Continued CIP process improvements
  - Internal Service Fund policy and schedule development
    - Asset Inventory and Asset Management Module configuration in progress
  - Policy review and updates (purchasing, grants, ISF, CIP, etc.)



# Thank you!

For more information: [elcerrito.gov/BudgetProcess](http://elcerrito.gov/BudgetProcess)





## AGENDA BILL

Agenda Item No. 9.B.

**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** Claire Coleman, Budget/Financial Services Manager; Crystal Reams, Finance Director/City Treasurer; Finance Department  
**Subject:** Spending Authority for Certain Vendors Expected to Exceed \$45,000 for FY 2026-27

---

### **ACTION PROPOSED**

Adopt a resolution approving spending authority for vendors expected to exceed \$45,000 in Fiscal Year 2026-27.

### **BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS**

Resolution 2017-71A, adopted by the City Council on October 3, 2017, authorizes the City Manager to purchase goods and services and enter into professional services agreements in amounts not to exceed \$45,000 without City Council authorization, and further requires that purchases and professional service agreements of more than \$45,000 for any one vendor in any one fiscal year must be approved by the City Council. Each fiscal year, the City Council affirms the requirement for the single vendor threshold of \$45,000 in its resolution adopting the annual budget. Additionally, the City's Procurement Policy (Administrative Policy III-1) sets guidelines and requirements for purchases of goods and services using City funds that conform with the approval thresholds set by the City Council and outlines the procedures for the use of purchase orders. Services performed for the City require a contract and insurance verification, while purchases of goods and supplies typically do not.

In 2024, as a part of Citywide efforts to improve accuracy, transparency, and compliance with City policies, staff began bringing an annual list of vendors with whom the City may need to spend over \$45,000 during the fiscal year. In accordance with the Procurement Policy, staff is seeking approval to make purchases estimated above \$45,000 throughout the year with certain vendors for goods/supplies and on-call services for vehicle maintenance and Recreation Instructor services on an as-needed basis. All purchases included in this agenda bill for approval were included in the FY 2026-27 Budget and do not require additional appropriation authority from the City Council.

### **Goods & Supplies**

The following purchases of goods and supplies are made on an as-needed basis in small amounts that would typically not come before the City Council for approval according to the City's Procurement Policy, but which cumulatively amount to over \$45,000 in a single year with a specific vendor. Each vendor is selected per the Policy and based on excellence in quality, pricing, and availability.

- **Knorr** (\$105,000) - Pool maintenance and supplies. Knorr has been the City's capital maintenance contractor at the El Cerrito Swim Center since it was renovated in 2004. There are very few contractors in Northern California that provide the level of service and expertise that Knorr provides who are additionally able to respond quickly to emergencies and thereby minimize pool closure times. Staff periodically surveys pools in the Bay Area, the majority utilize Knorr Systems for their capital maintenance needs. The main exception to this is that some cities, mostly larger ones with several pools, utilize city staff for pool maintenance needs.
- **LN Curtis** (\$75,000) - Safety equipment and clothing for the Fire Department. LN Curtis offers the best prices and customer service for our firefighter's turnouts, including timely sizing, service, and delivery. LN Curtis is locally based in Walnut Creek, CA.
- **Folger Graphics** (\$50,000) - Printing and mailing services for multiple departments, including the rECguide and citywide public information efforts. The City no longer intends to publish the biannual News and Views newsletter. Folger Graphics provides high-quality printing services to multiple departments citywide.
- **CivicPlus** (\$55,000) - City, Recreation and Police website hosting, livestream and archive services for City Council meetings, agenda management, advisory body member management, accessibility services on our website, codification and management of our municipal code.
- **Lehr Upfitters** (\$100,000) – Vehicle upfitting for Police Department vehicles. Lehr specializes in law enforcement vehicle upfitting and outfitting, providing the specialized equipment and installation expertise required for police fleet vehicles.
- **Contra Costa County - Office of the Sheriff-Coroner** (\$65,000) **and Forensic Services Division** (\$70,000) - Law enforcement software and forensic services. The City contracts with the Contra Costa County Office of the Sheriff-Coroner for access to Aries, the County's records management system used by the El Cerrito Police Department, and with the Forensic Services Division for Cal-ID services. Both are county-administered programs that support regional law enforcement coordination and interoperability; comparable services are not available through private vendors.
- **First Serve Productions** (\$55,000) – Tennis court resurfacing and repairs. First Serve Production was selected through a competitive Request for Proposals process as the lowest responsive bidder. Current contracted work covers resurfacing and repairs at Arlington Tennis Courts. The appropriation includes additional capacity in the event First Serve is engaged for the Cerrito Vista park project, where they are expected to remain cost-competitive.
- **Tecknica Auto** (\$50,000) – Vehicle maintenance and repairs for various citywide departments. Tecknica Auto is locally based in El Cerrito and provides maintenance and repair services across the City's diverse vehicle fleet.

- **Amazon Business** (\$70,000) – Citywide supplies across all departments. The City purchases through Amazon Business, a marketplace platform through which thousands of independent sellers offer goods. The range of items purchased citywide is too broad and varied to be aggregated into a single competitive bid. Amazon Business serves as a convenient procurement channel while individual transactions remain subject to the City's standard purchasing thresholds and approval requirements.
- **Two Brothers Pool & Spa** (\$60,000) - Day-to-day Swim Center pool and splash park maintenance and supplies. Two Brothers provides routine operational maintenance including chemical balancing, cleaning, and minor repairs. Services were competitively bid in 2022; FY 2027-28 is the final year of contract extensions. Pool chemicals, including chlorine, acid, and CO2, are procured through this contract as well.
- **Miracle Playsystems** (\$50,000) – Playground equipment repairs and replacement parts. Miracle Playsystems was selected through the State's cooperative purchasing program in the early 2000s when grant funding was used to replace playground equipment across most City parks. Because Miracle manufactured and installed the equipment, replacement parts must be sourced through them directly, and their installers are best positioned to ensure compatibility and safe installation of new components.
- **Tyler Technologies (\$65,000)** – Enterprise resource planning (ERP) software. Tyler Technologies provides the City's ERP platform, supporting the accounting, purchasing, payroll, and human resources data management functions across all departments. As the City's incumbent ERP provider, Tyler is the sole-source vendor for licensing, maintenance, and support services associated with the platform.

### **Fire Fleet Maintenance Service**

The Fire Department does not expect to spend over \$45,000 with all the listed vendors and will not exceed its Council-approved budget, but is seeking approval to ensure the appropriate authorization before repairs become urgent. All purchases included in this agenda bill for approval were included in the FY 2026-27 Budget and do not require additional appropriation authority from the City Council.

- **Alameda County Fire Department**
- **Cummings, Inc.**
- **Diego's Truck Repair**
- **Hi-Tech EVS, Inc.**
- **Kelly's Truck Repair**
- **Peterson Trucks, Inc.**

### **Recreation Instructors**

Recreation Instructors are contracted to teach classes for the City and provide a wide range of offerings to the community. Instructors are paid on a cost-sharing basis, whereby they receive 60% of the revenue from class sign-ups and the City receives 40%. How much a Recreation Instructor is paid by the City annually depends on the

frequency and popularity of their classes. A small number of Recreation Instructors regularly receive over \$45,000 per year from the City through this revenue-sharing agreement structure. While these are not purchased services or supplies and all expenses are both budgeted for and offset by class fee revenues, staff feel that these contracts should come to City Council for approval to ensure compliance with the Procurement Policy.

The instructors who staff expect to be paid over \$45,000 in FY 2026-27 are:

- Norman Friedman (\$170,000) - Norm Friedman is the Director of El Cerrito Sports Camp, providing 9-10 weeks of summer camp each summer.
- Stephanie Ramos (\$56,000) - Stephanie Ramos is the Independent Contractor providing year-round Zumba and Zumba Gold to adults and seniors. Classes are offered daily, and in some cases 2-3 times per day.
- Belinda Graul (\$50,000) - Belinda Graul is our head Gymnastics Coach, offering 12 classes per week during the school year, and 6-7 weeks of camp each summer.
- Ian McAvoy (\$50,000) - Ian McAvoy is the owner of Off the Block STEM, providing specialized LEGO classes twice weekly throughout the school year in addition to school-break camps and summer camps.
- Mark Marcos Manqueros (\$120,000) - Mark Marcos Manqueros is the owner/director of Crouching Tiger Tennis, providing year-round tennis classes and camps for youth and seasonal classes for adults.
- Tracey Shababo (\$50,000) – Tracey Shababo teaches youth sewing, textile arts, and arts and crafts classes and camps.

## **STRATEGIC PLAN CONSIDERATIONS**

This action supports the [City's Strategic Plan Goal\(s\)](#) of:

- *High Performing Organization; and*
- *Community Safety; and*
- *Livability and Belonging; and*
- *Infrastructure and Amenities*

## **ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This section is not applicable to this agenda item.

## **FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

All expenses referenced in this agenda bill are included within the FY 2026-27 Budget considered for adoption by the City Council on June 16, 2026. The proposed expenditures require Council authorization because they are expected to exceed \$45,000 with a single vendor in a single fiscal year, but there is no additional fiscal impact from adopting this resolution.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This section is not applicable to this agenda item.

**Reviewed by:**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Karen Pinkos".

Karen Pinkos, City Manager

**Attachments:**

1. Resolution

RESOLUTION 2026-XX

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO APPROVING SPENDING AUTHORITY FOR VENDORS EXPECTED TO EXCEED \$45,000 IN FISCAL YEAR 2026-27

WHEREAS, Resolutions 2017-71A and 2024-46 requires that all purchases totaling over \$45,000 with a single vendor in a single fiscal year receive Council approval; and

WHEREAS, the City’s Procurement Policy (Administrative Policy III-1) guides all purchasing and bidding procedures to ensure fairness and transparency; and

WHEREAS, certain vendors, selected based on excellence in quality, price, and availability, are expected to exceed \$45,000 for goods, supplies, and services in the 2026-27 fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, the proposed expenditures outlined in this resolution and the associated agenda bill were included in the Council-adopted budget for FY 2026-27 and require no additional appropriation authority.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of El Cerrito that it hereby authorizes the purchase of goods and on-call services up to the not-to-exceed amount detailed for each vendor in the table below for FY2206-27.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Manager or designee is authorized to enter into agreements with the individuals or entities listed below based on City standard forms or agreements with commercially reasonable terms, subject to approval as to form by the City Attorney.

<b>Vendor</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Expenditure Total</b>
Knorr Systems, Inc.	Supplies & On-Call Services	105,000
LN Curtis & Sons	Supplies	75,000
Folger Graphics, Inc.	Supplies	50,000
CivicPlus	Various Online Applications	55,000
Lehr Upfitters OpCo LC	Vehicle Upfitting	100,000
Contra Costa County - Office of the Sheriff-Coroner	Services	65,000
Contra Costa County - Forensic Services Division	Services	70,000
First Serve Productions, Inc.	Services	55,000

<b>Vendor</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Expenditure Total</b>
Technica Auto, Inc.	On-Call Services	50,000
Amazon Capital Services, Inc.	Supplies	70,000
Two Brothers Pool & Spa, Inc.	Supplies & On-Call Services	60,000
Miracle Playsystems, Inc.	Services	50,000
Tyler Technologies	Finance and HR Software	60,000
Alameda County Fire Department	Fire Vehicle Maintenance	
Cummings, Inc.	Fire Vehicle Maintenance	
Diego's Truck Repair	Fire Vehicle Maintenance	
Hi-Tech EVS, Inc.	Fire Vehicle Maintenance	
Kelly's Truck Repair	Fire Vehicle Maintenance	
Peterson Trucks, Inc.	Fire Vehicle Maintenance	
Norman Friedman	Recreation Instructor	170,000
Stephanie Ramos	Recreation Instructor	56,000
Belinda Graul	Recreation Instructor	50,000
Ian McAvoy	Recreation Instructor	50,000
Tracey Shababo	Recreation Instructor	50,000
Mark Marcos Manqueros	Recreation Instructor	120,000

I CERTIFY that at a regular meeting on June 16, 2026 the City Council of the City of El Cerrito passed this Resolution by the following vote:

AYES:           COUNCILMEMBERS:  
 NOES:           COUNCILMEMBERS:  
 ABSTAIN:       COUNCILMEMBERS:  
 ABSENT:        COUNCILMEMBERS:

IN WITNESS of this action, I sign this document and affix the corporate seal of the City of El Cerrito on\_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Gabe Quinto, Mayor



## AGENDA BILL

Agenda Item No. 9.C.

**Date:** June 16, 2026  
**To:** El Cerrito City Council  
**From:** Shannon Bassi, Human Resources Manager, City Management  
**Subject:** Annual Adoption of the City's Full-time Salary Schedule, Effective July 6, 2026

---

### **ACTION PROPOSED**

Adopt a resolution to approve the City's full-time salary schedule, including approval to establish and modify two classifications.

### **BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS**

City staff annually prepares a salary schedule for all full-time personnel classifications. The salary schedule contains authorized salaries for all positions based on City Council approved compensation plans, contracts, and Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) including provisions for cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) and other modifications. This salary schedule is published on the City's website and may be amended from time to time as a result of negotiations with bargaining units. City staff recommends presenting the salary schedule to the City Council for adoption each year as part of the annual budget process in the interest of transparency and to ensure compliance with [SB 707](#) and CalPERS regulations.

The proposed salary schedule changes in Exhibit A to the attached resolution include 1) updated salary grade ranges for approved and contractually obligated COLAs; 2) establishing a salary grade range for a new classification titled Environmental Services Manager and updating the current salary grade range for Facilities and Maintenance Manager for internal alignment purposes; and 3) updating the City Manager salary grade, which includes a merit increase of 5% following a performance evaluation conducted by the City Council per the City Manager's Employment agreement, as authorized by City Council retroactive to April 1, 2026 and approved through the adoption of this salary schedule. The proposed changes will be effective July 6, 2026, which is the first full payroll period in July 2026. Staff recommends that the City Council approve and adopt the modifications to the salary schedule proposed in Exhibit A.

### **STRATEGIC PLAN CONSIDERATIONS**

This action supports the [City's Strategic Plan Goal\(s\)](#) of:

- *High Performing Organization; and*
- *Community Safety; and*
- *Livability and Belonging*

**ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This section is not applicable to this item.

**FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

There are no financial impacts with assigning a salary grade range to the new classification in the salary schedule. The operating budget would be adjusted when the positions are filled.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

This section is not applicable to this agenda item.

**Reviewed by:**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Karen Pinkos".

Karen Pinkos, City Manager

**Attachments:**

1. Resolution
2. Exhibit A to Resolution

RESOLUTION 2026-XX

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL CERRITO ADOPTING THE CITY'S FULL-TIME SALARY SCHEDULE EFFECTIVE JULY 6, 2026, INCLUDING ESTABLISHING A SALARY GRADE RANGE FOR A NEW CLASSIFICATION AND UPDATING AN EXISTING SALARY GRADE RANGE WITHIN THE MANAGEMENT/CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYEE GROUP

WHEREAS, annually the City generates a comprehensive salary schedule for full-time employees; and

WHEREAS, the salary schedule contains authorized salaries for all positions based on City Council approved compensation plans, contracts, and Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) which include provisions for cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) and other modifications; and

WHEREAS, employment contracts and MOUs often span over multiple fiscal years; and

WHEREAS, annual adoption of the comprehensive salary schedule ensures transparency and compliance with multiple regulations including SB 707, CalPERS regulations set forth in Government Code sections 20636, 20636.1, 7522.34, and subdivision (a) of CCR section 570.5; and

WHEREAS, the salary schedule attached hereto as Exhibit A reflects approved COLAs for Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 1021, Public Safety Management Association, Police Employees' Association (sworn and non-sworn), United Professional Firefighters Local 1230, and Management/Confidential positions; and

WHEREAS, Exhibit A reflects City Council approval of a 5% merit increase (effective April 1, 2026) and COLA for the City Manager; and

WHEREAS, the attached salary schedule also establishes a salary grade range for the classification of Environmental Services Manager and modifies the salary grade range for the classification of Facilities and Maintenance Manager; and

WHEREAS, the Meyers-Milias-Brown Act allows employee units within the City to represent themselves on matters concerning conditions of employment and salaries.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of El Cerrito does hereby approve and adopt the salary grade ranges proposed for the classifications of Environmental Services Manager and Facilities and Maintenance Manager.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council adopts the Full-Time Salary Schedule attached hereto as Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage and adoption.

I CERTIFY that at a regular meeting on June 16, 2026 the City Council of the City of El Cerrito passed this Resolution by the following vote:

AYES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
NOES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSTAIN:	COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSENT:	COUNCILMEMBERS:

IN WITNESS of this action, I sign this document and affix the corporate seal of the City of El Cerrito on \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Holly M. Charléty, City Clerk

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabe Quinto, Mayor

**CITY OF EL CERRITO  
MONTHLY SALARY GRADES**

**Exhibit A**

**SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU) LOCAL 1021  
37.5 HRS/WEEK - 3.5% EFFECTIVE 7/5/2026**

JOB CODE	JOB CLASS	STEP 1	STEP 2	STEP 3	STEP 4	STEP 5
537	ACCOUNTANT I	6,319	6,635	6,968	7,316	7,683
520	ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT I	4,463	4,686	4,920	5,166	5,425
521	ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT II	4,759	4,996	5,246	5,508	5,784
687	ASSISTANT ENGINEER	8,966	9,413	9,885	10,379	10,898
665	ASSISTANT PLANNER	6,788	7,128	7,484	7,858	8,249
685	ASSOCIATE PLANNER	7,806	8,197	8,608	9,039	9,489
552	BUILDING INSPECTOR I	6,673	7,006	7,357	7,726	8,111
553	BUILDING INSPECTOR II	7,370	7,739	8,125	8,533	8,960
445	CHILDCARE TEACHER II	4,090	4,293	4,509	4,734	4,970
442	CUSTODIAN	3,987	4,186	4,394	4,616	4,846
557	ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN I	6,067	6,369	6,688	7,024	7,374
556	ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN II	6,673	7,006	7,357	7,726	8,111
660	ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST	6,812	7,153	7,510	7,886	8,280
522	FINANCE TECHNICIAN I	4,957	5,204	5,464	5,738	6,025
524	FINANCE TECHNICIAN II	5,476	5,750	6,038	6,339	6,654
538	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TECHNICIAN	6,465	6,790	7,130	7,486	7,861
440	LEAD CUSTODIAN	5,737	6,025	6,326	6,643	6,975
631	LEAD MAINTENANCE SERVICES WORKER	5,737	6,025	6,326	6,643	6,975
604	MAINTENANCE SERVICES WORKER I	4,719	4,954	5,202	5,462	5,735
630	MAINTENANCE SERVICES WORKER II	5,219	5,479	5,752	6,042	6,343
536	MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT	5,737	6,024	6,324	6,640	6,973
684	NEIGHBORHOOD PRESERVATION OFFICER I	7,098	7,452	7,825	8,216	8,627
686	NEIGHBORHOOD PRESERVATION OFFICER II	7,806	8,197	8,608	9,039	9,489
689	NEIGHBORHOOD PRESERVATION PROGRAM COORDINATOR	8,587	9,017	9,469	9,943	10,438
530	PERMIT TECHNICIAN I	5,290	5,556	5,834	6,125	6,430
535	PERMIT TECHNICIAN II	5,656	5,940	6,236	6,547	6,875
611	PROGRAM COORDINATOR	8,123	8,529	8,955	9,403	9,873
554	PLAN CHECKER I	6,673	7,006	7,357	7,726	8,111
555	PLAN CHECKER II	7,370	7,739	8,125	8,533	8,960
610	RECREATION COORDINATOR	5,461	5,735	6,022	6,324	6,640
632	RECYCLING OPERATIONS DRIVER	5,479	5,754	6,040	6,344	6,660
443	RECYCLING OPERATIONS WORKER	4,275	4,487	4,711	4,949	5,196
558	SENIOR BUILDING INSPECTOR	8,107	8,513	8,938	9,386	9,856
525	SENIOR FINANCE TECHNICIAN	6,751	7,089	7,443	7,815	8,206
541	SENIOR MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT	6,310	6,626	6,957	7,304	7,670
540	SENIOR PERMIT TECHNICIAN	6,598	6,929	7,274	7,638	8,021

*\*Please note effective January 1, 2026, salary grade 632 reverted back to a 37.5/week hour schedule (from 40/week), and monthly salaries for each step have been updated accordingly.*

**MICELLANEOUS 37.5 HRS/WEEK - 3.5% EFFECTIVE 7/5/2026**

JOB CODE	JOB CLASS	STEP 1	STEP 2	STEP 3	STEP 4	STEP 5
635	ASSISTANT RECREATION SUPERVISOR	6,070	6,372	6,693	7,027	7,379

**CITY MANAGER 37.5 HRS/WEEK - 5% EFFECTIVE 4/1/2026; 3% EFFECTIVE 7/5/2026**

JOB CODE	JOB CLASS	FLAT RATE
980	CITY MANAGER	25,235

**MANAGEMENT 37.5 HRS/WEEK - 3% EFFECTIVE 7/5/2026**

JOB CODE	JOB CLASS	Bottom	New Bottom	Top	New Top
935	ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER	17,354		22,776	
545	ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER	11,922		15,648	
912	ASSOCIATE ENGINEER	9,600		12,600	
690	BUDGET/FINANCIAL SERVICES MANAGER	11,922		15,648	
926	BUILDING OFFICIAL	12,290		16,130	
551	CITY CLERK	13,332		17,498	
950	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR	15,731		20,647	
917	ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES MANAGER	New	10,856	New	14,247
913	FACILITIES AND MAINTENANCE MANAGER	9,560	10,856	13,503	14,247
924	FINANCE DIRECTOR/CITY TREASURER	16,528		21,692	
921	HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGER	12,910		16,945	
910	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY MANAGER	12,910		16,945	
550	MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS SUPERVISOR	7,648		10,039	
546	MANAGEMENT ANALYST I	7,316		9,603	
547	MANAGEMENT ANALYST II	8,076		10,600	
548	MANAGEMENT ANALYST III	8,359		10,970	
544	SENIOR MANAGEMENT ANALYST	8,884		11,360	
903	NETWORK SECURITY ENGINEER	8,692		11,408	
916	OPERATIONS & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES MANAGER	11,922		15,648	
915	PLANNING MANAGER	12,290		16,130	
549	PROGRAM MANAGER	9,366		12,293	
948	PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR/CITY ENGINEER	16,527		21,692	
949	RECREATION DIRECTOR	14,973		19,652	
650	RECREATION SUPERVISOR	8,359		10,970	
682	RECYCLING OPERATIONS SUPERVISOR	7,688		10,090	
688	SENIOR ACCOUNTANT	8,485		11,137	
914	SENIOR ENGINEER	11,697		15,352	
902	SENIOR HUMAN RESOURCES ANALYST	9,284		12,185	
905	SENIOR PLANNER	8,914		11,700	
900	SENIOR PROGRAM MANAGER	10,339		13,569	
982	EXTRA HELP RETIRED ANNUITANT (HOURLY RATE)***	18.82		155.29	

\*\*\* This job class is for any CalPERS Retired Annuity - applicable pay range is based on the job classification hired for.

**CONFIDENTIAL 37.5 HRS/WEEK- 3% EFFECTIVE 7/5/2026**

JOB CODE	JOB CLASS	Bottom	Top
543	ACCOUNTANT II	7,003	9,193
529	HUMAN RESOURCES SPECIALIST	7,138	9,369
539	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SPECIALIST	7,138	9,369
716	PUBLIC SAFETY EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT (40 HRS/WEEK)	7,610	9,210

**PUBLIC SAFETY MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION (PSMA) (SWORN)  
40 HRS/WEEK - 3% EFFECTIVE 7/5/2026**

JOB CODE	JOB CLASS	BOTTOM1	CONTROL PT2	TOP3
930	BATTALION CHIEF (56 HRS/WEEK)	16,138	20,172	25,216
947	BATTALION CHIEF/PARAMEDIC/TRAINING OFFICER	17,750	22,188	27,735
952	FIRE CHIEF (3.8% EFFECTIVE 7/7/2024)	16,514	20,642	25,803
933	POLICE CAPTAIN	16,919	21,150	26,437
951	POLICE CHIEF (3.8% EFFECTIVE 7/7/2024)	15,265	19,082	23,852
931	POLICE LIEUTENANT	15,373	19,215	24,020

*\*Battalion Chief/BC Paramedic: 3% Market Adjustment Effective 7/6/2026*

**POLICE EMPLOYEES' ASSOCIATION (PEA) (SWORN)  
40 HRS/WEEK - 4.25% EFFECTIVE 7/7/2024**

JOB CODE	JOB CLASS	STEP 1	STEP 2	STEP 3	STEP 4	STEP 5	STEP 6	STEP 7
841	POLICE CORPORAL	9,984	10,448	10,939	11,455	11,993	12,593	13,223
842	POLICE CORPORAL/DETECTIVE ASSIGNMENT	10,482	10,971	11,486	12,027	12,593	13,223	13,884
830	POLICE OFFICER	9,014	9,431	9,872	10,332	10,816	11,362	11,930
840	POLICE OFFICER - DETECTIVE / MOTOR ASSIGNMENT	9,464	9,904	10,365	10,849	11,357	11,925	12,521
860	POLICE SERGEANT	11,431	11,968	12,534	13,128	13,752	14,439	15,161
861	POLICE SERGEANT/DET/MOTOR/ADMIN ASSIGNMENT	12,003	12,567	13,161	13,785	14,439	15,161	15,919

*\*Addition of Step 7 Effective 7/6/2025*

**POLICE EMPLOYEE ASSOCIATION (PEA) (NON-SWORN)  
37.5 HRS/WEEK - 4.25% EFFECTIVE 7/7/2024**

JOB CODE	JOB CLASS	STEP 1	STEP 2	STEP 3	STEP 4	STEP 5	STEP 6
812	COMMUNITY SERVICE OFFICER (40 HRS/WEEK)	5,947	6,213	6,494	6,786	7,094	7,449
805	POLICE OFFICER RECRUIT (40 HRS/WEEK)	5,732					
804	POLICE RECORDS SPECIALIST	5,161	5,389	5,630	5,882	6,146	6,453
820	POLICE RECORDS SUPERVISOR	7,270	7,602	7,950	8,317	8,702	9,138
817	PROPERTY AND EVIDENCE SPECIALIST (40 HRS/WEEK)	6,619	6,950	7,299	7,663	8,046	8,448
810	SENIOR POLICE RECORDS SPECIALIST	5,576	5,825	6,086	6,362	6,651	6,984

*\*Addition of Step 6 Effective 7/6/2025*

*Police Records Supervisor: 3% Market Adjustment Effective 7/6/2025*

**UNITED PROFESSIONAL FIREFIGHTERS LOCAL 1230 (SWORN)  
56 HRS/WEEK - 3% EFFECTIVE 7/5/2026**

<b>JOB CODE</b>	<b>JOB CLASS</b>	<b>STEP 1</b>	<b>STEP 2</b>	<b>STEP 3</b>	<b>STEP 4</b>	<b>STEP 5</b>
760	FIRE CAPTAIN	12,559	13,187	13,845	14,537	15,265
770	FIRE CAPTAIN/FPO	13,816	14,506	15,231	15,995	16,792
761	FIRE CAPTAIN/PARAMEDIC ASSIGNMENT	13,816	14,506	15,231	15,995	16,792
771	FIRE CAPTAIN/PARAMEDIC ASSIGNMENT/FPO	15,197	15,956	16,755	17,592	18,471
740	FIRE ENGINEER	10,900	11,445	12,017	12,620	13,251
741	FIRE ENGINEER/PARAMEDIC ASSIGNMENT	11,990	12,588	13,220	13,879	14,572
720	FIREFIGHTER	9,887	10,381	10,902	11,445	12,016
721	FIREFIGHTER/PARAMEDIC ASSIGNMENT	10,875	11,419	11,990	12,588	13,219



**SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA MATERIALS  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING  
June 16, 2026  
(rev. 6/18/2026)**

**REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING (6:00 PM)**

**Public Comments (Not on the Agenda and Consent Calendar)**  
**Materials received at meeting**

**Agenda Item No. 4 – FOURTH PUBLIC HEARING ON TRANSITION TO DISTRICT-BASED ELECTIONS – PRESENTATION OF REFINED DRAFT MAP(S) AND SEQUENCE OF ELECTIONS**

1. Staff Presentation
2. **Additional** Public Comments

**Agenda Item No. 9.A. – CITY COUNCIL/PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY Approval of the City's Fiscal Year 2026-27 and 2027-28 Proposed Budget and Spending Authority by Fund for the City and Public Financing Authority, and Approval of the FY 2026-27 Annual Gann Appropriation Limit**  
**Additional** Public Comments

★ to preempt an expected excuse ★

I don't care about an FLIP ↑

excuse that schedule & agenda  
was posted with legal notice satisfied.

Literally <sup>wall</sup> <sup>clock</sup> 9-5 <sup>blue collar</sup> residents can't  
participate even w/ notice - Richard

that was sub<sup>agenda</sup> <sup>regular</sup> <sup>Page 207</sup> <sup>union</sup> no? Brooks

If you are not trying to ~~change~~ <sup>RECEIVED</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>AT THE MEETING OF</sup> ~~garage~~

civic engagement, don't put public

JUN 16 2026  
CITY OF EL CERRITO  
CITY CLERK

comment when most non-retired can't attend. This is already bad overlap

w/ family dinner time. Some people can't afford sitter. Don't make civic engagement hard. It's hard enough.

I don't think equal  
time means 1 Map on  
the screen so long

#100

while not even taking about  
it

- Richard Brooks 8:03 pm

We have so many maps and I've submit none

Time is expensive

Please look at more  
than I Map! Less talk  
more map!

- Richard Brooks

## General Public Comments

**From:** [Shaulnie Mohan](#)  
**To:** [Rebecca Saltzman](#); [Carolyn Wysinger](#); [William Ktsanes](#); [Lisa Motoyama](#)  
**Cc:** [City Clerk](#); [Gabe Quinto](#)  
**Subject:** Subject: Public Comments - Not on the Agenda: Peregrine Tech  
**Date:** Friday, June 5, 2026 10:10:19 AM

---

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Hello City Councilmembers,

My name is Shaulnie and I live in El Cerrito. I am emailing you to urge you to review the ECPD's intended contract with **Peregrine Tech** for a data fusion/ integration platform for El Cerrito.

What is going on? Is city council gonna vote to put carcinogens in the drinking water next? At this point, I wouldn't be surprised if you tried to put an AI data center into Cerrito Vista park? What is the game plan?

These actions are inherently evil.

Consolidating our personal information into a mass surveillance database that is almost certainly going to be searched by bad actors. I don't want predators, knowing where my children and I live.

But it appears that our police department in city Council are thrilled to provide all of our information to everyone in an effort to violate all of our constitutional rights.

Furthermore, we do not have the money. I went to the meeting. I saw the budget. Where is this money coming from? Is it coming from your pockets? Is it coming from the police chiefs pocket? Let's have him pay for it personally.

We are your constituents. We should not have to be watching every single one of your moves to make sure you are not putting us directly into danger.

Start serving your constituents.

Sincerely,  
Shaulnie Mohan, MD

**From:** [Katie Sherwin](#)  
**To:** [City Clerk](#)  
**Cc:** [Carolyn Wysinger](#); [William Ktsanes](#); [Rebecca Saltzman](#); [Gabe Quinto](#); [Lisa Motoyama](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comments - Not on the Agenda: Peregrine Tech  
**Date:** Monday, June 8, 2026 8:53:30 AM

---

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Hello City Councilmembers,

My name is Katie Sherwin and I live and work in El Cerrito. I am emailing you to urge you to review the ECPD's intended contract with **Peregrine Tech** for a data fusion/integration platform for El Cerrito. Such a system would consolidate residents' personal info into a **mass surveillance database** that is vulnerable to security risks and constitutional privacy violations. Mass surveillance endangers the safety and violates the constitutional rights for every resident and visitor to El Cerrito. After taking such a great step forward in protecting our privacy by cancelling our Flock contract, I urge you to review and reconsider starting a contract with Peregrine.

Thanks for your consideration,  
Katie Sherwin  
Walnut St, El Cerrito

**From:** [Claire Levay-Young](#)  
**To:** [City Clerk](#)  
**Cc:** [Gabe Quinto](#); [Rebecca Saltzman](#); [Carolyn Wysinger](#); [William Ktsanes](#); [Lisa Motoyama](#)  
**Subject:** [UNKNOWN SENDER] Public Comments - Not on the Agenda: Peregrine Tech  
**Date:** Monday, June 8, 2026 2:51:10 PM

---

Dear City Council members,

I have been an El Cerrito resident for the past 18 years. I am emailing you to urge you to review the ECPD's intended contract with **Peregrine Tech** for a data fusion/ integration platform for El Cerrito. Such a system would consolidate residents' personal info into a **mass surveillance database** that is vulnerable to security risks and constitutional privacy violations. Mass surveillance endangers the safety and violates the constitutional rights for every resident and visitor to El Cerrito. After taking such a great step forward in protecting our privacy by cancelling our Flock contract, I urge you to review and reconsider starting a contract with Peregrine.

Thanks for your consideration,

Claire Levay-Young

**From:** [Cordell Hindler](#)  
**To:** [City Clerk](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comments  
**Date:** Monday, June 15, 2026 2:56:46 PM

---

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

Hello Mayor Quinto, Council Members and Staff,

I am Submitting the Following Comments into the Record:

1. for a Future Agenda, The Council Should Invite Caitlin Sly to Present on the Food Bank of Contra Costa and Solano County
2. Also I am In Full Support of the Items on the Consent Calendar

Sincerely  
Cordell



# Transition to District-Based Elections Fourth Public Hearing

**June 16, 2026**

Agenda Packet Page 305 of 320



# Agenda

- Why is the City Council changing how members are elected?
- Why is this happening so quickly?
- Did the Council consider other alternative voting systems?
- What's happened in the process so far?
- What's next?
- Review of draft maps

# Why is the City Council changing how members are elected?

- Current City Election System is At-Large
- California Voting Rights Act
  - Prohibits an at-large election system that impairs the ability of a protected class to elect candidates of its choice or its ability to influence the outcome of an election.
  - Violation established if racially polarized voting (RPV) and dilution occurs in elections or other matters submitted to the voters
  - Awards attorneys' fees to a plaintiff who successfully challenges a city's at-large election system

# Why is the City Council changing how members are elected?

- March 17th Demand Letter claims City's at-large system is racially polarized and violates CVRA
- Requests City transition to district-based elections
- City commissioned its own analysis, which concluded a statistical possibility of RPV in past elections in El Cerrito
- No allegation of intentional racial discrimination in voting and no evidence presented to support such an allegation

# Why is the City Council changing how members are elected?

- CVRA Safe Harbor Provisions
  - Caps attorneys' fees at what is now approx. \$38,000 to \$40,000 **if a city follows the safe harbor process**
  - Adopt resolution of intent to transition to districts within 45 days of receiving the demand letter
  - Adopt ordinance establishing district-based elections within 90 days of resolution passage

# Why is this happening so quickly?

- CVRA allows potential plaintiff to agree to extend the Safe Harbor timelines
- City Attorney requested extensions
- Request denied
- Completing process within Safe Harbor timelines maintains the cap on attorneys' fees
- Why not litigate?
  - Multiple cities have fought demands to transition to district elections
  - None have succeeded
  - All have paid the plaintiff's large legal bills

# Did the Council consider other alternative voting systems?

- Council received information about other voting systems
  - Ranked Choice Voting
  - Citywide Single Vote (Limited Voting)
  - Cumulative Voting
- None of the alternative systems maintain the protections of the Safe Harbor
- Contra Costa County not currently equipped to implement RCV—El Cerrito would pay the full cost to implement and additional annual maintenance costs

# What's happened in the process so far?

- April 21: Adopted Resolution of Intent to Transition to Districts
- May 5: 1st Public Hearing held
- May 12: Virtual Community Workshop held
- May 14: Community Outreach at Bike to Wherever Day event
- May 19: 2nd Public Hearing held; **Council direction to proceed with drafting maps with 5 districts**
- June 3: Community Workshop #2 held in City Council Chambers
- June 9: 3rd Public Hearing held; Council reviewed maps prepared by the public and demographer

# What's next?

- Tonight: Continue review of draft maps from the public and demographer; **Council to select final map**
- July 7: 5th Public Hearing; **Council to approve final map, sequence of elections, and Ordinance to change to district-based elections**
- July 21: Adopt Ordinance to change to district-based elections
- November 2028: First district-based Council election
- November 2030: Second district-based Council election



# Questions and Presentation by Demographer

**From:** [Randi Jimenez](#)  
**To:** [City Clerk](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment: Support for Draft Map B (District-Based Elections)  
**Date:** Friday, June 12, 2026 6:52:09 AM

---

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Dear Members of the City Council,

My name is Randi Jimenez, and I am a homeowner in El Cerrito's Del Norte Neighborhood. I am writing today to express my strong support for Draft Map B as the final configuration for our district-based election system.

Map B provides the most logical, fair, and compact representation for our city's unique geography. Rather than squeezing our lower-elevation flatlands into a single, long and narrow ribbon district that stretches the length of the city, Map B sensibly divides the flats into distinct northern, central, and southern zones. This ensures that the distinct neighborhood and transit needs of the El Cerrito Plaza and Del Norte areas are not diluted.

Furthermore, I strongly favor Map B because it unifies our hillside neighborhoods into a single, cohesive district. Our hills face specific, high-stakes municipal challenges, particularly wildfire evacuation routes, vegetation management, and hillside infrastructure. Consolidating this geography ensures these critical public safety and urban forest management issues have a clear, unified voice on the City Council, rather than being fractured across multiple districts.

Map B is clean, balanced, and respects our natural communities of interest. I urge the City Council to adopt Draft Map B.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Randi Jimenez, PhD



**From:** [No Reply](#)  
**To:** [City Clerk](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Submission  
**Date:** Saturday, June 13, 2026 1:27:18 PM

---

This sender is trusted.

Submitted by: David Rockhold

Email Address: [REDACTED]

Commented on event: <https://elcerritoca.portal.civicclerk.com/event/1201/overview>

If you are having trouble viewing the URL above, cut and paste the string into your browser window.

User comment: We own a home and live on Kenilworth Avenue. In all the proposed maps, we are separated from Ganges Avenue. Our neighborhood includes Ganges Avenue and the streets coming off of Ganges (Glen Mawr, Snowdon, and Kenilworth Ave and probably Wilson Way and Fairview Dr.) should be in the same district. People in the neighborhood walk on all these streets and get to know each other and have similar interest in anything that might affect our neighborhood. Please consider keeping this block of neighbors in one district. Thank you, Barbara and David Rockhold, [REDACTED]

**From:** [No Reply](#)  
**To:** [City Clerk](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Submission  
**Date:** Thursday, June 18, 2026 9:26:11 AM

---

This sender is trusted.

Submitted by: Maureen Dixon

Email Address: [REDACTED]

Commented on event: <https://elcerritoca.portal.civicclerk.com/event/1201/overview>

If you are having trouble viewing the URL above, cut and paste the string into your browser window.

User comment: Thank you for sending out the maps. As a resident on Norvell Street I feel our issues would be better represented by plan C3. This aligns the neighborhoods at the same elevation level. For example those of us in the neighborhoods at this elevation will be more directly affected by what happens at BART and the TOD than the people residing in the hills. And they have more fire concern issues than we do.

**From:** [Betty Buginas](#)  
**To:** [City Clerk](#)  
**Subject:** Public comments - June 16 9A  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 7:49:15 AM

---

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

El Cerrito City Council, show us you listened with a moratorium on Plaza BART library spending

Seventy percent of El Cerrito voters voted “no” on Measure C, which was backed by four of the five City Council members. Not everyone who voted “no” had the same reasons, but two messages are clear. We want the city to spend our money carefully, and we don’t like the idea of having a library at the Plaza BART station. It simply will not serve everyone in the city. We often don’t feel listened to, and we need to see that you heard us this time.

#### PLAZA LIBRARY SPENDING MORATORIUM

The City Council should impose a moratorium on spending money and staff time on a library at Plaza BART. The city poured a lot of time and money into that plan before the voters ever had a say. You were elected to represent us. You need to pass our message on to city staff that this is not what we want.

#### LOOK AT THE BIG PICTURE

A small group pushed conversation toward fantasizing about a dream library. Now it’s time for a grown-up discussion that focuses on all the city’s needs, including:

- A new public safety building - we count on their safety to ensure our safety
- Renovation of the community center/pool - it’s one of the most heavily used and cherished facilities in the city
- The needs of El Cerrito senior citizens - they are struggling more than you might realize

#### CITY COUNCIL SALARY AND EXPENSES

Council members have discussed the low salary they are paid. Move the money budgeted for travel to salary and to a more flexible fund that allows council members to keep those dollars in El Cerrito with informal sessions to meet with constituents. Send a message you care more about what El Cerrito residents have to say than what your peers outside the city think.

This message is archived at <https://elcerritowire.blogspot.com>

Betty Buginas  
El Cerrito

**From:** [Brian Richmond](#)  
**To:** [City Clerk](#)  
**Subject:** Public Paths in EC Budget  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 8:03:00 AM

---

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

I urge the City to make public path restoration and signage a priority in the 2026 Capital Improvement Plan. This is a low-cost, high-benefit project that should not displace other major priorities. I also encourage the City to adopt a clearer and more workable framework for partnering with community organizations to deliver improvements efficiently.

In El Cerrito's 2026-28 budget, we request that you include:

**Public path signage (\$38,000 - one time allocation):** Sean Moss (planning manager), Yvetteh Ortiz (public works director/city engineer), and Jarrett Mullen (sustainable transportation program manager) estimate that it would cost “~ \$38,000 to install a sign at each street intersection of a public path. We estimated about \$25,000 for labor and materials, with the remainder being planning and design work” (Moss email to Janet Byron, 3/3/26, available upon request). We request that the 26-28 Capital Improvement Program budget fully fund the one-time cost of public path sign installation at \$38,000.

**Public path restoration and upgrade (\$50,000/year):** We request that the 26-28 Capital Improvement Program budget include a line item for public path rights-of-way (perhaps in the sidewalk line item) of \$50,000 per year, to be allocated for stafftime (plan review), labor (site overview), and materials. We recommend that public works coordinate closely with El Cerrito Trail Trekkers, which will assess current needs for handrails, concrete repair, treads, and other improvements to upgrade pedestrian safety on public path rights-of-way.

**Hillside Natural Area funding (\$25,000/year):** We request that the 26-28 Capital Improvement Program or Parks and Recreation budget include a line item of \$25,000 per year specifically for the management of the Hillside Natural Area, to be allocated in stafftime, labor, and materials, which would include trail design and repair, management of non-native species, signage, bike storage at trailheads, and other management needs.

--

Brian Richmond, PhD



[El Cerrito Trail Trekkers](#), Co-President

**From:** [Friends of the Hillside](#)  
**To:** [City Clerk](#)  
**Subject:** Request for HNA Budget Line Item and Improved City-Volunteer Coordination Process and  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 1:27:17 PM

---

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Dear City Clerk,

We urge the City of El Cerrito to make Hillside Natural Area (HNA) maintenance a priority within the 2026 Capital Improvement Plan. The HNA is a major community asset, providing access to authentic natural habitat, opportunities to understand native plant resilience, and meaningful open space for residents. It is also a high fire-risk interface area, which makes ongoing vegetation management and trail upkeep especially important.

For the 2026–2028 budget cycle, we request the inclusion of:

- \$35,000 per year dedicated to the Hillside Natural Area
- A clearly defined line item within the Capital Improvement Program or Parks and Recreation budget
- Allocation for staff time, labor, materials, vegetation management, and trail maintenance

In parallel, we strongly encourage the City to adopt a clearer volunteer partnership framework that allows community organizations to contribute effectively without unnecessary administrative bottlenecks. The current informal structure relies heavily on goodwill and improvisation, which is not a sustainable model for long-term land stewardship.

This is a practical governance issue, not a philosophical one. When residents are already doing the work, the system should at least make it easy for them to do it safely, consistently, and in coordination with City priorities.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Audrey LIESE

For Friends of the Hillside

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**Follow us for updates, photos, and more:**

**Website:** <https://www.echillsidefriends.org/>

**Facebook:** Friends of the Hillside

**Instagram:** @Hillside\_Friends